Accepted Manuscript

Bank Loyalty, Social Networks and Crisis

Sümeyra Atmaca, Koen Schoors, Marijn Verschelde

PII: \$0378-4266(17)30294-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.12.007

Reference: JBF 5269

To appear in: Journal of Banking and Finance

Received date: 14 October 2016
Revised date: 31 October 2017
Accepted date: 20 December 2017



Please cite this article as: Sümeyra Atmaca, Koen Schoors, Marijn Verschelde, Bank Loyalty, Social Networks and Crisis, *Journal of Banking and Finance* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.12.007

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Bank Loyalty, Social Networks and Crisis

Sümeyra Atmaca and Koen Schoors and Marijn Verschelde*

December 22, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we consider how the intensity and channels of the relation between social networks and bank loyalty vary according to the state of the economy. We analyze bank exit over the period 2005–2012 for over 300,000 retail clients of a commercial bank that experienced a bank run in 2008 due to a shock in solvency risk. The unique and rich data we constructed in close collaboration with the bank enables us to distinguish different sorts of family networks from neighborhood networks, while controlling for a wide range of client-level and branch-level characteristics and events. Using a proportional hazards model, we show the importance of family networks. In times of financial distress, family networks become even more important and retail clients take weaker, less direct social relationships into account.

Key words: peer effects; social networks; bank exit; financial crisis.

JEL: D12, G01, G21.

1 Introduction

Decisions are hardly ever made in a vacuum. Taking choices of others into account is common to many forms of decision making, including decisions with regard to banking (see e.g.

*Department of Economics and Quantitative Methods, ISEG School of Management, LEM (UMR-CNRS 9221), and Department of Economics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. Email: m.verschelde@ieseg.fr

دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات