



# Role of orbital degrees of freedom in investigating the magnetic properties of geometrically frustrated vanadium spinels



Sohan Lal\*, Sudhir K. Pandey

School of Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, Kamand 175005, Himachal Pradesh, India

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 7 April 2016

Received in revised form 1 September 2016

Accepted 30 September 2016

### Keywords:

Frustration indices

Magnetic moments

Band gap

Exchange coupling constant

Curie-Weiss temperature

Magnetic transition temperature

## ABSTRACT

The inconsistency about the degree of geometrical frustration has been a long issue in  $AV_2O_4$  ( $A \equiv Zn, Cd$  and  $Mg$ ) compounds, which arises from the two experimental results: (i) frustration indices and (ii) magnetic moments. In the present study, we try to understand such inconsistency by using *ab initio* electronic structure calculations. The orbital degrees of freedom are found to play an important role in understanding the geometrically frustrated magnetic behavior of these compounds. The magnitude of the maximum calculated values of orbital magnetic moment per formula unit for  $ZnV_2O_4$ ,  $MgV_2O_4$  and  $CdV_2O_4$  compounds are found to be  $\sim 1.54 \mu_B$ ,  $\sim 0.92 \mu_B$  and  $\sim 1.74 \mu_B$ , respectively. The inclusion of the orbital and spin angular momenta for calculating the frustration indices improves the understanding about the degree of geometrical frustration in these compounds. The calculated values of the frustration indices ( $f_j$ ) are largest for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$  for  $3.3 \leq U \leq 5.3$  eV. In this range of  $U$ , the calculated values of  $\Delta M_2 = M_{total} - M_{exp}$  (where,  $M_{total} = M_{spin} - |M_{orbital}|$ ) are also found to be largest for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$ . Hence, the consistency about the degree of geometrical frustration, which arises from the  $f_j$  as well as from the  $\Delta M_2$  is achieved and improves the understanding about the degree of geometrical frustration in these compounds. Calculated values of band gap in this range of  $U$  are found to be closer to that of experimentally observed values for all three compounds. The absolute values of the nearest neighbor exchange coupling constant ( $J_{nn}$ ) between V spins are found to be largest for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$ , which indicate that the calculated absolute values of the Curie-Weiss temperature ( $\Theta_{CW}$ ) are highest for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$  for  $3.3 \leq U \leq 5.3$  eV. In this range of  $U$ , the magnetic transition temperature ( $T_N$ ) is found to be  $\sim 150$  K,  $\sim 60$  K and  $\sim 22$  K for  $MgV_2O_4$ ,  $ZnV_2O_4$  and  $CdV_2O_4$ , respectively, which shows that the order of  $(T_N)_j$  is similar to that of  $(T_N)_{exp}$  for these compounds. Hence, all the magnetic properties studied in the present work are well explained in these spinels for  $3.3 \leq U \leq 5.3$  eV. This work is expected to provide a valuable input in understanding the geometrically frustrated magnetic behavior for those systems for which the orbital part of the angular momenta are not quenched.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The ground state properties in the condensed matter physics have been well described from long time by the density functional theory (DFT) approach [1–3]. At least in the metallic systems, such properties are described well either by local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA) based on the DFT. However, it is well known that these functionals underestimate the orbital moments for strongly correlated systems, which are induced by the strong spin orbit coupling (SOC) [4–7]. This

can be resolved by adding orbital dependent Hartree-Fock (HF) potential to the LDA/GGA, so called LDA+U/LDA+U approximation [7–10]. A major problem in this approach is that the electron-electron interaction has already been included in LDA/GGA potential. Hence, the simple addition of HF potential leads to double counting (DC). Here, the best way is to identify the mean-field-part of the HF potential and subtract it, leaving the orbital dependent correction to the mean field type LDA potential. Czyżyk and Sawatzky suggested a scheme that is true for uniform occupancies, so called around mean field (AMF) and is applicable to the weakly correlated systems [3]. For strongly correlated systems, AMF DC scheme is not valid due to the lack of uniform occupancies. For these systems, one can prefer the fully-localized (FL) DC scheme,

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [goluthakur2007@gmail.com](mailto:goluthakur2007@gmail.com) (S. Lal), [sudhir@iitmandi.ac.in](mailto:sudhir@iitmandi.ac.in) (S.K. Pandey).

where the average effect for a localized state is subtracted with integer occupation number [7,8].

Geometrical frustration is always a challenging problem in the strongly correlated systems. In these systems it arises due to the interactions between spin degrees of freedom in a lattice, which are incompatible to that of the essential crystal geometry. In the highly geometrically frustrated magnets, frustration suppresses the long-range magnetic order and leads to a degenerate manifold of ground states. Degeneracy in the frustrated magnetic systems yield different complex ordering structures, spin liquid states and spin ice states [11,12]. Charge ordering phenomena are also much affected by the geometrical frustration [13]. After 1980s, spin systems on the pyrochlore lattice (an example of geometrically frustrated structures) have been studied in more detail [12,14–20]. In the classical Heisenberg spin systems, a very strong geometrical frustration is anticipated due to the antiferromagnetically coupled spins on a pyrochlore lattice, which do not show long-range order at any nonzero temperature [12,19,20].

Vanadium spinels,  $AV_2O_4$  ( $A \equiv Zn, Cd$  and  $Mg$ ) with the face-centered-cubic structure at room temperature are an interesting example of geometrically frustrated systems, which show a variety of physical properties [21–31]. In these systems a pyrochlore lattice is formed due to the corner sharing network of tetrahedra with magnetically coupled V atoms that gives the geometrical frustration [30–37]. As opposed to the other geometrically frustrated systems, vanadium spinels show the long range antiferromagnetic ordering at low temperature [40]. In these compounds, orbital ordering is found to be responsible for the structural transition that leads to a long range magnetic ordering due to the lifting of geometrical frustration in the tetragonal structure [41,42]. The structural transition temperature ( $T_S$ ) for  $CdV_2O_4$  ( $\sim 97$  K)  $>$   $MgV_2O_4$  ( $\sim 65$  K)  $>$   $ZnV_2O_4$  ( $\sim 50$  K) compound [32–34,41,43–45]. However, the magnetic transition temperature ( $T_N$ ) for  $MgV_2O_4$  ( $\sim 42$  K)  $>$   $ZnV_2O_4$  ( $\sim 40$  K)  $>$   $CdV_2O_4$  ( $\sim 35$  K) compound [32–34,41,43–45]. The values of  $T_N$  for these compounds are always found to be less than  $T_S$ . However, it is expected that the values of  $T_S$  and  $T_N$  should be same for these compounds because the geometrical frustration is removed by the above proposed mechanism. The fact that  $T_N < T_S$  in these compounds, indicates the presence of certain degree of geometrical frustration in the tetragonal phase of the compound.

The presence of geometrical frustration in the above mentioned compounds can be attributed to the following two experimental facts. First one is the frustration index, defined as  $f_s = |\theta_{CW}|/T_N$ , where  $|\theta_{CW}|$  and  $T_N$  are the Curie-Weiss temperature and the magnetic ordering temperature, respectively. Experimentally reported values of  $\theta_{CW}$  for  $ZnV_2O_4$ ,  $MgV_2O_4$  and  $CdV_2O_4$  are  $\sim 850$  K,  $\sim 600$  K and  $\sim 400$  K, respectively [45,46]. However, in some literature these values are reported to be different for these compounds depending upon the experimental conditions [33,47–50]. In general, one can use the parameter  $f_s$  as a measure of strength of geometrical frustration in the system [27,40,45]. The values of  $f_s$  for  $ZnV_2O_4$ ,  $CdV_2O_4$  and  $MgV_2O_4$  are found to be 21.3, 11.4 and 14.3, respectively [45]. Among these compounds,  $ZnV_2O_4$  is largest frustrated and  $CdV_2O_4$  is least frustrated as large value of  $f_s$  indicates the more frustration in the system. The second one is based on the difference between the spin magnetic moment per formula unit (MM/f.u.) [ $M_{spin}$ ] and the experimentally observed MM/f.u. ( $M_{exp}$ ), which is denoted by  $\Delta M_1 = M_{spin} - M_{exp}$  in the antiferromagnetic phase of these compounds.  $M_{spin}$  for these compounds is found to be  $4 \mu_B$ . However,  $M_{exp}$  for  $CdV_2O_4$ ,  $ZnV_2O_4$  and  $MgV_2O_4$  compounds are reported to be  $2.38 \mu_B$ ,  $1.26 \mu_B$  and  $0.94 \mu_B$ , respectively [30,33,34,44]. Large values of  $\Delta M_1$  is explained in two different ways. One way of understanding is to attribute this difference to the activeness of geometrical frustration in these

compounds, which results in the quantum fluctuations responsible for the reduction of  $M_{exp}$  drastically as reported in  $MgV_2O_4$  [44]. If this is the case, then the degree of the geometrical frustration for  $MgV_2O_4 > ZnV_2O_4 > CdV_2O_4$ . Also, the order of geometrical frustration that arises from the experimentally reported frustration index is found to be  $ZnV_2O_4 > MgV_2O_4 > CdV_2O_4$  as described in Ref. [43]. Hence, both the results show the inconsistency about the degree of geometrical frustration in these compounds. Another way of understanding will be by considering contribution of the magnitude of orbital part of MM/f.u. (denoted by  $|M_{orbital}|$ ) reported by Maitra et al. for  $ZnV_2O_4$  [25]. The calculated values of the  $|M_{orbital}|$  for  $ZnV_2O_4$ ,  $MgV_2O_4$  and  $CdV_2O_4$  compounds are found to be  $\sim 1.50 \mu_B$ ,  $\sim 0.4 \mu_B$  and  $\sim 0.4 \mu_B$ , respectively [25,27,28]. Even by considering the  $|M_{orbital}|$ , the total calculated MM/f.u. ( $M_{total}$ ) is still larger than the  $M_{exp}$ , indicating that the certain degree of geometrical frustration is still present in these compounds. The inclusion of  $|M_{orbital}|$  does not improve the situation.

The above discussion clearly suggests that the current understanding of these compounds is not sufficient to resolve the contradiction about the degree of geometrical frustration present especially in  $ZnV_2O_4$  and  $MgV_2O_4$ . In the present work we have tried to resolve this contradiction by carrying out detailed LDA+U+SOC calculations. Our results clearly show the importance of orbital degrees of freedom along with the spin in understanding the magnetic properties of these compounds. The inclusion of orbital and spin angular momenta for calculating the frustration indices improves the understanding about degree of geometrical frustration present in these compounds. The calculated values of the frustration indices  $f_j$  thus obtained for  $3.3 \leq U \leq 5.3$  eV provide the largest value for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$ . This behavior is similar to that expected from the  $\Delta M_2$ . In this range of  $U$ , the magnitude of Curie-Weiss temperature [ $(\theta_{CW})_j$ ] are highest for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$ , which indicate that the magnitude of the nearest neighbor exchange coupling constant ( $J_{nn}$ ) among V spins are largest for  $MgV_2O_4$  and smallest for  $CdV_2O_4$  compounds. Also, the order of magnetic transition temperature [ $(T_N)_j$ ] is found to be consistent with the experimentally reported order of magnetic transition temperature  $(T_N)_{exp}$  for these spinels for above mentioned range of  $U$ .

## 2. Computational detail

In present work, the ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) electronic-structure calculations of  $AV_2O_4$  ( $A \equiv Zn, Cd$  and  $Mg$ ) compounds are carried out by using the *state-of-the-art* full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) method [51]. The atomic positions and lattice parameters used in the calculations for every compounds are taken from the literature [33,34,44]. All these calculations are performed in the tetragonal phase for which Perdew-Wang/Ceperley-Alder exchange correlation functional has been used [52]. In order to calculate the nearest neighbor exchange coupling constant ( $J_{nn}$ ), we have considered the two magnetic orderings: FM and AFM (not the experimentally observed structure) ordering of the spins of the four V atoms in a primitive unit cell. For AFM ordering, the primitive unit cell consists of two up and two down spins on the four V atoms. The effect of on-site Coulomb interaction among V 3d electrons is considered within LDA+U formulation of the density functional theory [7]. Normally in this method  $U$  and  $J$  are used as parameters. However in our calculations, only  $U$  is used as a free parameter and the value of  $J$  is calculated self-consistently as described in Ref. [7]. FL and AMF DC schemes have been used in these calculations [3,8]. Both the DC schemes give the similar results. Thus in order to avoid the repetition of the results, we have discussed the results

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات