Accepted Manuscript

Public Procurement with Unverifiable Quality: The Case for Discriminatory Competitive Procedures

Gian Luigi Albano, Berardino Cesi, Alberto Iozzi

PII: S0047-2727(16)30161-X

DOI: doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2016.11.004

Reference: PUBEC 3717

To appear in: Journal of Public Economics

Received date: 19 April 2013 Revised date: 23 October 2016 Accepted date: 7 November 2016



Please cite this article as: Albano, Gian Luigi, Cesi, Berardino, Iozzi, Alberto, Public Procurement with Unverifiable Quality:The Case for Discriminatory Competitive Procedures, *Journal of Public Economics* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2016.11.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Public Procurement with Unverifiable Quality: The Case for Discriminatory Competitive Procedures*

Gian Luigi Albano[†]

Berardino Cesi[‡]

Alberto Iozzi§

November 10, 2016

Abstract

Unverifiable quality may affect the enforcement of procurement contracts even when the award procedure is able to select the most efficient firm in the market. In this paper, we show that a discriminatory competitive mechanism – which awards the contract on the basis of price and (firms') past performance – yields an efficient allocation of the contract and allows the buyer to implement her desired quality. Quality enforcement arises out of relational contracting whereby the buyer 'handicaps' a contractor in future competitive tendering processes if it fails to provide the required quality. We study an infinitely repeated procurement model with two firms and one buyer imperfectly informed on the firms' cost, in which, in each period, the buyer runs a discriminatory auction. We restrict our analysis to the case of a buyer committed to her handicapping strategy, a case which captures some of the features of a public buyer. When players use either grim trigger or stick-and-carrot strategies, we find that the buyer can induce the delivery of optimal (unverifiable) quality with a variety of handicap levels and, when applicable, durations of the punishment period; for some values of the handicap and the length of the punishment period, both firms remain active in the market even when punished.

Keywords: public procurement, relational contracts, unverifiable quality, handicap.

^{*}We are grateful to Roberto Burguet, Giacomo Calzolari, Daniel Danau, Nicola Doni, Elisabetta Iossa, Bruno Jullien, Stefano Lovo, Mario Pagliero, Francesco Sobbrio, Giancarlo Spagnolo, Marco Sparro, Tommaso Valletti, Annalisa Vinella, Michael Waterson and seminar participants at Corfu (CRESSE), Leicester, Milano Bocconi (EARIE), Pavia (SIEP), Pescara, Taormina (EDSI), Torino (NERI) and Roma 'Tor Vergata' for useful comments. We also wish to thank the editor and three referees of this journal, whose comments led to a substantial improvement of this paper.

[†]Consip S.p.A. (The National Central Purchasing Body, Italy) and LUISS Guido Carli gianluigi.albano@consip.it.

[‡]Università di Roma 'Tor Vergata': berardino.cesi@uniroma2.it.

[§]Università di Roma 'Tor Vergata' and SOAS, University of London: alberto.iozzi@uniroma2.it.

دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات