



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy

Scenario analysis of lightweight and electric-drive vehicle market penetration in the long-term and impact on the light-duty vehicle fleet

Juan C. González Palencia^{a,*}, Yuki Otsuka^a, Mikiya Araki^a, Seiichi Shiga^b

^a Division of Mechanical Science and Technology, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Gunma University, 1-5-1 Tenjincho, Kiryu, Gunma 376-8515, Japan

^b Division of Mechanical Science and Technology, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Gunma University, 29-1 Honcho, Ota, Gunma 373-0057, Japan

HIGHLIGHTS

- Japanese passenger light-duty vehicle fleet between 2012 and 2050 is assessed.
- A new vehicle market model and a vehicle stock turnover model are combined.
- Internal combustion engine and hybrid electric vehicles dominate the Base scenario.
- Fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles dominate when low cost is prioritized.
- Shift to battery electric vehicles occurs when low CO₂ emissions are prioritized.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 January 2017

Received in revised form 6 April 2017

Accepted 3 May 2017

Available online xxxxx

Keywords:

Light-duty vehicle fleet

Car stock model

New vehicle market model

Electric-drive vehicle

Lightweighting

ABSTRACT

Electric-drive vehicles, including hybrid electric vehicles, plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, battery electric vehicles, fuel cell electric vehicles and fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles, are emerging as less polluting alternatives to internal combustion engine vehicles. Therefore, it is important to assess their penetration in the vehicle market in the future. A ‘two-step’ approach is used to estimate the optimum market penetration of lightweight and electric-drive vehicles in the long-term and the impact on the light-duty vehicle fleet, focusing on Japan. First, an optimization model is used to estimate the vehicle market composition in 2050. Then, a vehicle stock turnover model is used to estimate light-duty vehicle fleet energy and material consumption, CO₂ emissions and cost. Internal combustion engine vehicles and hybrid electric vehicles dominate in the Base scenario. Fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles dominate when low cost is prioritized. Shift to battery electric vehicles occurs when low CO₂ emissions are prioritized. CO₂ emissions are reduced 56.9% between 2012 and 2050 in the Base scenario. Lightweight mini-sized battery electric vehicle diffusion has the largest CO₂ emissions reductions, 87.3% compared to the 2050 baseline value; with the net cash flow peaking at 10.2 billion USD/year in 2035 and becoming negative after 2044.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

Transport sector accounts for 23% of the world CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion [1]; with road transport representing about three quarters of the transport sector CO₂ emissions [2]. Light-duty vehicles (LDVs) play a significant role in transport energy consumption and CO₂ emissions. For instance, LDVs accounted for 52%

of global transport energy consumption and 41% of total transport emissions in 2010 [3]. Perspectives for the future are more critical. Global LDV stock is expected to grow from around 850 million vehicles in 2013 to more than 2 billion vehicles in 2050, causing CO₂ emissions to increase if no action is taken [4]. In that sense, a paradigm shift in mobility is required [5].

Along with travel demand reduction and modal shift, electric-drive vehicles (EDVs)¹ are necessary to reduce global CO₂ emissions and meet sustainability targets [6]. For instance, mass deployment of battery electric vehicles (BEVs) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: gonzalez@gunma-u.ac.jp (J.C. González Palencia), t14802017@gunma-u.ac.jp (Y. Otsuka), mikiya.araki@gunma-u.ac.jp (M. Araki), shiga@gunma-u.ac.jp (S. Shiga).

¹ Electric drive vehicles (EDVs) include hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs), battery electric vehicles (BEVs), fuel cell vehicles (FCVs) and fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles (FCHEVs).

Nomenclature

| | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------|--|
| α_1 | model parameter 1 | J | number of vehicle size classes |
| α_2 | model parameter 2 | J_n | number of vehicle size classes in vehicle weight class n |
| β | optimum new vehicle market share | k | powertrain type |
| β_{Max} | maximum affordable vehicle market share | K | number of powertrain types |
| β_{Size} | market share projection for each size class | K_n | number of powertrain types that use gasoline |
| Δ_y | half of the cycle diffusion span | $K_{g,n}$ | number of powertrain types that use gasoline in vehicle weight class n |
| a | vehicle age | l | vehicle attribute |
| a_0 | median vehicle service life | L | number of vehicle attributes |
| AFV | alternative fuel vehicle | LDV | light-duty vehicle |
| b | diffusion rate | $LEAP$ | Long-range Energy Alternatives Planning System |
| BEV | battery electric vehicle | m | material content in a vehicle |
| c_{cap} | vehicle capital cost | M | annual traveled distance |
| c_{ene} | energy price | Min | material consumption |
| c_{OM} | vehicle annual O&M cost | $MLIT$ | Ministry of Land, Transport, Infrastructure and Tourism |
| $Conv$ | conventional glider | MOE | Ministry of Environment |
| CRF | capital recovery factor | MS | market share |
| E | fleet energy consumption | MSO | market share in the baseline year |
| EDV | electric-drive vehicle | n | vehicle weight class |
| EF | CO ₂ emission factor | N | vehicle stock |
| ETP | Energy Technology Perspectives | $O&M$ | operating and maintenance |
| EV | electric vehicle | $PAMVEC$ | Parametric Model of Vehicle Energy Consumption |
| F | survival fraction | $PHEV$ | plug-in hybrid electric vehicle |
| FC | vehicle fuel consumption | s | vehicle score |
| $FC_{St,n}$ | fuel consumption standard for new vehicle sales in weight class n for gasoline vehicles | S | vehicle sales |
| $FC_{St,s}$ | standard for new vehicle sales average fuel consumption for gasoline vehicles | SMR | steam methane reforming |
| FCV | fuel cell vehicle | t | vehicle type |
| $FCHEV$ | fuel cell hybrid electric vehicle | Tz | number of vehicles that use the fuel z |
| FRP | fiber reinforced polymer | TTW | tank to wheel |
| g | vehicle CO ₂ emissions | v | vintage |
| G | fleet CO ₂ emissions | V | number of vintages considered |
| $g_{St,s}$ | CO ₂ emissions target for new vehicles | w | weighting coefficient |
| $GAMS$ | General Algebraic Modeling System | WTW | well to wheel |
| GHG | greenhouse gas | x | material type |
| HEV | hybrid electric vehicle | y | calendar year |
| i | glider type | y_0 | inflexion point in technology diffusion curve |
| I | number of glider types | z | fuel type |
| I_n | number of glider types in vehicle weight class n | Z | new vehicle sales overall score |
| $ICEV$ | internal combustion engine vehicle | ZEV | zero emission vehicle |
| IEA | International Energy Agency | | |
| j | vehicle size class | | |

(PHEVs) powered with low-carbon electricity have great potential to reduce fossil fuel use in transportation [7]. Similarly, fuel cell vehicles (FCVs) can provide the same mobility service as internal combustion engine vehicles (ICEVs) with very low CO₂ emissions [8]. Additionally, EDVs can contribute to enhance energy security [9], improve urban air quality [10], and reduce noise levels [6].

Reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) and air pollutant emissions in road transportation is a major motivation for powertrain electrification [11]. Additionally, EDVs can act as flexible energy storage resources and provide ancillary services [12]; which is an additional motivation for EDV adoption. Strategies considered in previous studies include using BEVs and PHEVs to provide regulating- and reserve power (RRP) services [13]; coupling BEVs to power distribution networks [14]; and coupling BEVs and renewable power generation in power distribution networks [15]. These studies conclude that vehicle to grid (V2G) strategies can provide benefits to both the user and the infrastructure.

Nevertheless, there are several barriers that prevent EDV diffusion. In the case of hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), barriers include low gasoline and diesel prices, low consumer risk tolerance and

high purchase price [16]. Barriers for PHEV and BEV adoption include negative perceptions regarding safety and resilience, resistance to change, non-conformity with available models, lack of awareness of financial incentives, inappropriate taxation and fuel economy policies, lack of signaling for charging stations and usage-related incentives, low battery energy density, lack of charging infrastructure, and high investment required to develop the charging infrastructure [17]. In the case of FCVs, barriers include lack of hydrogen infrastructure, hydrogen production from fossil fuels, inability of FCVs to be recharged at home, high system cost and concerns about hydrogen safety [18].

Despite of the barriers, the combined global stock of PHEVs, BEVs and FCVs reached 1.26 million vehicles in 2015, driven by ambitious targets and policies in several countries that lowered vehicle costs, increased vehicle driving range and reduced consumer barriers [6]. In the case of HEVs, market penetration is more mature. For instance, Toyota's cumulative HEV sales in the world topped 2.7 million vehicles in 2010 [19]. Technology improvement, infrastructure development and cost reduction can lower the barriers for EDV diffusion. Additionally, changes in the motivation of

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات