ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A systematic review of safety and efficacy of systemic corticosteroids in atopic dermatitis

Q1

Sherry Yu, MD,^a Aaron M. Drucker, MD, ScM,^b Mark Lebwohl, MD,^c and Jonathan I. Silverberg, MD, PhD, MPH^{d,e,f}

Q8

Boston, Massachusetts; Providence, Rhode Island; New York, New York; and Chicago, Illinois

04 05

Background: Systemic corticosteroids are often used to treat atopic dermatitis (AD). However, few studies have assessed the safety and efficacy of systemic corticosteroids in AD.

.

Objective: To systematically review the literature on efficacy and safety of systemic corticosteroid use (oral, intramuscular, and intravenous) in AD.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, Medline, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library were searched. We included systematic reviews, guidelines, and treatment reviews of systemic corticosteroid use among patients of all ages with a diagnosis of AD (52 reviews and 12 studies).

Results: There was general consensus in the literature to limit the use of systemic steroids to short courses as a bridge to steroid-sparing therapies. Systemic side effects include growth suppression in children, osteoporosis, osteonecrosis, adrenal insufficiency, Cushing syndrome, hypertension, glucose intolerance, diabetes, gastritis, gastroesophageal reflux, peptic ulcer disease, weight gain, emotional lability, behavioral changes, opportunistic infections, cataracts, glaucoma, myopathy, myalgia, dysaesthesia, pseudotumor cerebri, hyperlipidemia, malignancy, thrombosis, skin atrophy, sleep disturbance, and rebound flaring.

Limitations: Baseline clinical severity, corticosteroid delivery and dose, and treatment response were reported incompletely and heterogeneously across studies.

Conclusions: Evidence is not strong enough to determine optimal delivery or duration of systemic corticosteroids in AD. (J Am Acad Dermatol https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.09.074.)

Key words: adrenal insufficiency; atopic dermatitis; atopic eczema; corticosteroids; eczema; intramuscular; intravenous; oral; rebound flaring; systemic side effects.

Q2 From the Department of Dermatology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston^a; Department of Dermatology, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence^b; Department of Dermatology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York^c; Department of Dermatology, Department of Preventive Medicine, and Department of Medical Social Sciences, Feinberg School of Medicine at Northwestern University, Chicago.

Supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (grant K12 HS023011) and the Dermatology Foundation (to Dr Silverberg).

Disclosure: Dr Drucker is a consultant for Sanofi and RTI Health Solutions, is an investigator for Regeneron and Sanofi, receives research funding from Regeneron and Sanofi, and has received honoraria (speaker and educational programming honoraria) from Astellas Canada, Prime Inc, and Spire Learning. Dr Lebwohl is an employee of Mount Sinai, which receives research funds from Abbvie, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen/Johnson & Johnson, Kadmon, Medimmune/Astra Zeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, and ViDac. Dr Silverberg is an employee of Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, which receives research funds from GlaxoSmithKline; he is a consultant for Abbvie, Eli Lilly,

Galderma, GlaxoSmithKline, Kiniksa, Leo, Medimmune, Menlo, Pfizer, Realm-1, Regeneron-Sanofi, and Roivant and a speaker for Regeneron-Sanofi. Dr Yu has no conflicts of interest to declare

Dr Silverberg had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis, as well as for the study concept and design. Drs Yu and Silverberg take responsibility for acquisition of data and for drafting of the manuscript. Drs Yu, Drucker, Lebwohl, and Silverberg take responsibility for analysis and interpretation of the data, as well as for critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Accepted for publication September 28, 2017.

Correspondence to: Jonathan I. Silverberg, MD, PhD, MPH, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Department of Dermatology, Suite 1600, 676 N St. Clair St, Chicago, IL 60611. E-mail: Jonathan|Silverberg@Gmail.com.

Published online •••.

0190-9622/\$36.00

© 2017 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.09.074

· Systemic corticosteroids are often used

Most data supporting the efficacy of

· Because of significant side effects,

including rebound flaring, use of

steroid-sparing therapies.

systemic corticosteroids should be

limited to short courses as a bridge to

systemic corticosteroids are anecdotal.

to treat atopic dermatitis, but their safety

and efficacy has not been systematically

CAPSULE SUMMARY

J AM ACAD DERMATOL

2 Yu et al

The American Academy of Dermatology guidelines for treatment of atopic dermatitis (AD) recommend a graded approach, beginning with skin care and trigger avoidance. In mild-to-moderate AD, topical corticosteroids or calcineurin inhibitors and antiseptic measures are appropriate. Systemic therapy is recommended for persistent,

moderate-to-severe AD after inadequate response to optimized topical management.²

A wide range of treatment strategies have been used for systemic corticosteroids (SCSs) in clinical practice (eg, different deliveries, dosing, frequencies, and durations). SCSs are commonly used as a first-line systemic treatment of AD, ^{3,4} typically in short courses to suppress AD activity and interrupt flares.⁵

SCSs may be a useful treatment of AD flares owing

to their rapid induction of a clinical response, perceived short-term safety and tolerability, and low cost. However, few studies have assessed the efficacy and safety of SCSs in AD. This systematic review sought to summarize the available evidence for using SCSs in AD.

METHODS

Literature search

The following databases were searched through December 18, 2016: PubMed (1946- present), Embase (1947-present), MEDLINE, Scopus (1823-present), Web of Science, and Cochrane Library (1992-present). The search strategy was based on a previous Cochrane review for AD, with inclusion of additional search terms related to steroid use (Supplemental Table I; available at http://www.jaad.org).

Systematic reviews, guideline statements, and treatment recommendation reviews that were published in English online, available in print, or in press were eligible for inclusion. Manuscripts were excluded on the basis of title and/or abstract review if there was no clear indication that either efficacy or adverse effects (AEs) of SCSs (oral, intramuscular [IM], or intravenous) was discussed. Studies cited in the reviews with primary data on the use and/or AEs of SCSs in AD were also reviewed.

Data extraction

S.Y. performed title/abstract review and data extraction. First author; publication year; study

design; dosing and route of SCS administration; number of patients in the study; and information on efficacy, tolerability, and AEs were collected.

RESULTS Literature search

The literature search yielded 2219 nonduplicate

articles. After title and abstract review, 2147 articles were excluded; 52 reviews and 12 studies were included (Supplemental Fig 1; available at http://www.jaad.org).

able at hi

Efficacy

Oral. There was a general consensus that SCSs quickly and effectively decrease clinical symptoms of AD, especially pruritus (Supplemental Table II; available at http://www.jaad.org). Most data supporting use of SCSs were anecdotal, with little primary

data. A case series presented 3 patients who achieved good disease control with oral corticosteroids.⁸ These authors recommended use of long-term SCSs in refractory AD, especially in patients with profound psychosocial consequences. A retrospective study showed that 84.2% of patients ranked SCSs as "very successful" treatment of their AD.⁹ Despite widespread use of SCSs, few randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) were conducted.

A double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover RCT was performed; 4 weeks of combined oral and nasal beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP), a synthetic glucocorticoid, was compared with placebo in 26 children with severe AD. BDP resulted in a 22% decrease in mean AD severity using an unvalidated outcome, lower parent-assessed overall disease activity, and greater treatment preference toward BDP. 10,11 Oral BDP, 600 μg 3 times daily for 4 weeks followed by 1000 μg daily for 6 weeks, improved disease activity in 14 of 15 children with severe AD after 4 weeks. 12 However, 4 children failed to maintain treatment response once the BDP was tapered.

Flunisolide, a synthetic steroid analogue, was orally administered to 20 children (640 μ g/d in children age <3 years and 1200 μ g/d in older children) and resulted in a 49% reduction of clinical severity scores versus those with placebo after 2 weeks. ^{10,13} After the crossover portion at week 3,

دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات