Accepted Manuscript

Self-Enforcing Trade Agreements and Lobbying

Kristy Buzard

PII: DOI: Reference:

S0022-1996(17)30074-0 doi:10.1016/j.jinteco.2017.07.001 INEC 3060

To appear in:

Journal of International Economics

Received date: Revised date: Accepted date:

18 April 2014 29 June 2017 4 July 2017



Please cite this article as: Buzard, Kristy, Self-Enforcing Trade Agreements and Lobbying, *Journal of International Economics* (2017), doi:10.1016/j.jinteco.2017.07.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Self-Enforcing Trade Agreements and Lobbying

Kristy Buzard

110 Eggers Hall, Economics Department, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244. 315-443-4079.

Abstract

In an environment where international trade agreements must be enforced via promises of future cooperation, the presence of an import-competing lobby has important implications for optimal punishments. When lobbies work to disrupt trade agreements, a Nash reversion punishment scheme must balance two conflicting objectives. Longer punishments help to enforce cooperation by increasing the government's costs of defecting, but, because the lobby prefers the punishment outcome, this also incentivizes lobbying effort and with it political pressure to break the agreement. Thus the model generates an optimal length for Nash reversion punishments, and it depends directly on the political influence of the lobbies. Trade agreement tariffs are shown to be increasing in the political influence of the lobbies, as well as their patience levels.

Keywords:

trade agreements, lobbying, optimal punishments, repeated games, enforcement

1. Introduction

In the absence of strong external enforcement mechanisms for international trade agreements, we generally assume that cooperation is enforced by promises of future cooperation, or, equivalently, promises of future punishment for exploitative behavior. When repeated-game incentives are used to enforce cooperation and prevent players from defecting in a prisoner's dilemma-style stage game, the strongest punishment is

Email address: kbuzard@syr.edu (Kristy Buzard)

URL: http://faculty.maxwell.syr.edu/kbuzard (Kristy Buzard)

Previously circulated under the title "Self-Enforcing Trade Agreements, Dispute Settlement and Separation of Powers."

دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
 امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
 امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
 پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران