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Abstract

Agricultural field operations, such as seeding, harvesting, spraying, and fertilizing, are typically carried out by multi-machinery systems comprising one or more self-propelled or tractor-pulled units, and one or more service – mainly transport – units. The operation of a service unit must be carefully planned because its execution efficiency can significantly affect the productivity of the whole system.

In this paper, an algorithmic approach for the generation of optimal in-field paths to be followed by service units, was developed and demonstrated. Both stationary and on-the-go unloading operations are supported. The approach was based on an abstraction of a field as a two-dimensional grid, with each grid cell representing obstacle, free, initial, or goal region. By defining also the action spaces of the grid states (service unit moves one cell up, down, left, or right), the arcs and nodes of a discrete transition graph are created and by using a graph search algorithm the optimal path is generated. A number of simulated experiments were performed in order to demonstrate the paths that result from invoking the above-mentioned planning method.

The algorithmic path generation can provide the basis for a navigation tool dedicated to service units, which can increase the overall field efficiency of the operations executed by cooperating machines and reduce the adverse effects of the heavy machine traffic on the soil. The low computational requirements of the proposed method make it feasible as an implementation of the optimal planning for large scale operations executed by cooperative machines.
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1. Introduction

Crucial agricultural field operations, such as seeding, harvesting, spraying, and fertilizing, are mostly executed by cooperating machines involving multi-machinery systems. These systems include one or more self-propelled or tractor-pulled units and one or more transport units. Using the terminology proposed by Bochtis and Sørensen (2009, 2010), cooperative field operations are executed by one or more primary unit/s (PU/s) performing the main work task and one or more service unit/s (SU/s) supporting the PU/s. For example, in a harvesting operation a self-propelled harvester may be supported by transport wagons used for out-of-the field removal of harvested grain. Similarly, in fertilizing operations a tractor carrying a sprayer is supported by transport vehicles carrying the fertiliser for the refilling of the application unit. Depending on the direction of the material flow, the operations can be characterized as “input material flow” operations (e.g., seeding, spraying, and fertilizing) or “output material flow” operations (e.g., harvesting). The field and the facility unit/s (FU/s), whether stationary (e.g., a farm depot or a silo) or mobile (e.g., public road transport trucks), constitute the two anti-diametric ending links of the material flow chain.

Regarding the operational planning of the PUs, a significant amount of research has been recently carried out, in the areas of field coverage planning (Jin and Tang, 2006; Oksanen and Visala, 2007), field representation (de Bruin et al., 2008, 2009), optimal driving direction determination (Palmer et al., 2003), optimal field work pattern generation for a PU (Taix et al., 2006; Bochtis and Vougioukas, 2008), and control architectures (García-Pérez et al., 2008) and systems (Coen et al., 2008; Huang and Lee, 2010).

At the commercial level, a number of computerised navigation systems have been developed for PUs, ranging from guidance aiding and supporting systems, to full auto-steering systems based on satellite, mechanical (touch sensors) and optical (laser, 3-D cameras) technologies (Keicher and Seufert, 2000).

According to the “fundamental law” of system theory, in a series of subsystems the probability that the overall system operates acceptably is the product of the reliabilities of the individual subsystems (Gordon, 1957; Goense and Blaauw, 1996). Hence, one should expect an equivalent research effort dedicated to SUs, since...
PuSs and SUs constitute heterogeneous components operating in series within material-transport multi-machine systems. However, the research on SuSs has concentrated on technical optimisation and coordination of PuSs and SuSs (e.g., Hao et al., 2003) and only limited and sparse research effort has addressed the operational planning for field SuSs in combination with the PuSs (e.g., Van Elderen and Kroeze, 1994).

The planning and execution efficiency for a Su task can significantly affect the productivity of the whole system. For example, in grain harvesting the choice of a non-optimal route, in terms of time and distance travelled, for a transport wagon may cause a high-capacity combine to remain idle, while waiting to unload its fully loaded grain hopper. Furthermore, given that SuSs usually carry material quantities that are multiple times greater than the tank capacities of the PuSs, the planned routes have significant impact on soil compaction. Considering the current trend towards employing larger and larger machines (Kutzbach, 2000), the risk of soil compaction is increased even more. The usual strategy of increasing the contact area using, for example, tracks instead of wheels, or wheels with lowered air pressure is not sufficient for the mitigation of the problem (Hamza and Anderson, 2005). Such measures may reduce the compaction of the top soil but the subsoil must still carry the weight of the heavy machines (Blackmore, 2004). Furthermore, the use of tracks can have a number of detrimental effects, such as adverse soil displacement and soil density changes (Ansorge and Godwin, 2007).

The implementation of controlled traffic farming (CTF) as a management system eliminates completely soil compaction within the cropped area because the machinery motion is constrained exclusively within the permanent parallel wheel tracks (Chamen et al., 2003). However, implementing CTF for material handling operations such as harvesting and fertilizing carried out by cooperating machines can reduce the operations’ efficiencies due to significant increases in idle time of in-field transport units (Bochtis et al., 2010). On the other hand, the perspective of introducing field robots should also be considered (Johnson et al., 2009). According to this perspective, large teams of smaller autonomous machines are to replace smaller groups of heavier machines as a way to build collective behavioural systems that are more economical, more scalable and less susceptible to overall malfunction (Sørensen et al., 2005; Blackmore, 2007). In this case of cooperating field robots, managerial tasks such as route and mission planning are becoming increasingly important as integral parts of their high level control (Bochtis et al., 2009; Sørensen and Bochtis, 2010).

Consequently, optimisation approaches should be developed for the in-field service operations of SuSs that can provide the optimal paths followed by SuSs, in terms of criteria such as travelled distance, soil compaction, and minimization of the waiting time of PuSs. Furthermore, the approaches should be able to constitute the basis of navigation-aiding or even auto-steering systems for conventional or robotic SuSs.

Here, an algorithmic approach for the generation of optimal in-field paths to be followed by SuSs, is presented. Both stationary and on-the-go unloading operations are supported. The approach was based on an abstract representation of a field as a two-dimensional grid. Although the proposed approach is suitable for input as well as output material flow operations, harvesting was used as a reference throughout the study since it constitutes the main operation where in-field service of PuSs takes place. Furthermore, the study will be oriented toward the case of CTF, or more generally, toward the invoking of traffic restrictions as a way to reduce soil compaction, since these cases are more challenging in terms of operational planning and execution.

2. The planning approach

2.1. Assumptions

The computation of a plan for cooperating agricultural machines (PuSs and SuSs) off-line, before the operation starts, would not be practical because of uncertainty and the dynamic nature of the agricultural operations. Any discrepancies between assumed and actual inputs of the planning algorithm could render a plan suboptimal. For example, if an optimal harvesting plan were generated for a fleet of harvesters and transport wagons for a specific yield distribution, this plan would be suboptimal if the actual yield was different, or it would be totally wrong if one wagon broke down. One solution to this problem is dynamic, real-time planning, i.e., computing new path plans regularly, or intermittently when certain conditions are met during the operation. Since the PuSs and SuSs constitute cooperating components of multi-machine systems, their operations are strongly coupled. Therefore, in principle the optimisation of the overall system requires that the motion plans of PuSs and SuSs be computed together. Unfortunately, the computational complexity of path planning for several vehicles is exponential in the number of vehicles (Canny, 1988). Therefore, the computational requirements of any proposed algorithm would render real-time planning of such kind non-feasible.

In the approach proposed in this paper the planning problem is considered decoupled. Namely, at any time instant the future traffic plan of the PuSs is considered to be known and an algorithm is developed to plan the paths of the SuSs which must serve the PuSs. This way very fast path planning can be achieved for the SuSs. The developed algorithm could be potentially used in a real-time control scheme where any deviations from the planned paths during the operation can be accommodated by re-planning for the PuSs and subsequently for the SuSs.

Some basic simplifying assumptions in the proposed planning approach are: if several SuSs are present, the path of each Su is computed independently; the assignment of each Su to one or more PuSs is known; the sequence in which an Su must visit the PuSs is considered as predetermined; collision avoidance between SuSs is not part of the path planning, but it is considered as a duty of the lower-level control (Vougioukas, 2009).

Finally, the proposed method can be applied to both convex and non-convex fields; however, all the field tracks must be parallel and the extension of each field track (in both directions) may intersect the boundary of the field at exactly two points. Equivalently, the fields are not divided into sub-fields, and there are exactly two (opposite) headland areas where turnings are executed.

2.2. Overview

The presented planning approach is divided in two stages. The core of the path planning problem is included in the first stage (Section 4). The term planning refers to the search for the optimal – according to a defined criterion – sequence of actions that transform an initial “world” state into a desired goal state. The “world” of the presented planning problem is based on a topological representation (Section 4.1), where the field is presented as a grid, with each grid cell representing occupied, free, initial, or goal region (Section 4.1.1). Each cell is associated with an action space (SU moves one cell up, down, left, right) (Section 4.1.2). The information necessary for building this topological representation consists of the number of the field tracks, the PuS’s fieldwork pattern and the field track in which service is expected to take place.

The grid cells and their respective action spaces constitute a directed graph, with each cell corresponding to a graph node and each action corresponding to an edge connecting two nodes. Under this representation the optimal path planning problem is equiva-
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