



# Will the decline of efficiency in China's agriculture come to an end? An analysis based on opening and convergence



Shuzhong MA <sup>a</sup>, Han FENG <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Research in Regional Economic Opening and Development, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

<sup>b</sup> College of Economics and Management, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 22 April 2011

Received in revised form 8 April 2013

Accepted 14 April 2013

Available online 23 April 2013

### JEL classification:

O13

O33

O47

### Keywords:

China

Agricultural productivity

Efficiency change

Opening

Convergence

## ABSTRACT

Using a panel dataset of 31 provinces in China, this paper computes the output-oriented Malmquist productivity indexes and their decomposition in China's agricultural sector over the period 1994–2008. In the second-stage regression, which uses the efficiency change and technical progress rates as dependent variables, we have found an increasing level of “equilibrium” efficiency and a robust convergence in China's agricultural efficiency; the latter has also been enhanced by China's integration into the global economy. Our results imply that the opening of the Chinese economy will end the decline in China's agricultural efficiency at last.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

China's agricultural productivity has always received extensive attention among scholars of the Chinese economy. There are two reasons for the importance of this issue. First, after economic reform began in 1978, especially in the period 1978–1984, Chinese agriculture as a whole grew rapidly at a rate of 7.7% per annum, and its productivity also grew at a high rate. Thus, many researchers were interested in uncovering the drivers behind such a strong growth rate and, especially, evaluating the impact of the institutional reform on productivity growth (e.g., [Lin, 1992](#)).

Second, after the exhaustion of the transitory positive effect due to the restoration of household farming and the introduction of the household responsibility system (HRS), the growth of China's agricultural productivity slowed down ([Lin, 1992](#); [Mead, 2003](#)). This slowdown raises another question. Given the downward trend of per capita farmland and the upward trend of urbanization and population growth, as well as the sustained labor transfer from agriculture to the urban economy and the increasing demand for food due to population growth and rising per capita income, does China's agriculture have the capacity to maintain steady positive productivity growth to meet domestic demand in the long run? This question will attract interest from economists for a long time, in view of the long-term nature of land scarcity and food demand pressures.

Recent studies have found that while China's agricultural productivity growth has been high for decades, this has mainly been due to technical progress, i.e., the outward movement of the production frontier. Meanwhile efficiency, i.e., proximity to the production frontier, has worsened over time ([Mao & Koo, 1997](#)). The stagnation of efficiency is a puzzle, because China has made a huge investment in rural

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 13989874687; fax: +86 571 87951614.

E-mail address: [monogod@gmail.com](mailto:monogod@gmail.com) (H. Feng).

infrastructure, and agricultural commodity prices have been rising in recent years (Huang & Rozelle, 2006). It is also a serious matter: such stagnation will reduce the marginal benefit of R&D investment and the adoption of new farming techniques, retarding technical progress in the long run. In other words, efficiency stagnation may render China's agricultural productivity growth unsustainable.

Over the past three decades, China has become more open to and integrated with the global economy, especially since the beginning of the 1990s. China has attracted a huge amount of FDI and has become the world's largest exporter of merchandise. The opening of the Chinese economy is reflected not only in the volume of FDI and foreign trade, improvement of transport and communication infrastructure and relaxing of institutional restraints such as the *hukou* system, but also in increasing population flows and information exchanges. Naturally, significant changes in the socioeconomic environment will have an impact on agricultural productivity growth. In contrast to remote and isolated regions, areas that are more open to the outside world will find it easy to exchange information with the rest of world, and will acquire new production technologies faster and at lower costs, thus reaping benefits of technical progress. They would also get detailed description of new technology easier, which may bring efficiency gains. This positive effect of information exchange may be particularly significant in China because the developers of new technologies tend to be separated from end users. Therefore, it is important to include the impact of opening the Chinese economy when studying China's agricultural productivity.

In this paper, we review China's agricultural productivity evolution in the context of the opening of the Chinese economy, measure the impact of this opening on agricultural productivity, decompose it into technical progress and efficiency gain and, finally, address the issue of whether efficiency stagnation will soon end.

Recently, much literature has focused on another concept that is similar to inefficiency used in our paper: misallocation. It will be helpful to compare these two concepts, and to explain why we talk about inefficiency instead of misallocation<sup>1</sup>.

In our paper, inefficiency means that a production unit has not used its current technologies adequately. Misallocation means that inputs are not allocated to the most productive units of the economy (Restuccia & Rogerson, 2013).

Despite the difference between the concepts, both talk about the influence of productivity besides technology: an efficiency improvement could bring about productivity growth without adoption of new, more productive technology, and a reallocation could reduce the extent of misallocation and improve economic productivity without changing the productivity of any specific production units. If we measure inefficiency at a macrolevel, e.g. provincial level as we did in this article, the relationship between inefficiency and misallocation could be even stronger: inefficiency of a specific unit could well be resulted from within misallocation of inputs, and its efficiency improvement could come from reallocation inside it.

In a sense, an inefficiency problem is always a misallocation problem: inputs should be reallocated from low- to high-efficiency units. For this reason, misallocation maybe is the more fundamental one in those two concepts, but it also has its disadvantage. It is easy to reallocate inputs such as capital and labor between different firms or sectors, but it is hard, if not impossible, to reallocate inputs such as land between different provinces. The limits on land transfers may limit the gains from better allocation of inputs. Considering the importance of land for agriculture, these limits cannot be ignored. Thus, the perspective of misallocation seems inappropriate for this paper. For an inefficiency production unit such as a province as used in our paper, improvements in efficiency seem much more feasible than reallocating cultivated land to other units. This is particularly the case in China. Thus, we use the perspective of inefficiency.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section reviews the evolution of China's agricultural productivity after 1978, introduces the China's economic opening and discusses its impact on agricultural productivity growth—especially efficiency convergence. Section 3 briefly describes the methodology and data used in this paper. Section 4 presents and discusses our results. Section 5 ends with concluding remarks.

## 2. China's agricultural productivity in the opening environment

### 2.1. China's agricultural productivity after 1978

Nearly all studies on China's agricultural productivity have found that it experienced a period of high-speed growth between 1978 and 1984 (e.g., Lin, 1992; Wen, 1993). Lin (1992) estimated that the average annual growth rate of China's Agricultural TFP was as high as 3.16%; his research convincingly showed that institutional reform was the major cause of TFP growth. He also found that half of the growth in China's agricultural output came from increases in inputs such as fertilizer. However, increases in inputs will not be sustainable in the long run, so productivity growth will need to be the main source of agricultural output growth.

Facts since then also show the importance of productivity growth. Lin (1992) found that China's agricultural output only increased by 4% from 1984 to 1987, which was even lower than the average annual growth rate before 1984. This decline in the output growth rate was not only because of the declining marginal output of inputs,<sup>2</sup> but also because of a slowdown in productivity growth: in the years 1984–1987, China's agricultural productivity only increased by 2.05%.

In the early 1990s, a pessimistic view started to appear regarding the potential of productivity growth, and even the HRS was questioned (Wen, 1993).

Fortunately, after the implementation of several new policies in the early 1990s (de Brauw, Huang, & Rozelle, 2004), the growth rate of China's agricultural productivity returned to the level of the early 1980s (Wu, Walker, Devadoss, & Lu, 2001). Although

<sup>1</sup> We thank the editor for the valuable advice.

<sup>2</sup> Lin (1992) argued that the increase in fertilizer input was the main source of China's agricultural output growth in 1978–1984. However, Mao (2000) found that the marginal output of fertilizer input in 1991–1995 was only 1/5 of that in 1981–1985.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات