





IFAC PapersOnLine 50-1 (2017) 9607–9612 IFAC PapersOnLine 50-1 (2017) 9607-9612

#### et-valued discrete-time sliding-moo F´elix A. Miranda-Villatoro <sup>∗</sup> Bernard Brogliato ∗∗ ida-villatoro – berna<br>Fernando Castaães \* et-valued discrete-time sliding-mod  $\text{control of uncertain linear systems}$ Félix A. Miranda-Villatoro ∗ Bernard Brogliato \*\* Set-valued discrete-time sliding-mode co-valued discrete three shang-mod Fernando Castaños<sup>∗</sup> Set-valued discrete-time sliding-mode control of uncertain linear systems

<sup>∗</sup> Automatic Control Department, Cinvestav-IPN, Av. Instituto  $P$ olitecnico Nacional 2508, 07360, Mexico City, Mexico. (e-mail: fmiranda@ctrl.cinvestav.mx, fcastanos@ctrl.cinvestav.mx).<br>\*\* INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes, University of Grenoble-Alpes, Inovalleé, 655 av. de l'Europe, 38334, Saint-Ismier, France. (e-mail:<br>hernard brogliato@inria fr)  $\emph{bernard.brogliato@inria.fr}$ <sup>∗</sup> Automatic Control Department, Cinvestav-IPN, Av. Instituto <sup>∗</sup> Automatic Control Department, Cinvestav-IPN, Av. Instituto Fernando Casta˜nos <sup>∗</sup> Polit´ecnico Nacional 2508, 07360, Mexico City, Mexico. (e-mail: Polit´ecnico Nacional 2508, 07360, Mexico City, Mexico. (e-mail: <sup>∗</sup> Automatic Control Department, Cinvestav-IPN, Av. Instituto  $I$  bitteented Nacional 2508, 07500, Mexico City, Mexico. (e-mail.<br> $I$  miranda@ctrl.cinvestav.mx, fcastanos@ctrl.cinvestav.mx). \*\* INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes, University of Grenoble-Alpes,<br>hovalleé, 655 av. de l'Europe, 38334, Saint-Ismier, France. (e-ma  $\sum_{j=1}^n$ 

bernard.brogliato@inria.fr)

an uncertain linear system under the effect of external matched perturbations, to design a setvalued control law that achieves the robust regulation of the plant and at the same time reduces substantially the chattering effect in both the input and the sliding variables. The cornerstone is the implicit Euler discretization technique together with a differential inclusion framework which allow us to make a suitable selection of the control values that will compensate for the which allow us to make a suitable selection of the control values that will compensate for the allow us to make Abstract: This paper focuses on the discrete-time sliding-mode control problem, that is, given is the implicit Euler discretization technique together with a differential inclusion framework<br>which allow us to make a suitable selection of the control values that will compensate for the<br>disturbances. Numerical example disturbances. Numerical examples confirm the effectiveness of the proposed methodology. which is the implicit Euler discretization technique to  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  inclusion  $\frac{1}{2}$  inclusion  $\frac{1}{2}$  inclusion fractive to  $\frac{1}{2}$  inclusion fractive to  $\frac{1}{2}$  inclusion  $\frac{1}{2}$  inclusion  $\frac{1}{2$ disturbances. Numerical examples comirm the enectiveness of the proposed methodology. valued control law that achieves the robust regulation of the plant and at the same time reduces substantially the chattering effect in both the input and the sidding variables. The cornerstone is the implicit culer discretization technique together with a differential inclusion framework which allow us to make a suitable selection of the control values that will compensate for the

© 2017, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  is the problem including control, substitute  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  including  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  and the systems,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ © 2017, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Differential inclusions, robust control, sliding-mode control, discrete-time systems, linear uncertain systems, Lyapunov stability. linear uncertain systems, Lyapunov stability. Keywords: Differential inclusions, robust control, sliding-mode control, discrete-time systems, mear uncertain systems, Lyapunov stability.

## 1. INTRODUCTION 1. INTRODUCTION 1. INTRODUCTION

There exists an extensive literature on discrete-time There exists an extensive interature on discrete-time  $\frac{1}{2}$  into two groups. In one group we have the works that rely on discontinuous control actions, as for example Bartoswewicz [1998], Galias and Yu [2007], Gao et al. [1995], Kaynak and Denker [1993], Spurgeon [1991]. The slidingmode control law is discretized using an explicit Euler technique and is limited by the condition that the ideal mode control law is discretized using an explicit Euler Kaynak and Denker [1993], Spurgeon [1991]. The slidingtechnique and is limited by the condition that the ideal sliding-mode is never reached, leading to concepts such as *quasi sliding*, a term that refers to the fact that the as quasi sliding, a term that refers to the fact that the system trajectories will ultimately belong to a boundsystem trajectories will utiliately belong to a bound-<br>ary layer of the sliding manifold even in the absence of ary layer of the shang manifold even in the absence of disturbances. The main problem with the discontinuous control approach is the susceptibility to the appearance of control approach is the susceptibility to the appearance of chattering. Indeed, at a point of discontinuity the control chattering. Indeed, at a point of discontinuity the control<br>law cannot take values lying between its different limits, so a high frequency switching becomes necessary for maintaining the system in the sliding phase [Utkin 1992]. It taining the system in the shang phase [Utkin 1992]. It chattering in these schemes. chattering in these schemes. is thus not surprising to see considerably high levels of chattering in these schemes.  $T_{\text{total}}$  at this point, can be divided into two groups. In one group we have the works that rely on discontinuous control actions, as for example parrely on discontinuous control and  $\frac{1}{2001}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2000}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2000}$  and  $\frac{1}{2000}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2000}$  and  $\frac{1}{2000}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2000}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$  $\alpha$  ay hake and Denker [1995], Spurgeon [1991]. The shame- $\mu$  and  $\mu$  control law is discretized using an explicit Euler  $\frac{1}{2}$  is discretized using and is discretized using an explicit Euler Eul shamg-mode is never reached, leading to concepts such  $\frac{1}{2}$  as quast studing, a term that refers to the fact that the stem trajectories will ultimately belong the subset of the absence of the bounddisturbances. The main problem with the discontinuous control approach is the susceptibility to the appearance of chartering. Indeed, at a point of discontriumy the control raw cannot take values lying between its different films, so a might requency switching becomes necessary for main- $\frac{1}{2}$  along the system in the shaing phase [Otkin 1992]. It is thus not surprising to see considerably high levels of

The central idea among the second group of controllers is that, similar to the differential inclusions described in the work of Filippov and Arscott [1988], the discrete-time the work of Filippov and Arscott [1988], the discrete-time is that, similar to the differential inclusions described in the work of Filippov and Arscott [1988], the discrete-time<br>system should be governed by a difference inclusion, not a difference equation [Acary and Brogliato 2010, Acary a difference equation [Acary and Brogliato 2010, Acary system should be governed by a difference inclusion, not a difference equation [Acary and Brogliato 2010, Acary et al. 2012, Huber et al. 2016b,c]. These works are based a difference equation [Acary and Brogliato 2010, Acary<br>et al. 2012, Huber et al. 2016b,c]. These works are based<br>on the use of set-valued control laws for which a selection compensating the matched disturbances is possible. on the use of set-valued control laws for which a selection. compensating the matched disturbances is possible.  $T_{\rm H}$  is character controller controllers in controllers are second group of controllers and  $T_{\rm H}$  $\frac{1}{1}$  that, similar to the differential inclusions described inclusions described in  $\frac{1}{1}$ system should be governed by a difference inclusion, not a difference equation [Acary and Droghato 2010, Acary et al. 2012, fluoer et al. 2010b, C<sub>1</sub>. 1 liese works are based on the use of set-valued control laws for which a selection

In practical terms, the difference between both approaches In practical terms, the difference between both approaches<br>lays on the type of discretization used. Whereas the former group employs an explicit Euler discretization, the former group employs an explicit Euler discretization, the lays on the type of discretization used. Whereas the former group employs an explicit Euler discretization, the nays on the type of discretization used. Whereas the second one employs an implicit one. In the latter case, the resulting controller turns out to be Lipschitz continuous, resulting controller turns out to be Lipschitz continuous, second one employs an implicit one. In the latter case, the resulting controller turns out to be Lipschitz continuous,<br>which results in a substantial reduction of chattering, Huber et al.  $[2016b,c]$ , Wang et al.  $[2015]$ . which results in a substantial reduction of chattering,<br>Huber et al. [2016b c]. Wang et al. [2015] Huber et al.  $[20100C]$ , wang et al.  $[2015]$ . resulting controller turns out to be Lipschitz continuous, which results in a substantial reduction of chattering,<br>II leaded to be Lipschitz continuous,

The present work falls into the second group and is dedicated to the study of uncertain systems, i.e., we consider cated to the study of uncertain systems, i.e., we consider The present work falls into the second group and is dedithe case where the system matrices are uncertain. The the case where the system matrices are uncertain. The cated to the study of uncertain systems, i.e., we consider cated to the study of uncertain systems, i.e., we consider<br>the case where the system matrices are uncertain. The<br>class of uncertainty considered is large enough to embrace class of uncertainty considered is large enough to embrace<br>parametric uncertainty as well as nonlinear unmodeled parametric uncertainty as well as nonlinear unmodeled<br>dynamics and external perturbations. It is also worth remarking that the works by Acary and Brogliato [2010], Acary et al.  $[2012]$ , Huber et al.  $[2016b,c]$  do not consider uncertainty in the system parameters. uncertainty in the system parameters. Acary et al. [2012], Huber et al. [2016b,c] do not consider uncertainty in the system parameters. cated to the study of uncertain systems, i.e., we consider the case where the system matrices are uncertain. The parametric uncertainty as well as nonlinear uninodeled qynamics and external perturbations. It is also worth remarking that the works by Acary and Droghato [2010],  $r_{\text{c}}$  and  $r_{\text{c}}$  are works by Acary and Broglian  $r_{\text{c}}$  and  $r_{\text{c}}$  a

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 sets the notation and recalls some concepts from convex analysis. notation and recalls some concepts from convex analysis.<br>Section 3 presents, very shortly, the design of continuoustime sliding-mode controllers for systems with model untime shaing-mode controllers for systems with model un-<br>certainty and external matched disturbances. Section 4 constitutes the main body of the paper. Here, the methodology design of discrete-time sliding mode controllers is ology design of discrete-time situating mode controllers is<br>presented together with well-posedness and stability represented together with well-posedness and stability re-<br>sults. Finally, Section 5 shows the effectiveness of the sults. Finally, Section 5 shows the effectiveness of the proposed controller and its superior performance when compared against explicit Euler discretization techniques. compared against explicit Euler discretization techniques. proposed controller and its superior performance when compared against explicit Euler discretization techniques. bection a presents, very shortly, the design of continuoustime shoing-mode controllers for systems with model untertainty and external matched disturbances. Section 4 constitutes the main body of the paper. Here, the methodpresented together with well-posedness and stability resuits.  $\mathbf{r}$  many, bection 5 snows the enectiveness of the proposed controller and its superior performance when

### 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION compared in the Against Euler and MOTHER ON

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be a *n*-dimensional linear space, given with the Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be a *n*-dimensional linear space, given with the classical Euclidean inner product denoted as  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the crassical Euclidean inner product denoted as  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be a *n*-dimensional linear space, given with the Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be a *n*-dimensional linear space, given with the<br>classical Euclidean inner product denoted as  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  and the  $\overline{P}$ 

Definition 1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper, convex, Definition 1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function. The subdifferential of  $f$  at by the set of semicontinuous function. The subdifferential of f at  $x \in \text{Dom } f$  is given by the set corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .<br>Definition 1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper, convex,  $x \in$  Dom f is given by the set Definition 1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n$ lower semicontinuous function. The subdifferential of f at  $r ∈$  Dom f is given by the set

$$
\partial f(x) := \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \zeta, \eta - x \rangle \le f(\eta) - f(x),
$$
  
for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$ 

Definition 2. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function. The proximal map  $\text{Prox}_f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unique minimizer of  $f(w) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - \frac{1}{2}||^2$  $w\Vert^2$ , that is,

$$
f(\text{Prox}_f(x)) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - \text{Prox}_f(x)||^2 =
$$
  

$$
\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f(w) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - w||^2 \right\}.
$$

Note that for  $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ , the indicator function of the set C, the proximal map corresponds to the well-know projection operator, see Hiriart-Urruty and Lemaréchal [1993]. The following result, extracted from [Bauschke and Combettes 2011, Proposition 12.26], establishes a link between the two former concepts.

*Proposition 3.* Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function. Then,  $p = \text{Prox}_f(x)$ if, and only if,  $x - p \in \partial f(p)$ .

Remark 4. It follows from Proposition 3 that the map  $(I + \alpha \partial f)^{-1}$  is singled valued. More specifically, Prox<sub> $\alpha f$ </sub> =  $(I + \alpha \partial f)^{-1}$ . Indeed, assume that  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are such that  $y_i \in (I + \alpha \partial f)^{-1}(x)$ . We have,  $x - y_i \in \alpha \partial f(y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence, Proposition 3 gives  $y_1 = y_2 = \text{Prox}_{\alpha f}(x)$ .

In the upcoming discussion the conjugate function  $f^*$  of a proper function will play an important role. Here we recall its definition.

Definition 5. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . The conjugate of  $f$  is,

$$
f^{\star}(z) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \langle z, x \rangle - f(x) \right\}.
$$

Theorem 6. (Moreau's decomposition). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup$  ${+\infty}$  be a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be strictly positive. Then, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the following identity holds:

$$
x = \text{Prox}_{\alpha f}(x) + \alpha \text{Prox}_{f^{\star}/\alpha}(x/\alpha).
$$

Along this paper we denote the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as  $I_n$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| < 1\}$  represents the unit open ball with center at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Euclidean norm. The interior, closure, and boundary of a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  are denoted as int S, cl S, and bd S respectively.

## 3. A QUICK REVIEW OF CONTINUOUS-TIME SLIDING-MODE CONTROL

We begin with a quick look at the continuous-time slidingmode control problem. To this end, let us consider the uncertain plant

 $\dot{x} = (A + \Delta_A(t, x))x(t) + B(u(t) + w(t, x)), x(0) = x_0, (1)$ where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  represents the state of the system,  $u(t) \in$ R is the scalar control input and  $w(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$  accounts for external disturbances and unmodeled dynamics. The matrices  $A, \Delta_A$  and  $B$  are of the appropriate dimensions. It is assumed that the matrix  $\Delta_A(t, x)$  is unknown but is uniformly upper-bounded by

$$
\Delta_A(t, x) \Lambda \Delta_A^\top(t, x) < I_n \tag{2}
$$

with  $\Lambda = \Lambda^{\top} > 0$  a known matrix. We also make the following standard assumptions.

Assumption 7. The pair  $(A, B)$  is stabilizable.

Assumption 8. The disturbance term  $w(t, x)$  is uniformly bounded in the  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$  sense, that is, there exists  $W > 0$  such that  $\sup_{t>0} ||w(t,x)|| \leq W < +\infty$ .

The first step in the design of sliding-mode controllers consists in fixing the sliding surface  $\sigma(x) = 0$  in such a way that the behaviour of the system constrained to the sliding surface satisfies the performance requirements. The second step consists in the design of the control law that will steer the state towards the sliding surface and will maintain it there, even in the presence of model uncertainties and external disturbances. An assumption concerning the sliding surface is the following.

Assumption 9. The matrix  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$  is such that the product CB is nonsingular.

The previous assumption ensures the uniqueness of the equivalent control (see, e.g. Utkin et al. [2009]). Namely, by considering the sliding surface as the hyperplane  $\sigma = Cx$ , the equivalent control is computed from the invariance condition  $\dot{\sigma} = 0$  as

$$
C(Ax^{eq} + B(u^{eq} + w)) + \Delta_A(t, x^{eq})x^{eq}) = 0 \Rightarrow
$$
  

$$
u^{eq} = -(CB)^{-1}C(Ax^{eq} + \Delta_A(t, x^{eq})x^{eq}) - w.
$$

Substitution of the equivalent control into (1) leads to the expression of the dynamics in sliding phase,

$$
\dot{x}^{\text{eq}} = (I_n - B(CB)^{-1}C) (A + \Delta_A(t, x^{\text{eq}})) x^{\text{eq}}, \quad (3)
$$

from which it becomes clear that the matrix characterizing the sliding hyperplane plays a role in the reduced system dynamics. There exists many methods for the design of the sliding surface, e.g., LQR design [Utkin 1992, Chapter 9], eigenvalue placement [Utkin et al. 2009, Chapter 7],  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  control [Castaños and Fridman 2006], linear matrix inequalities [Polyakov and Poznyak 2011], see also [Shtessel et al. 2014, Section 2.4.2], among others. Here we relegate the design of the sliding surface in continuous time to the background and focus instead on the discrete-time setting. As mentioned above, the second step consists in designing the set-valued control law that will bring the system into the sliding regime. The design procedure is divided into two steps. Namely, first we compute a control law for the nominal version of (1) (i.e.,  $\Delta_A \equiv 0$  and  $w \equiv 0$ ) and then the set-valued controller that will provide the necessary robustness. Thus, the control law is set as

$$
u = u^{\text{nom}} - \gamma_1(x) \operatorname{Sgn}(\sigma), \tag{4}
$$

where  $u^{\text{nom}}$  is a control input for the nominal system and  $\gamma_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a control gain. It is worth remarking that the trajectories of the closed-loop (1), (4) will reach the sliding surface  $\sigma = Cx$  in finite time, from where the reduced system will go asymptotically to the origin whenever the matrix  $C$  is well-designed.

In conclusion, the common methodology design for slidingmode controllers in continuous time relies on the appropriate design of the matrix C that will make the reduced system asymptotically stable, whereas the set-valued controller will compensate for all the matched disturbances.

# ِ متن کامل مقا<mark>ل</mark>ه

- ✔ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی √ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات ✔ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی ✔ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله √ امکان دانلود رایگان ٢ صفحه اول هر مقاله √ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب ✔ دانلود فورى مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاين ✔ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- **ISIA**rticles مرجع مقالات تخصصى ايران