EI SEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Social Science & Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed



The problem of choice: From the voluntary way to Affordable Care Act health insurance exchanges



Jessica Mulligan

Providence College, 1 Cunningham Square, Providence, RI 02918-0001, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 14 October 2016
Received in revised form
23 March 2017
Accepted 25 March 2017
Available online 28 March 2017

Keywords: United States Affordable Care Act Risk Insurance Medical anthropology Ethnography Choice Access to coverage

ABSTRACT

This article takes a genealogical and ethnographic approach to the problem of choice, arguing that what choice means has been reworked several times since health insurance first figured prominently in national debates about health reform. Whereas voluntary choice of doctor and hospital used to be framed as an American right, contemporary choice rhetoric includes consumer choice of insurance plan. Understanding who has deployed choice rhetoric and to what ends helps explain how offering choices has become the common sense justification for defending and preserving the exclusionary health care system in the United States. Four case studies derived from 180 enrollment observations at the Rhode Island health insurance exchange conducted from March 2014-January 2017 and interviews with enrollees show how choice is experienced in this latest iteration of health reform. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 created new pathways to insurance coverage in the United States. Insurance exchanges were supposed to unleash the power of consumer decision-making through marketplaces where health plans compete on quality, coverage, and price. Consumers, however, contended with confusing insurance terminology and difficult to navigate websites. The ethnography shows that consumers experienced choice as confusing and overwhelming and did not feel "in charge" of their decisions. Instead, unstable employment, changes in income, existing health needs, and bureaucratic barriers shaped their "choices." © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. "More choice is always good"

Following the passage of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in 2010, Rhode Island created a state run insurance exchange (or marketplace) where residents signed up for private health insurance coverage; accessed federal tax credits and cost-sharing reductions; and enrolled in the expanded Medicaid program that covered adults up to 138 percent of the federal poverty level. I participated in the state's health exchange "expert advisory committee" from 2013 to 2015 that met regularly to offer advice to state policymakers. These meetings occurred in a heated political context with a gubernatorial election in November 2014 and criticisms of Obamacare dominating national media coverage. At one such meeting in October of 2014, on the eve of the second open enrollment season, the Director launched into a vigorous defense of the exchange. "It's working!" She told us, "we're bringing information to people." She passed around materials that included new decision aids for insurance shopping.

I tried to make sense of the aid, a crowded spreadsheet with

miniscule font and dozens of technical insurance terms like: premium, copay, deductible, coinsurance, network, metal level, and HSA (health savings account). I raised my hand and asked, "Isn't this somewhat confusing? Is there a way to simplify the information?"

Her emphatic reply was, "My position on this is that more choice is always good," and moved on.

In her statement, the Director of the health insurance exchange described choice in moral terms, choice is good. The Director's exhortation about the importance of choice is the point of departure for this article. Her statement is interesting, not because it seems outlandish, but because it encapsulates a widespread and taken for granted approach to thinking about choice in health care. Choice is desirable above almost anything else, the logic goes, it is what makes the American health care system distinctive and great. This article seeks to answer two questions: How did choice come to be seen as a moral good in reference to access to health coverage? And, do people actually experience their ability to choose as a moral good when they shop for coverage on insurance exchanges?

This article takes a genealogical and ethnographic approach to the problem of choice; I argue that what choice means has been reworked several times since health insurance first figured prominently in national debates about health reform. Understanding who has deployed choice rhetoric and to what ends helps show how differing and at times contradictory ideas about individual choice have been bundled together to create a common sense justification for why our non-universal health care system should be defended and preserved. In the second half of the article, I use ethnographic narratives from observations at the Rhode Island health insurance exchange and interviews with enrollees to show how choice is experienced in this latest iteration of health reform, that like so many previous reforms, places great emphasis on choice. The ethnography shows that consumers experience choice as confusing and overwhelming and do not feel "in charge" of their decisions. Instead, unstable employment, changes in income, existing health needs, and bureaucratic barriers shaped their "choices."

2. Interrogating choice rhetoric

This article builds on a rich body of work in critical medical anthropology and related fields that has interrogated choice rhetoric in public service provision, usually as part of a broader critique of market-based medicine that questions whether market logics, rather than a human rights ethos, should determine the distribution of medical care (Rylko-Bauer and Farmer, 2002). Health economists have long questioned the appropriateness of viewing health care as a traditional market ruled by consumer choice. The field's founder Kenneth Arrow pointed out that consumers usually cannot predict when they will need medical services and they do not shop for health care like other commodities because of knowledge asymmetries (Krugman, 2009).

Instead of a mechanism that helps health care markets find their equilibrium, many argue that choice functions primarily as a moral good. In their influential treatise on the consumer, Gabriel and Lang argue that choice is the moral foundation and 'core value' upon which market reforms to public services are justified: "Choice is inextricably linked with morality, notions of right and wrong, good and evil" (2006, 26; see also Malone, 1999; Mulligan, 2014, 189–207). The problem arises when having choices is seen as a good in and of itself irrespective of the content of those choices.

Mol, (2008) examines choice as a "logic" that countervails care,

especially because it shifts responsibility when things go wrong onto the patient:

In order to make decisions actors have to consider the relevant arguments and weigh up the advantages and disadvantages of the options available. This is not easy and all but impossible if you have a fever, are in coma, or if you are shaking with fear What follows from your choice, for better or worse, is your responsibility. (Mol, 2008, 92)

Several other observers point out that an emphasis on choice creates a dilemma for consumers who often do not have access to the specialized health or financial information that they need to make prudent decisions (Beck, 1999; Nordgren, 2010). In the case of insurance, it is nearly impossible for consumers to make informed decisions since the most important information necessary to pick their coverage—health and financial status for the coming year—is literally in the future. (Baker and Simon, 2010).

Other critiques have focused on the gaps between the lofty promises of choice rhetoric and what actually happens when people make health related choices. Feminist anthropologists have long shown that reproductive choices are made amidst multiple, overlapping constraints (Ginsburg and Rapp, 1995; Lopez, 2008). López (2005) demonstrates how beneficiaries of the public insurance benefit for low-income people known as Medicaid are "disentitled," as they are subject to opaque and unwieldy processes during enrollment and exclusions by medical clinicians when they actually try to access care (López, 2005; see also Willging, 2005). Reading these scholars together provides insight into how the notion of "choice" in public service provision operates with some dubious assumptions: choice is experienced as "good"; information is available to make prudent decisions; people are willing and able to predict the future; and beneficiaries should bare the responsibility for their decisions, no matter the cost.

3. Methodology

Most of the research on choices related to insurance exchanges has come from economists and the major health foundations that

015 INDIVIDUAL MA	RKET PLAN BENE	FIIS			Cha	Ser au cocoper all 5 ser au cocoper all 5	the benefits and co	summary of benefits wage policies desp	and coverage and it ribed have. You shoul	nourbnot be considered only refly upon the	end a contract. This is Bildence of Coverag	e document provide	g ar quantification, shi discipal form your he	SUDDEFINE OF THE	imational purposes o party for into mation a	my. Serecteenco succe	ts, limitations and ex	clusions.		P6	Heigh Sou
AGEC PLAN INFORMATION	Insurance Company	BCBSRI	BCBSRI	BCBSRI	NHPRI	UHC	UHC	BCBSRI	BC8SRI	BCBSRI	NHPRI	NHPRI	UHC	UHC	BCB\$RI	BCB\$RI	BCBSRI	NHPRI	UHC	UHC	BCBS
to disclose if Preventions of Approximation of the American St. The american year covering a special country from the health sourcesson. Plantistative very tip again and Consignation, and year strap qualify for the creation of year seam team. It was post, once for excellent and or \$100, 600 for a density of fine.	Plan Name	Structural disease for HOSA Chronic 1 Securiosco	Variaçadika Direct 100042500	VertageRt o EstacEE Direct SML*1000	Pulls	ORIGINACIDADE DATE COMPANI HEAR \$1200	Children's Section State Company (\$1000)	Blackciations for HSA Direct 2004/2000	Writigetha Orect 2014/9000	Vertage/Elue Bellec/Fil Chrost 2010/1900	COMMUNITY	Mightorhood	Underdread/scare Sthory Companie HSA: \$2,698	Challe directive and Other Companie \$1,000	Straffströme for HSS Chroni 8905719019	Veragettus Desci 880011800	Managadha Balaces Orect Best/11800	Responsed SECURE	Stance-Company Stance-Company HSA-SE-SEO	Branch Compan Branch Compan 84,798	But 8
	Metal Level	904.0	8048	906	8048	8069	9040	HANK	FA-15K	BEAR	BLIME.	Title.	BANK .	TAIL .	SPICHER	BACHER	BADADE	BADARE	BADARE	BADAR	CIENTR
MacRit Sudaya Nasaaria (MSAs) A MacRit Sasi ya Nasaaria (yadhid) yike adkus yak to aladhida to a siyawan tar kashiyi alaanad ahtal aan be usad ta haath dan siyamas dhe dahad dhe and alayayinessi.	Monthly Premium (21-year-old) Servente braffages	8274	3294	tom	8000	\$240	2349	8001	8048	8001	\$101	£200	Stra	8200	8176	2079	2104	\$167	8179	2167	916
	Annual Premium (21-year-old)	83,094	89,809	81,501	80,672	80,000	81,990	\$0,000	81,879	85,616	80,094	81,400	81,510	89,797	81,000	80,100	80,019	BUMB.	80,197	81,764	811
	HSA Quelified	/				4		/			1		1		/			1	1		
MON YOU GET YOUR CARE. There is a working the price of earlier a profession and	Network Type	PPO-spen, finishe referent sets restancido correnge	PPO-upon, Excisio deboots with settentile coverage	PPO-sustered wo- work; pay how with Tier? produces	MMO-es selective paint	HMO-Guard, PCP Referre Required	HMO-Grad, PCP Referred Required	PPO-span, Bodick carbook with radiosekib coverage	PPO-open, bookin redench with redowedle coverage	PPO-culcoci rat- trict; pay less with Ter 1 provides	HBBO—Inc reformi required	HBBD—to referred required	HMD,Basel, PCP Raterol Required	1880—Suled, POP Rate to Required	PPO-spen, facilities network with settlewide screenge	PPO-sper, facilité deficait with suffavorité ocurrage	PPO-restored restored to the fit pay been soft. That I provides	MAID—so referred required	HMO-GUAL POP Referre Required	HMD—Sered, PCP Related Required	PPO-sper settores reference
	Fit Problems of Information The New York of Information (Information Profits Profits of Information Consideration Profits (Information Profits of Information Informatio	1,888 POPM pod Million 6,888 que calaro 15 el 15 hopelado 1,887 devicado	1,880 POPM productions 5,880 specializes 18 of 18 hospitals 1,887 develops	488 POPer peofesticture in The 1, 680 in The 2 6,550 specialize in The 1, did to The 2 16 of 160 captain 1,807 desticas	1,000 POPW probabilism 4,000 specialism 10 of 10 hospitals	ETA POPW podelistena S,RIS specialista 14 of 18 bospilaris	ETEPOPA' pediatriana EJES specialisa 14 of 18 bosptido	C-MEP-Chy pediate-tree E-ME-specialis 16 of 16 temptide 1,85° decision	1,480 PCPor professione 6,900 speciales 18 of 18 hospitale 1,807 due dess	packet black in Tan 1, 852 in The 2 6,852 openint the in Tan 1, 486 in Tan 2 16 of 16 hospitals 1,857 decides	1,679 PGPV podethires 4,680 specializa 16 of 16 hospitals	1,679 POPM packatheres 4,667 apocialisms 18 of 18 hospitals	STEPCHAP partitiblene 3,000 specializa 14 of 18 hospitale	BEAPCHAI polisitions SUB specialis SE of 18 hospitals	1,882 POPM periodicina 6,885 specializa 16 of 16 temphole 1,887 devicina	1,880 POPM podiatricus 6,880 speciales 18 of 18 tosphala 1,887 destate	districtors in Text Lists in Text 2 6,500 aparties in Text 1, districtor 2 to at 16 hospitals 1,85° decision	CARRONNI pediatritors CARR specialists 18 of 16 hospitals	STAPONE pediatrians SUBS speciation 14 of 10 houghtry	MILEPOPW professione 1,952 quadrate 14 of 18 bosphale	Limited Specification Specification Specification
	Out of Network Coverage, Non-Emergency	Yes-you will pay make for our of- meteoric providers	The -you will pay make for out-of- debook providers	Yes-yeared pay more for ext-of- nations, providers	Retocured exception agent or energed care	Recovered except for uspect or enceptrices	Hotomand ecceptor agent or energed care	Yea-poured pay mens for money- meterals provides	Tipe—pick will pay material produces cultural produces	member extret- natural produces	Not covered exception signer or energiest core	Mot covered except for organi or energent care	Nationered except for urgant or energent care	Not covered exception urgest or emergent core	Yes—you will pay some for mat-of- meteods providence	Yes—you will pay so are for out-of- network providers	Yes-you sell pay man for out-of- sellment providers	Manager are or energed are	Not canned except for urgest or an arpest care	Materialed except for seperal or seagest see	Makes except his or smooth
MODARUM CUTI-OF-POORETM Labition to your monthly premium, the mande are all off-you field amount in the monthly the mouth face you in Jacks Clies, copyrights and continue work unity the year.	Maximum Out-Of-Pocket (MOOP) Medical + Drug	\$1,500 traductions \$1,000 Family	BigHID trade/stude BigHID Family	BURE Individual BURE Family	SURE Subvioled SURE Fundy	Styles travelus Styles Feetly	Select translated State Family	DI, SHI Suphridual DR, SHI Facely	SE,350 technique \$12,760 Feetly	SILING Industrial SILING Facility	S4,000 Indianus S8,000 Family	\$1,500 heliacus \$10,700 fundy	SILIBITATIVIDAD SILIBITATIVIDAD	\$1,800 Individual \$13,000 Ferrity	\$8,350 individual \$13,700 Feedy	SILVE Individual SILVE Fundy	SILMS trabulant SIL/SI Fundy	SE,300 Individual 010,700 Family	SE, 600 to dividual S12,000 Family	\$10,000 trabalant \$10,000 Fuelly	\$4,800 to
DEDLOTERLES! The deduction or the amount you must per air originate for common health common beating your destances plan happen to pay. The destantible amount a sit- autition by that must by personant. Burdon as differ to the debt 1000 key by a fix over may death at read what and house are not, an ever or consider or restrictions.	Deductible - Medical	BLASS Faculty BLASS Faculty	BURD Individual BURD Family	BIOD Incomes BURG Family	\$1,80 Fundy	St.366 Individual St.566 Parely	\$1,000 tribitous \$2,000 Family	\$5,000 bulletinal \$6,000 Featly	\$1,000 technologi \$8,000 Family	BLISE Indiation BLISE Family	\$5,000 technique \$5,000 Family	\$3,656 harberten \$3,656 Family	\$1,636 Faculty	EILBER Industrati ET,000 Family	\$1,000 todayasas \$10,000 Pandy	BILBIT Inductoral BILBIT Fandy	\$5,800 trabilities \$11,800 Family	\$1,800 tradicional \$1,800 Family	\$5,500 travelus \$11,000 Family	BETH Indicated BE 400 Family	\$6,000 to \$15,000
	Deductible - Drug	Custined with Medical		81		Continued with Medical	\$100 bully that	Combined with Margaret		*	Continued with Medical		Contract with Medical	BEST INCINEME	Combined With Medical	SSS par member	\$500 per member	Contidend with Medical	Combined with Medical	\$500 NeW	Combine
Commentation in College Applications of the Conference and and the Conference and and the Conference and and the Conference and and an advantage of the Commentation and an advantage of the College Application and the College A	Printery Care	1%	BIRPOREL Drivetor age 18 Billion-FORKERS	\$10 Yes 1 (or under ago 10) \$30 Ter 2 (10)	809	810	**	18%	\$10 PCMH (prunderage 16) \$28 Nov-PCMH(16)	\$16 Ter 1 (or under age 10) \$30 Ter 2 (10)	19%	800	200		*	SHE POME (or under age 10) \$80 tour-POME(10)	\$40 Tier 1 provider ago 18) \$80 Tier 0 (184)	**		808	SSENIO BIO VISINE E SIGNIO SCOTTORS
	Specialist Visit	6%	\$40	200 Ter 1	340	BDD with referred	\$40 with referred	18%	per .	200 To 1	19%	84	ETS WISH referred	\$60 with referred	**	879	\$60 Tex 1	6%	Ph with entered	\$40 vita referred	
	Preventative Care						**							**							
	ER Services	6%	8290	8290	8000	8169	8310	18%	8386	8384	19%	2200	D-00	8290	0%	grate	2000	8%		8001	91
	Inpatient Hospital	6%	8%	85 Te 1	36.6	EDITOR BY TO	21/4	18%	25%	SPATING SPATING	19%	EP%	2500 tube beloe	8%	**	85	20% TW1	6%		SECURITY TO	91
	X-rays & other Disg, breiging	**	84	DATE:	H7	1%	20%	16%	#1	SIN TWIL	19%	ers.	-	875	*	80	SWTW1	**	-	Ph.	91
	High End Imaging: CT/PET/MF6	1%	#%	BHITTH'S	20%	STATION S	24	16%	35%	SING THE S.	19%	EP%	SISSTATI SISSTATI	27%	0%	85	ESS To 1	1%	rs.	EN Tort;	91
	Mental Health/Substance Abase - Office Visits	1%	140	\$100	809	810	840	18%	-	-	19%	816	879	110	es.	179	500	1%	1%	140	
	Speech/Occup/Phys Therapy	*	8%	BM The Ti	14	**	#4	16%	8%	BRTW1	19%	84	9%	875	6%	8%	8976/1; 8373/43	1%	-	n	91
	Lab Services, Outpatient	6%	MA.	BM The Ti	20%	1%	24	18%	20%	BRTW1	19%	29%	194	8%	64	8%	800 Tev 1) 879 Tev 2	8%	1%	- 15	93
	Skilled Nursing Facility	6%	25%	85	26%	Ph.	21%	16%	21%	375	19%	EP%	n	8%	*	85	8%	1%	- 15	n	91
	Outpatient Surgery/Services	**	M%	H4	HA.	STATION S	#4	18%	8%	350	19%	ers.	BIRTINI; BIRTINI	8%	**	85	8%	1%	-	EN TWY	91
PRESCRIPTION DRIVES November contained expenses prescription sings have different component recent of "less". The "for" of the sing dentalled from their plan age for your prescription, the entire or results Contact Madiniformita AR or more information about the SIGNET like.	Tier 1		**		ž10	210	\$10			**	ĝre .	214	216	818		819	211	210	216	\$10	97
	Tier 2	810	813	\$10	216	200	\$30 after Fox Owl.	810	210	214	216	246	240	\$10 after Ric ded.	813	204	216	216	\$40	\$40 when the clost.	**
	Tier 3	818	816	210	300	340	STE after For Oat.	800	\$40	840		814	879	Bit wher Product.	200	Mills after Tox deck.	SETS AND TO SEE	900	879	STE when the deal.	
	Tier 4	in.	800	***	PHI	8169	ETHI WAY TO MA	pm .	jan .	980	\$190	8200	DW .	DED WATER OIL	500	Mit also for ded.	DES AND ROOM	8168	8100	\$100 also for ded.	
	Tier 5	Pale	2110	2100	NA.	848	876	2100	6000	- Attack	1909.		-	100	2116		SSEE AND ROOM	nun.	aut.	808	

Fig. 1. Decision aid. HealthSource RI. 2015.

دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات