

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Energy Procedia 142 (2017) 2089–2094

ScienceDirect ScienceDirect

Energy Procedia

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

9th International Conference on Applied Energy, ICAE2017, 21-24 August 2017, Cardiff, UK

The 15th International Symposium on District Heating and Cooling Multiobjective optimization for carbon market scheduling based on

Dan Li^a, Weiqi Hua^a, Hongjian Sun^a and Wei-Yu Chiu^b

temperature function for a long-term district heat demand forecast *a School of Engineering and Computing Sciences, Durham University, DH1 3LE, U.K. {dan.li, weiqi.hua, hongjian.sun}@durham.ac.uk* a,b,c*, A. Pinaa , P. Ferrão^a , J. Fournier^b ., B. Lacarrièrec \sim 0. \sim \sim *b Department of Electrical Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan. (chiuweiyu@gmail.com)*

Abstract

emission reduction. They set out appropriate monetary incentives and emission allowances for both customers and generators. costs and payment bills, respectively. To achieve these objectives, a multiobjective problem is formulated by forecasting market trends from a behavior learning model. The simulation results demonstrate that through the proposed approach the renewable penetration increases and the carbon emissions decrease. The benefits for each participant are analyzed as well. With advances of smart grid, the responsibility of carbon emission reduction can be fairly allocated to each participant in power networks through bidirectional communications. This paper proposes a hierarchical carbon market scheduling model to effectively realize carbon emission reduction. The policy makers in the upper level aim to maximize the effects of carbon Considering restrictions from policy makers, both generators and customers in lower levels seek to minimize their operational

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 9th International Conference on Applied Energy. buildings that vary in both construction period and typology. Three weather scenarios (low, medium, high) and three district

Keywords: Behaviour learning; carbon market scheduling; demand response; multiobjective problem; smart grid. compared with results from a dynamic heat demand model, previously developed and validated by the authors.

(the error in annual demand was lower than 20% for all weather scenarios considered). However, after introducing renovation **1. Introduction**

The global warming problem has been recognized as a result of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Electricity sector accounts for 29% of the GHG emission in the UK in 2015. It is therefore important to regulate energy rector accounts for 27% of the other emission in the OK in 2015. It is increased for the trigger for 7.8-12.7% generation and consumption [1]. For generators, they need to consider the emission allowances while minimizing their operational costs. For customers, they can adjust their behaviors to save payment bills, consequently reducing scheduling primary energy sources and providing monetary incentives from funded schemes for carbon reductions, such as the Green Deal [3]. Meanwhile, Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (Ofgem), serving as a government regulator, takes the consumer's interests as a whole responsibility, including their interests in the reduction of GHG the emissions [2]. In the UK, for example, the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) is responsible for

[4]. The Big Six Energy Suppliers, serving as a retailer, buys the electricity from wholesale market and pays the policy costs before charging customers electricity bills [5]. For simplicity, this paper takes generators and retailers as a whole so that the operational costs and emission constraints can be considered at the same time.

For the electricity generation and demand, uncertainties such as the variations of fuel prices and climate conditions should be considered. There are a number of studies in literature focused on the short-term and long-term forecasting of electricity generation and demand [6, 7]. However, the analysis of fuel uses in electricity generation by major producers that can elementally mitigate the emissions from the very beginning of carbon cycles is rarely seen. Therefore, it could be useful to apply this approach to the behavior learning and optimization. A more dedicated study for behavior learning, multiobjective optimization, and allocating carbon emission targets for each participant in energy market should be proposed to address the carbon market scheduling problem. The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows: 1) The carbon market scheduling is involved in the hierarchical model, and the responsibility of each participant can be identified; 2) The behavior learning approach takes the uncertainties into account to support a prediction for carbon market scheduling.

2. Behavior Learning Models

This section describes the behavior learning models for both generation and demand sides. The autoregressive function is used to learn the stochastic process for the variations of uncertain variables. A linear regressive function is adopted to describe the relationship between the forecasting objectives and uncertain variables.

2.1. Generation side behavior learning

The prices of coal, smokeless fuels, and heating oils can impact on the fuel usages. The price set is defined as $p(t) = {p_c(t), p_c(t), p_o(t)}$ representing the prices of coal, smokeless fuels, and heating oils, respectively, in observation period $t = \{1, 2, ..., T\}$. The fuel usage in electricity generation by major producers is $g(t)$ = ${g_c(t), g_o(t), g_g(t), g_n(t), g_h(t), g_w(t), g_b(t), g_s(t)}$, where the subscripts correspond to the producers of coal, oil, gas, nuclear, hydro, wind, bioenergy and solar. The future prices of coal, smokeless fuels, and heating oils fluctuate stochastically on the basis of present and previous values [8]. Therefore, they can be modelled using autoregressive model as:

$$
p(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{t-1} \alpha(n)p(n) + \varepsilon_p \tag{1}
$$

where $\alpha(n)$ is the system coefficient, and ε_p is the model error. The fuel usage function in which how a major electricity producer responds to price signals can be subsequently established as:

$$
g(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{t-1} \beta(n)p(n) + \varepsilon_g \tag{2}
$$

where $\beta(n)$ is the system coefficient, and ε_q is the model error. These coefficients can be learned from historical observations and determined through evaluating the minimal squared differences between the forecasts and the actual values [9].

2.2. Demand side behavior learning

Similar to the generation side behavior learning, the temperatures and electricity can impact on electricity consumption. The future temperature $h(t)$ and electricity bill $b(t)$ can also be forecast by using an autoregressive model:

ِ متن کامل مقا<mark>ل</mark>ه

- ✔ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی √ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات ✔ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی ✔ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله √ امکان دانلود رایگان ٢ صفحه اول هر مقاله √ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب ✔ دانلود فورى مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاين ✔ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- **ISIA**rticles مرجع مقالات تخصصى ايران