



Retrospective time travel in life satisfaction judgment: A life history approach[☆]

Soyeon Choi, Eunkook M. Suh^{*}

Department of Psychology, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Life satisfaction
Retrospection
Life history theory

ABSTRACT

We are products of past events and experiences, but only a few of them linger in our memory to affect our present lives. The current research examined whether there are individual differences in how far people look back to judge their present life satisfaction using the evolutionary framework of life history theory. The results showed that perceived ecological uncertainty interacts with a key aspect of life history strategy (childhood socioeconomic status; SES) to influence the span of retrospective mental time travel. When asked to list past events that had crossed their minds during life satisfaction judgments, individuals who grew up in low-SES environments mentioned more recent events, whereas individuals who grew up in high-SES environments wrote more distant past events. This difference was found only when the perception of ecological uncertainty was high, but not when it was low. It appears that life history strategy shapes people's retrospective lens during life satisfaction judgments.

“Happiness isn't something you experience; it's something you remember.”

— Oscar Levant

How far do you look back to evaluate how happy you are today? Human beings are unique in their ability to mentally travel back in time (Tulving, 1985). Like historians who review the past and sort out key events, people draw on select moments among the myriad of past events in interpreting their current lives. During this journey through time, some people may recall mostly recent past events, while others may muse over more distant ones. We examine in this research whether the person's early-life experience influences the scope of temporal sampling in one's evaluation of life as a whole.

Past research on retrospective reports of happiness has produced various accounts of how past events influence the present. Some researchers have suggested that life events cause only short-term changes to the level of subjective well-being, because people adapt quickly to most life circumstances (Suh, Diener, & Fujita, 1996); others have argued that life events do have the potential to cause a major impact on long-term levels of subjective well-being (Lucas, 2007). There is also the claim that reports of happiness are less dependent on past experiences per se but more on how the past is subjectively framed by the person (Schwarz & Strack, 1991).

Recently, studies have suggested the importance of taking the large inter-individual differences in reaction and adaptation to life events

into account (Diener, Lucas, & Scollon, 2006; Lucas, 2007). Demographic, social, and personality factors can lead to multiple trajectories of delight or distress following the same event. For example, individuals high in extraversion and low in neuroticism tend to adapt faster than others to negative life events such as divorce or unemployment (Luhmann & Eid, 2009). Yet, most of the existing work have focused on *how positively* one thinks of the past, leaving open the question of *how far* one mentally reaches to the past.

How deeply does the person dig into the past to evaluate her current happiness? We believe a stable individual difference exists, which partly depends on the person's early-life experiences. Specifically, we assumed that people brought up in resource-scarce environments would be more likely to think of relatively recent past events, whereas people from resource-abundant environments would be more likely to think of more distant past events in their construction of life satisfaction judgments.

The theoretical underpinning of this assumption comes from life history theory research. Life history theory explains how the best strategy for navigating through life varies by the lessons one acquires from his or her early-life experiences. People who have grown up in harsh and unpredictable childhoods, referred to as fast strategists, are characterized by short life expectancy, accelerated maturation, and early childbirth (see Kaplan & Gangestad, 2005, for a review). By contrast, people who have grown up in benign and predictable

[☆] S. Choi and E. M. Suh developed the idea for this research. S. Choi collected and analyzed the data. S. Choi and E. M. Suh wrote and edited the manuscript.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, Yonsei University, 50 Yonsei-ro Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea.

E-mail address: esuh@yonsei.ac.kr (E.M. Suh).

childhoods, referred to as slow strategists, have long life expectancy and favor investment in the future over current mating or childbirth. Essentially, the core difference between fast and slow strategists is the breadth of their time perspective in life (Kruger, Reischl, & Zimmerman, 2008). Slow strategies entail “long-term thinking and reflective, deliberate, and thoughtful attitudes towards the past, present, and future,” while fast strategies take the opposite pattern (Figueredo, Vásquez, Brumbach, & Schneider, 2007, p. 56). Importantly, past research has documented that these patterns remain latent in stable environments, but they become pronounced when people are faced with ecological uncertainties, such as the unpredictability of economy or crime (e.g., Mittal & Griskevicius, 2014; White, Li, Griskevicius, Neuberg, & Kenrick, 2013).

Here, we explored how such different childhood backgrounds may extend to the utility of remembering past events. Memory is designed by natural selection to not only relive the past but to promote adaptive behaviors in the specific environment one is situated within (Klein, 2013). Researchers have speculated that fast strategists use past experiences less than slow strategists to figure out current situations (Figueredo et al., 2007), but this assumption needs empirical verification. Since fast strategists grew up in relatively harsh and unpredictable childhoods, they are more likely to view the world as unstable and unstructured with few regular procedures to achieve desired end states (Ross & Hill, 2002). In this unreliable environment, it may be more adaptive for fast strategists to put more weight on the recent than the distant past, since there are no manageable patterns to use for guidance. For instance, if you live in a place with fickle weather, you should plan your day according to the weather in the morning than considering the weather two weeks ago. By contrast, slow strategists' early-life experiences are characterized by supportive environments with regular patterns for producing desired goals. For such individuals who are programmed with the image of a predictable world, it may be more sensible to look far back to the distant past to extract structure and meaning from past experiences. This belief is central to sense of control and ability to plan long-term goals (Mittal & Griskevicius, 2014). Thus, the scope of retrospective thinking in response to ecological uncertainty can diverge as a function of one's early experiences.

Although happiness judgment itself is not a life strategy, evaluation of one's life functions as a barometer of the current state of affairs and a monitoring system for future behaviors (Nesse, 2004). Positive evaluations of one's life are known to prospectively trigger approach motives, whereas negative evaluations trigger avoidant motives (Fredrickson, 2001). Even a similar past event can invoke pleasant or unpleasant memory depending on the person's subjective framing of the past (Schwarz & Strack, 1991). We go beyond the issue of valence, and examine whether the temporal scope of past events integrated into life satisfaction judgments also varies predictably as a function of the person's life experience. Using childhood socioeconomic status (SES) as a proxy of childhood adversity (cf. Griskevicius, Delton, Robertson, & Tybur, 2011), we hypothesized that ecological uncertainty cues would lead people from low- versus high-SES childhoods to adopt different spans of past narratives in constructing current life satisfaction judgments. Those from low-SES backgrounds were expected to retrieve more recent past events. By contrast, those from high-SES backgrounds were expected to retrieve more distant past events.

1. Method

1.1. Participants

Two hundred and five participants from the United States ranging in age from 18 to 65 years ($M = 32.21$ years, $SD = 10.23$; 128 females, 77 males) were recruited from Amazon's Mechanical Turk (MTurk) in exchange for monetary compensation. Eighty-five percent of participants were Caucasian, 7% African American, 3% East Asian, 1% South Asian, 1% Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian, and 3% Other. A power

analysis using G*Power 3.1 (Faul, Erdfelder, Buchner, & Lang, 2009) indicated that a minimum of 159 participants were required for a small-to-medium effect size ($f^2 = 0.05$; Cohen, 1992) with statistical power of 0.80 and α of 0.05.

1.2. Procedure

Upon consenting, participants first responded to the Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS; Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985), which is a widely used questionnaire for measuring global life satisfaction. They were asked to evaluate their life as a whole by indicating their agreement with five items ($\alpha = 0.93$) from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*).

Participants were then asked to write about three positive and three negative events in the last five years that crossed their minds when they were completing the SWLS. The instructions were as follows: “On the previous page, you answered five questions about how satisfied you are with your life. People use different criteria to evaluate how happy they are. On the space below, please write three positive (negative) events in the last five years that crossed your mind when you were completing the life-evaluation questions.” A potential bias (reminiscence bump) that occurs in autobiographical memory is that adults remember more events from their 20s and 30s than from other periods of their lives (Rubin, Wetzler, & Nebes, 1986). Given the diverse age distribution of the sample, the span of retrospective time travel was restricted to the last five years in order to minimize this bias. Participants also indicated the year and month of when the positive and negative events happened (order counter-balanced).

After the event description, life history strategy was assessed with established scales of perceived childhood SES and current SES. Research has documented that individuals reared in low-SES environments have higher rates of morbidity-mortality in all forms, from acute illnesses and injuries to chronic health issues (Chen, Matthews, & Boyce, 2002). Poor children also face higher levels of chaos, such as unpredictable daily routines and frantic home atmosphere (Belsky, Schlomer, & Ellis, 2012; Evans, Gonnella, Marcynyszyn, Gentile, & Salpekar, 2005). Therefore, people from low-SES backgrounds are more likely to adopt a faster life history strategy, whereas those from high-SES backgrounds are more likely to adopt a slower life history strategy. The SES items measured in this study were adopted from prior work on life history theory (Griskevicius et al., 2011; Mittal & Griskevicius, 2014; White et al., 2013). For an index of perceived childhood SES, participants were led to think about their childhood before age 12 and to indicate their agreement with the three following items: (a) “My family usually had enough money or things when I was growing up.”; (b) “I grew up in a relatively wealthy neighborhood.”; and (c) “I felt relatively wealthy compared to the other kids in my school,” $\alpha = 0.86$. For an index of current SES, participants were asked to think about their current life situation and to indicate their agreement with the three following items: (a) “I have enough money to buy things I want.”; (b) “I don't need to worry too much about paying my bills.”; and (c) “I feel relatively wealthy these days,” $\alpha = 0.89$. Responses to these two SES scales ranged from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*).

For assessment of perceived ecological uncertainty, participants indicated their current perceptions of crime unpredictability (adopted from White et al., 2013). They responded to the three following questions: (a) “How predictable is crime in your country these days?”; (b) “How predictable is crime in your state these days?”; and (c) “How predictable is crime in your local community these days?” from 1 (*extremely predictable*) to 7 (*extremely unpredictable*), $\alpha = 0.85$. As the sensitization model in life history research suggests (Griskevicius et al., 2011), we expected that the span of retrospection would vary only when the perception of ecological uncertainty is high, but not when the perception of ecological uncertainty is low.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات