

Policy reforms and productivity growth in India's energy intensive industries

Puran Mongia^a, Katja Schumacher^{b,*}, Jayant Sathaye^b

^aDelhi School of Economics, Delhi 110 007, India

^bLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Energy Analysis Department, University of California, Berkeley CA 94720, USA

Received 31 May 2000

Abstract

India had a highly restrictive industrial and trade policy regime until the end of the 1960s. This regime while succeeding to some extent in creating a diversified industrial base introduced gross inefficiencies in many sectors of the economy. Beginning in the early 1970s, Indian economic policies have been marked by deregulation, decontrol and progressive liberalization. In this paper, we assess the impact of policy reforms on total productivity growth in India's energy intensive sectors: aluminum, cement, fertilizer, iron and steel and paper. Assuming a translog specification of a four input (KLEM) production function, we use growth accounting to decompose the growth of output into growth of inputs and a residual representing total productivity growth. We relate changes in productivity indices to changes in technologies, processes and production conditions, which policy reforms helped bring about. A major finding of this paper is that overall productivity growth in these industries was quite low during 1973–1994. However, there were significant differences in productivity growth across industries during this time period. These differences can to a large extent be explained by the nature and timing of policy changes in individual sectors. Published by Elsevier Science Ltd.

Keywords: Policy reform; Productivity growth; Indian manufacturing industries

1. Introduction

The Indian economy has experienced persistent and pervasive energy shortages in recent years. It is widely recognized that the non-availability of energy is a serious obstacle to economic growth. Industrial energy demand accounts for more than 50% of total energy demand, and is growing at 5% p.a. (IEA, 1994). There is a growing realization that output expansion based on increasing use of energy and other resources is not sustainable in the long run. This points to the need for studying the efficiency, or the productivity, with which resources are used in the Indian economy. In recent years productivity growth has also been identified as an important factor in reducing US CO₂ emissions (Hogan and Jorgenson, 1991).

Given the coal-dominated primary energy resource base of the Indian economy, environmental, including CO₂, considerations provide another rationale to examine productivity growth in India.

In this paper, we explore trends in productivity growth in India's energy intensive industries: aluminum, cement, fertilizers, iron and steel, and paper and also in aggregate manufacturing. Our aim is to focus on the effect of policy reforms on productivity growth. Productivity growth in India's energy intensive industries has been extensively studied.¹ However, the effect of policy reforms on productivity change has not been adequately explored. In a few cases, where this linkage has been studied, indicators like import penetration ratio or effective rates of protection, representing changes in industrial and trade policies have been related to indices of total factor productivity growth (TFPG) through multiple regression techniques. This requires an assumption that policy changes can be represented by variables, which are

* Corresponding author. Tel.: + 1-510-486-6294; fax: + 1-510-486-6996.

E-mail addresses: pbmlcd@dante.lbl.gov (P. Mongia), kbschumacher@lbl.gov (K. Schumacher), jasathaye@lbl.gov (J. Sathaye).

¹ See Krishna (1987) for a survey of the literature.

continuous in time. We stress that policy changes are discrete events, which are episodic in nature. So are changes in technology and production conditions. Also, the effect of the former on the latter takes place with a lag. Therefore, we judge the effect of policy changes in broadly defined sub-periods. Also, in a recent survey of the literature on productivity growth in Indian industry, Mongia and Sathaye (1998a) found that the estimates of productivity growth by different authors within and across different industries covered a wide range. The range of estimates was so wide that an unambiguous judgment about the nature and extent of productivity growth in Indian industry could not be made. The estimates varied because of differences in methodology, levels of aggregation, sources of data and time periods of study.

This paper is motivated by the need to have comparable estimates for the five industries based on a uniform methodology, a common database and the same study period. It complements a recent paper (Roy *et al.*, 1999) where we used econometric estimates of cost functions to infer magnitude and biases of technical change in these industries. And it builds on Mongia and Sathaye, (1998b) where we used the method of growth accounting to estimate productivity growth and technological change in these industries. Our aim in this paper is to bring out details relevant from a policy point of view that tend to be glossed over in econometric estimates.

A major finding of this study is that differences in productivity growth across industries, and across time for individual industries, can be largely explained by differential impacts of policy reforms. This is due to differences in initial conditions and in structural and institutional factors specific to industries. The plan of this paper is as follows. The next section contains a brief perspective on energy intensive industries. Section 3 outlines the methodology and describes the sources of data. In Section 4, we present estimates of partial and total productivity growth. Section 5 relates these estimates to policy changes in the Indian economy, and Section 6 contains concluding remarks.

2. Energy intensive industries: a perspective

We define energy intensive industries to include those which are characterized by high energy to output ratios. These industries, therefore, account for a large share of total energy consumption in the manufacturing sector relative to their share in output. The five industries are chosen out of 10 identified with total fuel consumption of over Rs. 1 billion and fuel costs out of the total costs of more than 6% (CSO, 1994; Raghuraman, 1989).

The five industries under review occupy an important place in India's strategy of planned economic development where industrialization was considered the engine of growth for the rest of the economy. Iron and steel has

always been considered essential to the development of all other industries. Aluminum is an essential input to the power sector, which is a priority sector in the Indian economy. As a versatile non-ferrous metal it has diverse applications beyond the power sector. Its importance is heightened by the fact that the country does not have an adequate resource base for copper while there are abundant reserves of bauxite ore which is the raw material for the manufacture of aluminum. Cement is a major input to construction and therefore its production along with iron and steel is considered an important index of development. The fertilizer sector has played a critical role in the success of India's green revolution, which has ensured much desired self-sufficiency in food. At present, India has a large and diversified fertilizer sector which ranks fourth in the world in terms of production. The paper industry apart from its widespread use in packaging is vital to the country's literacy, education and social development plans.

On the basis of its perceived importance, the Indian government made the development of the iron and steel industry the exclusive responsibility of the state. The remaining four industries were open to private investment, but it was considered essential that the state regulate them. Looking back at the development experience of the last 50 years, one can see the important role these industries have played. Apart from providing the critically needed capital and intermediate goods for other industries, they have been a source of both direct and indirect employment for a large part of the population. At the same time, the development of these industries has become a source of concern because they consume a disproportionately large share of energy in the manufacturing sector, and as a result are a major source of greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, they have been identified as major sources of local pollution.

In Table 1 we have listed output and energy consumption shares of individual industries relative to aggregate manufacturing. In 1994, energy intensive industries as a group consumed close to 40% of energy while accounting for around 16% of output within the manufacturing sector. The iron and steel industry dominated with over 13% share in energy consumption and around 7% share of output. The iron and steel industry, together with cement and fertilizer, consumed over 31% of total energy. The relative shares reported in this table have changed only marginally during 1973–1994, the period of our study. Table 1 also lists energy intensity as well as elasticity of energy use with respect to output in these industries. Note that even the lowest energy intensity — for the fertilizer sector — is close to twice that for aggregate manufacturing. The elasticity of energy use with respect to output ranges from a low of 0.82 for fertilizer to a high of 1.32 for aluminum. These point to the likely high increase in demand for energy as output expands in future.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات