



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computers and Operations Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cor

An improved integer linear programming formulation for the closest 0-1 string problem



Claudio Arbib^a, Mara Servilio^{b,*}, Paolo Ventura^b

^a Dipartimento di Scienze/Ingegneria dell'Informazione e Matematica, Università degli Studi dell'Aquila via Vetoio, Coppito, I-67010 L'Aquila, Italy

^b Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi e Informatica "A. Ruberti" CNR, Via dei Taurini, 19 - 00185, Roma, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 December 2015

Revised 18 November 2016

Accepted 19 November 2016

Available online 19 November 2016

Keywords:

Closest string problem

Branch-and-cut

Continuous relaxation

ABSTRACT

The Closest String Problem (CSP) calls for finding an n -string that minimizes its maximum Hamming distance from m given n -strings. Recently, integer linear programs (ILP) have been successfully applied within heuristics to improve efficiency and effectiveness. We consider an ILP for the binary case (0-1 CSP) that updates the previous formulations and solve it by branch-and-cut. The method separates in polynomial time the first closure of $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -Chvátal-Gomory cuts and can either be used stand-alone to find optimal solutions, or as a plug-in to improve heuristics based on the exact solution of reduced problems. Due to the parity structure of the right-hand side, the impressive performances obtained with this method in the binary case cannot be directly replicated in the general case.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let A be an alphabet with p symbols. The CLOSEST STRING—OR CENTER STRING—PROBLEM (CSP) calls for finding a string $\mathbf{x} \in A^n$ that better approximates a given set S of strings $\mathbf{s}^1, \dots, \mathbf{s}^m \in A^n$. Approximation is measured with the Hamming distance $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, that counts the number of different components in \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} . An optimal solution of the CSP is an \mathbf{x}^* that, among all strings $\mathbf{x} \in A^n$, minimizes the maximum distance $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}^i)$ from any $\mathbf{s}^i \in S$.

The CSP arises in such fields as computational biology and coding theory, and is NP-hard. The alphabet A can contain two or more symbols, depending on application: for example, $p = 2$ in encoding problems, $p = 4$ in DNA recognition etc. In the former case we refer to binary (or 0-1) CSP.

Due to its importance, the problem has recently attracted extensive research, see e.g. [5,8–10]. Various integer linear programming (ILP) formulations have also been proposed to solve it, see [1,6,7], and ILP is a key factor of success for the present state-of-the-art heuristics [2,3]. Therefore, improving the performance of ILP formulations for the CSP is a way to improve the performance of those algorithms.

In this paper we focus on the binary CSP. We revise the formulation in [1] and strengthen the polyhedron Q of its continuous relaxation by the first closure of $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -Chvátal-Gomory cuts

(in short, $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG cuts). We prove that when the polyhedron Q' of the first closure is defined by the inequalities of our formulation, the points in $Q - Q'$ can be separated in polynomial-time. We also point out that, with the formulation here considered, Q' has different properties in the general and in the binary case: in the former, we observe that $Q = Q'$, thus separating over Q' is pointless; on the contrary, the cuts in Q' are generally very effective in the binary case. Based on this analysis, we develop a branch-and-cut algorithm for the binary CSP, and test it on instances from [3]. The cuts in the first closure are often sufficient to get an impressive speed-up of CPU time.

2. An integer linear programming formulation for the general CSP

Let d denote the largest Hamming distance of the desired string \mathbf{x} from a string in the target set S . In the so-called “natural” formulation [1], \mathbf{x} is encoded by a matrix $\mathbf{Y} \in \{0, 1\}^{p \times n}$ with exactly one 1 per column: the entries $y_{\alpha k}$ of \mathbf{Y} are binary decision variables that assign a symbol $\alpha \in A$ to each component x_k of \mathbf{x} . The problem is formulated as follows:

$$\min d \quad (1)$$

$$d + \sum_{k=1}^n y_{s_i^k k} \geq n \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} y_{\alpha k} = 1 \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

* Corresponding author. Mara Servilio

E-mail addresses: claudio.arbib@univaq.it (C. Arbib), mara.servilio@iasi.cnr.it (M. Servilio), paolo.ventura@iasi.cnr.it (P. Ventura).

$$y_{\alpha k} \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -y_{\alpha k} &\geq -1 \\ y_{\alpha k} &\text{ integer } \alpha \in A, k = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In the i th constraint (2), s_k^i is the symbol of A occurring at the k th component of \mathbf{s}^i : hence the summation on the left-hand side counts the bits of \mathbf{x} that are equal to the corresponding bits of \mathbf{s}^i . The distance between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{s}^i is therefore the complement of this summation to n . For instance, for $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $n = 5$ and $\mathbf{s}^i = abcd$, inequality (2) reads

$$d + y_{a1} + y_{b2} + y_{c3} + y_{c4} + y_{d5} \geq 5$$

or, eliminating y_{d5} by (3) as in [11],

$$d + y_{a1} + y_{b2} + y_{b3} + y_{c4} - y_{a5} - y_{b5} - y_{c5} \geq 4$$

The nonzero support of these inequalities does not seem to have a combinatorial structure that can be exploited to efficiently separate $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG cuts. Then we suggest here a “dense” formulation where such cuts can easily be separated. To this aim, we encode a generic string \mathbf{s}^i in the same way as the \mathbf{x} , setting $s_{\alpha k}^i = 1$ if $s_k^i = \alpha$ and 0 otherwise, for any $\alpha \in A$. The following expression

$$f^i(x_k) = (y_{\alpha k} - s_{\alpha k}^i)^2 = y_{\alpha k} - 2s_{\alpha k}^i y_{\alpha k} + s_{\alpha k}^i$$

gets value 0 if $y_{\alpha k} = s_{\alpha k}^i$ (that is, $x_k = s_k^i$) and 1 otherwise. In the latter case, $y_{\alpha k}$ differs from $s_{\alpha k}^i$ in exactly two cases; therefore

$$f^i(x_k) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}^i(x_k) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (y_{\alpha k} - 2s_{\alpha k}^i y_{\alpha k} + s_{\alpha k}^i)$$

gets value 0 for $x_k = s_k^i$ and 2 otherwise. Consequently

$$2d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}^i) = \sum_{k=1}^n f^i(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in A} (y_{\alpha k} - 2s_{\alpha k}^i y_{\alpha k} + s_{\alpha k}^i)$$

Using the expression above and observing that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in A} s_{\alpha k}^i = n$$

we can replace (2) by

$$2d + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in A} (2s_{\alpha k}^i - 1)y_{\alpha k} \geq n \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (6)$$

Note that the coefficient $(2s_{\alpha k}^i - 1)$ of any variable $y_{\alpha k}$ in inequality (6) is ± 1 : therefore we refer to (6) as to *dense inequalities*. Because of hyperplanes (3), the polyhedron (3)–(6) has dimension $(p-1)n+1$.

3. Reformulation for the binary case

Assuming $A = \{0, 1\}$, the components of \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{S}^i of Section 2 become

$$y_{1k} = x_k, \quad s_{1k}^i = s_k^i, \quad y_{0k} = 1 - x_k, \quad s_{0k}^i = 1 - s_k^i$$

where complementation derives from the assignment equations (3). The Hamming distance between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{s}^i is then directly expressed by

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}^i) = \sum_{k=1}^n [s_k^i(1-x_k) + (1-s_k^i)x_k]$$

with $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Therefore, a string \mathbf{x} whose distance from any \mathbf{s}^i is at most d must fulfill

$$x(N_0^i) - x(N_1^i) = \sum_{k \in N_0^i} x_k - \sum_{k \in N_1^i} x_k \leq d - \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^i$$

where N_0^i and N_1^i denote the set of indexes k such that $s_k^i = 0$ and $s_k^i = 1$, respectively. Rewriting the above condition with $d = 2\delta$ and $n^i = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^i$, we get our formulation:

$$\min \delta \quad (7)$$

$$2\delta - \sum_{k \in N_0^i} x_k + \sum_{k \in N_1^i} x_k \geq n^i \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (8)$$

$$x_k \geq 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -x_k &\geq -1 \\ x_k &\text{ integer } k = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Just like (6), inequalities (8) have the x coefficients in $\{-1, +1\}$ and are again called *dense*. We distinguish between *odd* and *even* dense inequalities according to the parity of the right-hand side n^i . Note that in the non-binary CSP, all dense inequalities have the same parity (in fact, in this case n^i is always equal to n). In the binary CSP, instead, the parity of the right-hand sides is instance-dependent. In the test bed used for our computational experiments we observed odd and even n^i 's quite randomly distributed. This fact plays a crucial role in the strength of the method here proposed, as we will see next.

4. $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -Chvátal-Gomory cuts for the binary case

Unlike the general Chvátal-Gomory cuts, $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG cuts are not derived from the polyhedron Q obtained by linearly relaxing the integer formulation but from the particular system of linear inequalities used to describe Q . In general, let S denote the system of linear inequalities of an ILP formulation:

$$S = \{a^i y \geq b^i \text{ with } a^i \in \mathbb{Z}^m \text{ and } b^i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \in I\},$$

and define the feasible set and its linear relaxation, respectively, as

$$P = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n : y \text{ satisfies } S\} \quad Q = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y \text{ satisfies } S\}$$

A $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG cut for P is obtained by combining inequalities in S with multipliers that are either 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$, so that the coefficients at the left-hand side are integer and the right-hand side is not. In this way, one can round the right-hand side up to the closest integer, and get an inequality which is valid for P and not for Q . Equivalently, a $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG cut $ax \geq b$ can be derived from a linear combination of $a^i x \geq b^i$ with $\lambda^i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$a_j = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda^i a_j^i \text{ is even for } j = 1, \dots, n \quad b = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda^i b^i \text{ is odd} \quad (11)$$

Let S' contain all the $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG cuts that can be derived from the inequalities of S . Such a system is called the *first* $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ -CG closure of S .

Take $\bar{y} \in Q$, and consider the problem of separating \bar{y} with a cut in S' , that is, finding an inequality of S' that is violated by \bar{y} , or conclude that S' does not contain such an inequality. The problem can be rephrased as follows:

Problem 1. Find $\lambda^i \in \{0, 1\}$ fulfilling (11) and such that

$$\text{viol}(\lambda, \bar{y}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} \lambda^i a_j^i \right) \bar{y}_j + \left\lceil \frac{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda^i b^i}{2} \right\rceil > 0.$$

Rewrite the violation as

$$\text{viol}(\lambda, \bar{y}) = - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} \lambda^i \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^i \bar{y}_j + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \lambda^i b^i + 1 \right)$$

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات