Model 3Gsc

pp. 1-15 (col. fig: NIL)

Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference xx (xxxx) xx

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jspi)

Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jspi

Frequentist model averaging estimation for the censored partial linear quantile regression model

Zhimeng Sunª, Liuqu[a](#page-0-0)n Sunʰ[∗](#page-0-2), Xiaoling Lu¢, Ji Zhu₫, Yongzhuang Liª

a *School of Statistics and Mathematics, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing, China*

b *Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China*

c *School of Statistics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China*

^d *Department of Statistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 March 2016 Received in revised form 24 April 2017 Accepted 25 April 2017 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Model averaging Model selection Partial linear model Quantile regression Random censoring

1. Introduction ¹

a b s t r a c t

In this article, we propose a focused information criterion (FIC) and develop a frequentist model averaging estimation procedure for a partial linear regression model when the response is randomly right-censored. The proposed procedure is based on the quantile regression and can depict the comprehensive character of the distribution of the response by means of modeling different quantiles. The large sample properties of the proposed estimators are established, and their finite sample properties are examined through simulation studies. An application to a primary biliary cirrhosis data set is provided.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The semiparametric partial linear regression model is a flexible generalization of the linear model and the nonparametric $\frac{2}{3}$ model. There has been a great amount of literature addressing the partial linear model from both theoretical and practical ³ perspectives since it was first introduced by [Engle](#page--1-0) [et al.](#page--1-0) [\(1986\)](#page--1-1). See, for example, [Heckman](#page--1-1) (1986), [Chen](#page--1-2) [\(1988\)](#page--1-2) and 4 [Speckman](#page--1-3) [\(1988\)](#page--1-3). Most of existing literature considers the partial linear model with fixed covariates. Obviously, model $\frac{5}{5}$ selection is also an important issue for a partial linear model, especially when researchers are able to collect richer data ϵ with the development of advanced techniques nowadays. To conduct model selection, several criteria including AIC [\(Akaike,](#page--1-4) [1973\)](#page--1-4), BIC [\(Schwarz,](#page--1-5) [1978\)](#page--1-5), Lasso [\(Tibshirani,](#page--1-6) [1997\)](#page--1-6), SCAD [\(Fan](#page--1-7) [and](#page--1-7) [Li,](#page--1-7) [2001\)](#page--1-7) and FIC [\(Claeskens](#page--1-8) [and](#page--1-8) [Hjort,](#page--1-8) [2003\)](#page--1-8) can ⁸ be employed. Nevertheless, as argued by many authors, these model selection procedures neglect the uncertainty in the ⁹ selection process and may lose some useful information contained in potential models (e.g., [Hjort](#page--1-9) [and](#page--1-9) [Claeskens,](#page--1-9) [2003;](#page--1-9) [Yuan](#page--1-10) 10° [and](#page--1-10) [Yang,](#page--1-10) [2005;](#page--1-10) [Leung](#page--1-11) [and](#page--1-11) [Barron,](#page--1-11) [2006\)](#page--1-11). An effective way to overcome the under-reporting problems of model selection ¹¹ procedures is by model averaging, rather than attaching to a single 'winning' model. Model averaging is a generalization ¹² of model selection and can provide a kind of insurance against model selection instability by weighting estimators across ¹³ many potential models. The contract of the con

Much early work discussed model averaging techniques from a Bayesian perspective. Those methods are widely used ¹⁵ recently due to the advance in computing techniques. However, model assumptions of these Bayesian model averaging 16

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: sunzhimeng99@126.com (Z. Sun), slq@amt.ac.cn (L. Sun), xiaolinglu@ruc.edu.cn (X. Lu), jizhu@umich.edu (J. Zhu), liyongzhuang507@163.com (Y. Li).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2017.04.001> 0378-3758/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Sun, Z., et al., Frequentist model averaging estimation for the censored partial linear quantile regression model. J. Statist. Plann. Inference (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2017.04.001

JSPI: 5557

Z. Sun et al. / Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference xx (xxxx) xxx–xxx

 methods are complicated and hard to be visually explained. A useful overview of the literature is referred to [Hoeting](#page--1-12) [et al.](#page--1-12) [\(1999\)](#page--1-12). Contributions from a frequentist perspective are fewer, but this strategy has received more and more attention in the recent years. For example, [Buckland](#page--1-13) [et al.](#page--1-13) [\(1997\)](#page--1-13) proposed a smoothed BIC weight choice method for model averaging. [Hjort](#page--1-9) [and](#page--1-9) [Claeskens](#page--1-9) [\(2003\)](#page--1-9) suggested a smoothed FIC weight choice method. [Hansen](#page--1-14) [\(2007\)](#page--1-14) gave a weight choosing procedure through the Mallows' criterion. [Zhang](#page--1-15) [and](#page--1-15) [Liang](#page--1-15) [\(2011\)](#page--1-15) developed the smoothed FIC model averaging method for the generalized additive partial linear model. [Zhang](#page--1-16) [et al.](#page--1-16) [\(2014\)](#page--1-16) considered the model averaging approach for the linear mixed-effects model. [Xu](#page--1-17) et al. (2014) studied the focused information criterion and frequentist model averaging for the partial linear quantile regression model. These works provide insightful theoretical results and effective tools for practical applications. However, most of the existing literature considers uncensored data and there are few effective procedures for censored data that is very common in many applications.

 Censored data often arise in economics, biomedicine, industry and many other fields. For example, duration data in econometrics are typical censored response data. In biomedicine, the survival time of a patient is usually censored. A rich body of work exists with respect to the regression analysis of censored response data [\(Koul](#page--1-18) [et al.,](#page--1-18) [1981;](#page--1-18) [Lai](#page--1-19) [et al.,](#page--1-19) [1995;](#page--1-19) [Bang](#page--1-20) [and](#page--1-20) [Tsiatis,](#page--1-20) [2002;](#page--1-20) [Jin](#page--1-21) [et al.,](#page--1-21) [2003;](#page--1-21) [Portnoy,](#page--1-22) [2003;](#page--1-22) [Chen](#page--1-23) [et al.,](#page--1-23) [2005;](#page--1-23) [Zeng](#page--1-24) [and](#page--1-24) [Lin,](#page--1-24) [2007;](#page--1-24) [Wang](#page--1-25) [and](#page--1-25) [Wang,](#page--1-25) [2009;](#page--1-25) [Shows](#page--1-26) [et al.,](#page--1-26) [2010;](#page--1-26) [Du](#page--1-27) [et al.,](#page--1-27) [2013;](#page--1-27) Wang, Zhou and Li, 2013). For example, [Bang](#page--1-20) [and](#page--1-20) [Tsiatis](#page--1-20) [\(2002\)](#page--1-20) proposed a semiparametric procedure for estimating parameters in the median regression model using a weighted estimating equation. [Chen](#page--1-23) [et al.](#page--1-23) [\(2005\)](#page--1-23) provided a rank estimation procedure to the partial linear model based on the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney estimating function. [Wang](#page--1-25) [and](#page--1-25) [Wang](#page--1-25) [\(2009\)](#page--1-25) suggested a locally weighted censored quantile regression approach by adopting the redistribution of mass idea. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no existing work considering the frequentist model averaging for censored response data.

 In this paper, we develop a FIC and model averaging procedure for a partial linear model with randomly right-censored response. Unlike the traditional criteria aiming at selecting a 'best' model for all parameters, the FIC is adaptive for different focus parameters. The covariates may affect the response very differently in different quantile levels. Thus, to depict the comprehensive character of the distribution of the response, we have to consider the influence of the covariates on the center of the response as well as their influence on other quantiles. In addition, outliers might have significant impact on either the least-square or likelihood-based methods. Also, we may confront heavy-tailed model errors. All of these motivate us to make model selection and run averaging in quantile regression to handle these problems.

 The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section [2](#page-1-0) describes the model framework and presents the estimation procedure under sub-models. Section [3](#page--1-28) specifies the FIC and model averaging procedure as well as the confidence interval for the focus parameters. In Section [4,](#page--1-29) we develop a resampling method to estimate the asymptotic covariance matrix of the 31 proposed estimators. Section [5](#page--1-30) reports some numerical results from simulation studies for evaluating the proposed method. An application to the primary biliary cirrhosis (BPC) data set is provided in Section [6.](#page--1-31) Some concluding remarks are given in 33 Section [7.](#page--1-32) Proofs of theorems are relegated to the [Appendix.](#page--1-33)

2. Estimation procedure under sub-models

 Our aim is to model the relationship between the response *T* with a continuous distribution function *F* and its affecting explanatory variables. When censoring is present, some observations of the response cannot be observed but are known to be no less than the censoring values while others are completely observed. Let *C* be the censoring time with a continuous s 8 survival function $G(t) = P(C > t)$. In what follows, for simplicity, we assume that *C* is independent of *T* and the explanatory variables. The methods developed can be generalized to allow for the dependence between *C* and the explanatory variables, and some discussion about this is given in Section [7.](#page--1-32)

 Suppose that the true relationship between *T* and its explanatory variables can be described by the following partial linear model

$$
T = X^{\top} \beta_0(\tau) + g_0(Z, \tau) + \varepsilon(\tau), \tag{1}
$$

at a fixed quantile level $\tau \in (0, 1)$, where X is a $d \times 1$ vector of covariates linearly related to the response, Z is a covariate 45 nonlinearly related to the response, *ε* is the model error with zero *τ* th conditional quantile given *X* and *Z*, $β_0(τ)$ is the *d*-46 dimensional coefficient vector at the τth quantile, $g_0(\cdot, \tau)$ is an unknown smooth function at the τ[†]th quantile. Although the "intercept" term does not appear in model [\(1\),](#page-1-1) it is actually included in the functional component. For simplicity, we assume that *Z* is distributed on a compact interval [0, 1]. Also we suppress τ in $\beta_0(\tau)$ and $g_0(\cdot, \tau)$ for notational 49 convenience. Let $\{T_i, C_i, X_i, Z_i, \varepsilon_i; i = 1, ..., n\}$ be independent replicates of $\{T, C, X, Z, \varepsilon\}$. Suppose that we observe 50 $\{Y_i, \delta_i, X_i, Z_i; i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, where $Y_i = \min(T_i, C_i)$, $\delta_i = I(T_i \le C_i)$, and $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function.

 To estimate the functional component *g*0, we can approximate *g*⁰ by spline functions under mild smoothness 52 assumptions. Let \mathcal{T}_n , with $0=t_1=\cdots=t_l < t_{l+1} < \cdots < t_{m_n+l} < t_{m_n+l+1} = \cdots = t_{m_n+2l} = 1$ be a sequence of knots that 53 partition the closed interval [0, 1] into $m_n + 1$ subintervals $I_i = [t_{l+i}, t_{l+i+1})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, m_n - 1$ and $I_{m_n} = [t_{m_n+l}, t_{m_n+l+1}]$, 54 where m_n increases with the sample size *n*. Also let $\delta_n(\mathcal{T}_n, l)$ be the space of polynomial splines on [0, 1] of degree $l \geq 1$ with knots T*n*. Then, S*n*(T*n*, *l*) consists of functions that are polynomials of degree *l* on each of the subintervals, and are *l* − 1 times continuously differentiable on [0, 1] for $l \geq 2$. Under proper conditions on g_0 (e.g., Condition (C2) below), according to Corollary 4.10 of [Schumaker](#page--1-34) [\(1981\)](#page--1-34), we can approximate g_0 as

$$
g_0(z) \approx B^\top(z)\alpha_0,\tag{2}
$$

ِ متن کامل مقا<mark>ل</mark>ه

- ✔ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی √ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات ✔ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی ✔ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله √ امکان دانلود رایگان ٢ صفحه اول هر مقاله √ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب ✔ دانلود فورى مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاين ✔ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- **ISIA**rticles مرجع مقالات تخصصى ايران