

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Review of Economics and Finance

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/iref



Energy prices, energy conservation, and economic growth: Evidence from the postwar United States

Jang C. Jin ^{a,*}, Jai-Young Choi ^b, Eden S.H. Yu ^c

- a Department of Decision Sciences and Managerial Economics, Faculty of Business Administration, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
- ^b Department of Economics and Finance, Lamar University, USA
- ^c Department of Economics and Finance, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 June 2007 Received in revised form 11 July 2008 Accepted 9 September 2008 Available online 1 November 2008

JEL classification: Q43 C32

Keywords: Energy conservation Economic growth Energy prices Variance decompositions Impulse responses

ABSTRACT

The effects of energy prices and energy conservation on economic growth have been examined empirically for the postwar U.S. economy. A vector autoregressive model includes real GDP, real capital, labor, real energy prices, and the Divisia energy index. A key feature of our finding is that some damaging effects of energy conservation on the macroeconomy are statistically insignificant in the short run, and the insignificant short-run effects are quickly enervated over time. Alternative measures of energy use also suggest that energy conservation has no significant impact on real output growth. The findings are generally consistent with the neoclassical position that real economic growth of the United States is neutral with respect to changes in energy use. One exception is the case that energy prices are omitted from the model.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the past three decades, the growth of energy use in the United States has spurred endeavors to conserve energy. The early efforts of energy conservation arose after two waves of oil-price increases, one resulting from the OPEC oil price shock in 1973–74 and the other from the Iranian revolution in 1979–80. While relatively low prices of oil during the 1980s resulted in a moderation of efforts to conserve energy, surging oil prices in October 1990, prior to the occurrence of the first Gulf War, threatened the world's economic growth once more. But after a brief upsurge, oil prices in the 1990s maintained relatively low for another decade. Recently, however, oil prices were found volatile due to Middle East tensions as well as an emergence of China and India in the world producers market. In particular, uncertainties associated with the war in Iraq and the rise of China and India as big drivers of global oil demand stressed the need to develop energy-saving technology to buffer future oil shocks. Some energy conservation policies that further mitigate the impact of oil price shocks were also suggested for economic stability. In this case, how does real economic activity respond to this type of energy conservation? Do energy prices play an important role in this transmission channel? How do energy conservation policies trigger technological improvement? Recent calls for energy thrift renewed our concerns over its impact on real economic activities.

[🌣] The authors would like to thank David Ahlstrom and anonymous referees for their many helpful comments and suggestions.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +852 2609 7902; fax: +852 2603 5104. *E-mail address*: jcjin@cuhk.edu.hk (J.C. Jin).

Biophysical and ecological economists' view has been proposed as an explanation for the macroeconomic role of energy. Cleveland, Costanza, Hall, and Kaufmann (1984), for example, proposed that energy plays a central role in income determination and hence an economy would be significantly affected by energy use. Sweeney and Fenichel (1986), however, found that the response of energy consumption to changes in energy prices was asymmetric. In particular, a decrease in energy consumption was more sensitive to price increases than did an increase in energy consumption due to price decreases. This suggests that energy conservation can be achieved simply by raising energy prices, though costly and painful. Alternatively, Chandler, Geller, and Ledbetter (1988) suggested a non-price conservation that includes technological improvements to raise energy efficiency. In this case, energy consumption can be reduced without creating damaging effects on the economy. Recently, Smulders and de Nooij (2003) further developed a 'new' growth model in which the development of new energy-related technology is determined endogenously by different levels of energy conservation. If the level of conservation is slow, there will be less incentives to develop new technology, and hence a decrease in energy use will depress the economy. But if energy consumption is to be reduced fast, perhaps, due to a sudden oil price hike, people may have more incentives to develop new technology. In this case, energy conservation may have less impact on output growth.

Several studies have attempted to find empirically the role of energy in the short and long runs, but a consensus on the role of energy has been difficult to find. In particular, most studies have focused upon the identification of either Granger-causal directions or cointegrated long-run relations, but do not explicitly show whether the signs of the effects are positive or negative (e.g. Stern, 1993, 2000, among others). If the signs of the effects are unidentified, any causal directions or cointegrated relations found would be difficult to interpret. For example, if output effects of energy are found negative, this exotic result may have such a policy implication that energy conservation (i.e. a decrease in energy consumption) would have some positive, favorable impacts on real output growth. Furthermore, inferences of Granger causality even within a vector autoregressive (VAR) model attempt to use parameter estimates of each equation. It uses the same logic as in a bivariate case. Since most hypotheses in the VAR model are cross-equation in nature, variance decompositions (VDCs) and impulse response functions (IRFs), which are based upon the moving-average representations of the VAR model, would be more appropriate to examine the dynamic responses of one variable to another over time. In particular, the IRFs can be used to identify the signs of the effects over longer horizons.

The aim of this paper is to explore with the postwar U.S. data how energy conservation is compatible with observed movements in economic growth. The role of energy prices will be further investigated in this relationship. The framework of analysis is a five-variable VAR model that includes real gross domestic product (GDP), real capital, labor, real energy prices, and real energy consumption. For the energy consumption variable, a quality-weighted Divisia energy index is constructed based on expenditures on three major resources of energy. In this case, energy expenditures are used as a proxy for the quality of energy. Energy prices are also included in the model to allow them to affect GDP directly, as well as indirectly through energy consumption. A rapid rise of oil prices, for example, may directly hamper economic growth at least in the short run because production costs will rise until energy-saving technologies are developed. It may also reduce the consumption of oil, which in turn lowers economic activities.

2. Empirical model and the data

The effects of energy consumption on economic growth are estimated using a vector autoregressive (VAR) model. A vector autoregressive process of order p, VAR(p), for a system of k variables can be written as:

$$Z_t = A + B(L)Z_t + u_t. (1)$$

where Z_t is a $k \times 1$ vector of system variables, A is a $k \times 1$ vector of constants, B(L) is $k \times k$ matrix of polynomials in the lag operator L, and u_t is a $k \times 1$ vector of serially uncorrelated white noise residuals. The standard Sims (1980) VAR is an unrestricted reduced-form approach and uses a common lag length for each variable in each equation. That is, no restrictions are imposed on the coefficient matrices to be null, and the same lag length is used for all system variables. The standard VAR is employed here because there is little agreement on the appropriate structural model of an economy and few restrictions are placed on the way in which the model variables interact in estimation of the model.

In addition, the VAR results would be biased and misleading if relevant variables were omitted from the model (Stock and Watson, 2001). To avoid the 'omitted variable bias', our VAR model is constructed based upon structural assumptions. For example, energy prices may affect real economic activity even if energy consumption has no effect on real output. Since energy consumption and energy prices are highly correlated, the output effect due to changes in energy prices might be incorrectly attributable to energy consumption if energy prices were omitted from the model. Therefore, the system variable vector Z_t includes five variables, i.e.

$$Z'_t = f(K, L, EC, EP, GDP)$$
 (2)

Real composite production prices of fossil fuels measured in 1996 prices are used as real energy prices (EP). Real GDP in 1996 prices is used as real output (GDP). Gross fixed capital formation that includes both private and government investment is deflated by the GDP deflator (1996 = 100) to construct a proxy for real capital (K). Total employment is used as a proxy for labor input (L). A

¹ The drawback, of course, is that it is difficult to distinguish sharply among different structural models, since the standard VAR techniques used here are a reduced-form approach. Cooley and LeRoy (1985) and Leamer (1985) pointed out this limitation of the reduced-form VAR approach. Recently, Stock and Watson (2001), among others, critically argued that structural VAR models were also difficult to use for structural inferences and for policy analysis, since the results were found sensitive to the specific identifying assumptions used. Therefore, as long as the standard VAR models are specified based on structural assumptions and institutional details, the VAR techniques (e.g., VDCs and IRFs) can be used to provide sensible estimates of causal inferences.

دريافت فورى ب

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات