ARTICLE IN PRESS

Personality and Individual Differences xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Personality and Individual Differences



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/paid

The reinforcement sensitivity theory of personality in children: A new questionnaire

Andrew J. Cooper^{a,*}, Sarah Stirling^b, Sharon Dawe^b, Giulia Pugnaghi^a, Philip J. Corr^c

^a Department of Psychology, Goldsmiths, University of London, New Cross, SE14 6NW London, United Kingdom

^b Griffith University, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Brisbane, 4222, Queensland, Australia

^c Department of Psychology, School of Arts and Social Sciences, City University of London, Northampton Square, EC1V 0HB London, United Kingdom

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 16 February 2016 Accepted 15 June 2016 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Personality Approach Avoidance Goal conflict Reinforcement sensitivity theory Children

ABSTRACT

We report the development of a self-report questionnaire of the reinforcement sensitivity theory (RST) of personality for use with children. Focus groups were held with children to sample their experiences of situations modelled on components of three RST systems: *fight-flight-freeze system* (FFFS, related to fear), *behavioural inhibition system* (BIS, related to anxiety), and *behavioural approach system* (BAS, related to approach). The thematic responses formed the conceptual anchors to the development of test items that were examined using exploratory factor analysis in a sample of 288 9–13 year olds. After eliminating items that did not load on their designated factor, or substantially cross-loaded over factors, the original 48 items were reduced to 21 items: 7 items for each of the BIS, FFFS and BAS factors. The separation of the BIS and FFFS items across two factors is consistent with the revised model of RST. We offer this new questionnaire as a RST measure of fundamental motivation and emotion traits in children.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

There has been extensive investigation of the measurement of personality in children, drawing largely from the work of Rothbart and colleagues. One of the most widely used measures of temperament in children, The Children's Behaviour Questionnaire (CBQ: Rothbart, Ahadi, Hershey, & Fisher, 2001), is a parent report measure for children aged 3 to 7 years that has demonstrated strong convergence with behavioural tasks (Rothbart, Sheese, & Conradt, 2009). Three factors have been reliably identified: Negative affectivity, surgency/extraversion, and effortful control (Rothbart et al., 2001). This, and related measures, have been shown to predict personality traits in children and later psychopathology (Biederman et al., 1990; Rothbart, Derryberry, & Hershey, 2000). For this reason, the measurement of personality in children is important as it may enable the prediction of clinical disorders and assist in treatment planning – it is also likely to permeate all areas of children's school, family, and social life.

It is widely believed that underlying human personality are neurobehavioural systems responsible for appetitive and aversive motivation (Corr, 2013; for a review, see DeYoung and Gray, 2009). These theories tend to group the most important classes of motivational stimuli into "rewards" and "punishments"; and leading theories (e.g., Carver & Scheier, 1998) assume they reflect the operation of cybernetic systems with attractors and repulsors (positive and negative goals) that

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: a.cooper@gold.ac.uk (A.J. Cooper). have evolved to promote survival and reproduction. Individual differences in these systems give rise to differences in personality (e.g., extraversion and neuroticism) and behaviour (e.g., social interaction and performance), and shape the trajectory of adult personality and its effects, including the panoply of related behaviours, both normal and abnormal.

The revised 'reinforcement sensitivity theory' (RST) of personality (Gray & McNaughton, 2000; McNaughton & Corr, 2004, 2008; Corr & McNaughton, 2012) is one of the more prominent of such basic personality theories. In its most recent form, it assumes three major neuropsychological systems: the behavioural approach system (BAS), the fightflight-freeze system (FFFS) and the behavioural inhibition system (BIS). The BAS is activated by appetitive stimuli of all kinds, including safety signals (i.e., associated with escape from threatening stimuli); the FFFS by all aversive stimuli (including frustrating 'rewarding' stimuli); and the BIS by all forms of conflicting goals (e.g., co-activation of FFFS and BAS; these may be explicit stimuli or more abstract cognitive goals, even of an existential nature giving rise to *angst*). A caveat here is that these stimuli are defined only after an initial valuation stage which categorizes stimuli as either indicating gain ('rewarding') or loss ('punishing') - these stimuli are then 'attractors' and 'repulsors', respectively - and it is then the contingencies of the situation that determine activation of the FFFS, BIS and BAS (Corr & McNaughton, 2012). This general theoretical framework increasingly is seen as offering an integrative model for the neurobiology of personality (e.g., Kennis, Rademaker, & Geuze, 2013). Summaries of this literature can be found in Corr (2013) and Corr, DeYoung and McNaughton (2013).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.028 0191-8869/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Please cite this article as: Cooper, A.J., et al., The reinforcement sensitivity theory of personality in children: A new questionnaire, *Personality and Individual Differences* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.028

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A.J. Cooper et al. / Personality and Individual Differences xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

The separation of FFFS/fear and BIS/anxiety is the most important alteration in revised RST. Emphasis is placed on their different, and often opposing, functional properties. Specifically, unlike the simpler FFFS which is concerned with active avoidance of, and escape from, stimuli evaluated as threatening and dangerous (that is moving away from aversive stimuli), the BIS has evolved to detect goal conflict and it attempts to resolve it by engaging processes entailing (a) the inhibition of prepotent conflicting behaviours, (b) the engagement of risk assessment processes, (c) scanning of memory and the environment to gather relevant information, (d) an increase in attention, and (e) an increase in arousal such that consequent behaviour has increased vigour. In typical animal learning situations, BIS activation allows entries to a dangerous situation (i.e., leading to cautious 'risk assessment' behaviour) or to the withholding of entrance (i.e., passive avoidance) - at high levels of the BIS, passive avoidance is so great that normally adaptive entrance is inhibited. There is extensive neuropsychopharmacological evidence to support the functional and neural separation of the FFFS and BIS (for summaries, see Corr & McNaughton, 2012; McNaughton & Corr, 2004, 2008). The evidential bases for the separation of the FFFS and BIS have been summarized in Corr and Cooper (2016).

As the FFFS, BIS and BAS have been implicated in psychopathology seen in childhood, these developments in RST are potentially of high importance (Bijttebier, Beck, Claes, & Vandereycken, 2009); however, the absence of appropriate self-report psychometric measures of the FFFS and BIS, not only in children but also adults, has been a significant obstacle to research progress (Sylvers, Lilienfeld, & LaPrairie, 2011). Recent efforts at questionnaire development in the adult RST literature, however, have redressed this issue to some extent (Corr & Cooper, 2016; Jackson, 2009; Reuter, Cooper, Smillie, Markett, & Montag, 2015; Smederevac, Mitrovic, Colovic, & Nikolasevic, 2014).

In the case of RST questionnaires specifically for children, efforts have largely involved the modification of existing adult RST scales for both child self-report (e.g., Muris, Meesters, de Kanter, & Eek Timmerman, 2005) and caregiver reports on children (e.g., Colder et al., 2011; Vervoort et al., 2015). The child version of the BIS/BAS scales have been widely used and validated, however these scales were developed in the context of unrevised RST and were originally shown to have a two factor structure (i.e., a BIS and BAS factor; Muris et al., 2005). Vervoort et al. (2010) showed a two factor structure in the BIS items from the child BIS/BAS scales, but the internal reliability of the putative FFFS factor was very low, as it was comprised of only two items. Thus, the usefulness of these scales is limited in the context of revised RST. Colder and O'Connor (2004) developed a caregiver-report RST measure for children based on the adult Sensitivity to Punishment and Sensitivity to Reward scales (SPSRQ; Torrubia, Ávila, Moltó, & Caseras, 2001). Colder et al. (2011) attempted further to develop this measure in line with revised RST. They extracted a separate fear/shyness and anxiety factor from the data, as well as three BAS-related factors (two additional factors extracted did not appear to be psychometrically robust). While the separation of the fear and anxiety factors is potentially consistent with revised RST, the fear factor appeared to only tap a relatively narrow spectrum of FFFS-related behaviour, with many of the items loading on the factor relating to shyness. Further, it is unclear to what extent the factor structure generated in caregiver reports will replicate to selfreporting by children.

Our aim in the current study was to develop a short self-report questionnaire for children consistent with revised RST. Rather than seek to modify an existing scale for adults, as previous studies have sought to do, we looked to develop a novel set of theoretically derived items. Our approach here was modelled on the process undertaken for the development of the reinforcement sensitivity theory of personality questionnaire (RST-PQ; Corr & Cooper, 2016), a recently developed RST self-report measure for adults. First, we used theoretically driven items to guide development, based on the most up-to-date version of RST (see Corr & Cooper, 2016). Secondly, we avoided the ambiguity associated with saturation of factors with specific emotion words. Thirdly, we used a variety of methods to generate test items, including focus groups with children to discover what they associate with specific defensive and approach situations. This structured approach ensured we remained faithful to the fundamental components of revised RST, as well as to the everyday experiences of children.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Two hundred and eighty-eight school children were recruited from one public state school and seven independent schools in Brisbane, Australia. The number of children recruited from each school ranged from 22–72 (M = 36.13, SD = 16.39). The children's mean age was 11.01 (SD = 0.92), ranging from 9–13 years, and 159 (55.21%), were female (2 children did not report their gender). There was no significant difference in age across gender, t (284) = 0.50, p = 0.62.

2.2. Item development

The FFFS was designed to measure a child's propensity to engage in fear-related behaviours, specifically: Fight, Flight, Freeze, and Active Avoidance. The BIS was designed to measure a child's propensity to engage in anxiety-related behaviours, specifically: Risk Assessment, Goal Conflict Resolution, Behavioural Inhibition/Motor Inhibition, and Worry/Rumination. The BAS was designed to measure a child's propensity to engage in activities associated with reward, specifically: Incentive Interest/Reward Responsiveness, Appetitive Drive, and Active Approach. These facets were explored in the focus groups (see Supplementary Material), around which test items were written. Children responded to the 48 items on a 4 point Likert scale: 'Never', 'Sometimes', 'Often', 'Always'. (The full 48 items are shown in Supplementary Materials.)

Items were written using standard guidelines for clear and comprehensible self-report personality measures (e.g., Osterlind, 2009) that were unambiguous, short statements, without compound clauses and reflecting unipolar activity of the relevant system. The use of reverse worded items was avoided because these may cause spurious multi-dimensionality in responses by confusing participants (van Sonderen, Sanderman, & Coyne, 2013) – this is especially a concern with children.

2.3. Procedure

Primary schools in Brisbane, Australia, were approached. The schools which chose to participate were situated in areas of average to high socioeconomic status. The school distributed the consent forms to all children to obtain parental consent. Approximately 910 consent forms were distributed and 314 consent forms were returned (34.5% response rate).

Of the 314 consent forms returned, 26 children did not participate due to other school commitments. Schools set aside 45 min to 1 h for each group of children to complete the questionnaires – these were completed in groups of 15–30. The sessions were run in a spare classroom, library or art room. All children were given the same instructions and the researcher was present throughout these sessions. Children were instructed to answer all questions and to ask the researcher for assistance if they were unsure how to answer a specific question. They were told that there were no right or wrong answers and that they were to choose the answer that best described them. They were instructed to cross out an answer if they had made a mistake and circle the appropriate answer.

3. Results

The 48 test items were subjected to exploratory factor analysis using Principal Axis factoring with a direct oblimin rotation. Three

Please cite this article as: Cooper, A.J., et al., The reinforcement sensitivity theory of personality in children: A new questionnaire, *Personality and Individual Differences* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.028

دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
 امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
 امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
 پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران