Accepted Manuscript

Voting as a Lottery

Giuseppe ATTANASI, Luca CORAZZINI, Francesco PASSARELLI

PII: S0047-2727(16)30201-8

DOI: doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2016.11.016

Reference: PUBEC 3729

To appear in: Journal of Public Economics

Received date: 23 December 2013 Revised date: 28 November 2016 Accepted date: 28 November 2016



Please cite this article as: ATTANASI, Giuseppe, CORAZZINI, Luca, PAS-SARELLI, Francesco, Voting as a Lottery, *Journal of Public Economics* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2016.11.016

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Voting as a Lottery*

Giuseppe ATTANASI[†] Luca CORAZZINI[‡] Francesco PASSARELLI[§]

November 2016

Abstract

This paper studies the issue of constitutional design, and supermajorities in particular, from a behavioral economics perspective. The relevant parameters are voting power, risk aversion, and pessimism. Voters who feel powerful prefer lower thresholds, while risk averters and those who feel pessimistic about the majority prefer higher thresholds. We also analyze the effects of loss aversion and overconfidence. The former leads voters to prefer more protective voting rules, a manifestation of their bias towards the status quo. The latter leads them to prefer overly low (high) protection when they receive good (bad) news about how others will vote. Finally, we study constitutional agreements on the voting rule. Members of the constituent assembly are heterogeneous in the parameters above. Weak and minority members anticipate high expropriation risk in future decisions. This gives them consistent leverage to push for a protective constitution.

Keywords: supermajority, weighted votes, loss aversion, overconfidence, behavioral political economy, constitutions.

JEL Codes: D72, H11, D81, D03.

*We thank Francesco Trebbi, two anonymous referees, Philippe Aghion, Olivier Armantier, John Carey, Dean Lacy, Matthias Messner, Aldo Montesano, Antonio Nicolò, Anastasiya Shchepetova, Robert Sugden, Piero Tedeschi, Unal Zenginobuz and participants at the PET 2008 in Seoul, the PET 2009 in Lyon and a seminar at Catholic University Milan, for useful comments and suggestions. We also thank Brandon Gill for outstanding assistance in editing. G. Attanasi gratefully acknowledges financial support by the project "Creative, Sustainable Economies and Societies" (CSES), University of Strasbourg IDEX Unistra. F. Passarelli gratefully acknowledges support by Collegio Carlo Alberto, Turin.

[†]BETA, University of Strasbourg. Email: attanasi@unistra.fr

[‡]Department of Economics (SEAM), University of Messina. Email: lcorazzini@unime.it

[§]Contact author: University of Turin and Bocconi University. Email: francesco.passarelli@unibocconi.it.

دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات