ARTICLE IN PRESS





Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2017) 1-9

Featured Article

Dementia prevalence, care arrangement, and access to care in Lebanon

Kieu T. T. Phung^{a,*}, Monique Chaaya^b, Martin Prince^c, Samir Atweh^d, Khalil El Asmar^b, Georges Karam^e, Rose Mary Khoury^b, Lilian Ghandour^b, Husam Ghusn^{f,g}, Rune T. Nielsen^a, Gunhild Waldemar^a

^aDanish Dementia Research Center, Department of Neurology, The Neuroscience Center, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

^bFaculty of Health Sciences, Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, American University of Beirut, Lebanon

^cDepartment of Health Service and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London; Centre for Global Mental Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK

^dDepartment of Neurology, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon

^eDepartment of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology, St George Hospital University Medical Center; Institute for Development, Advocacy, and Applied Care (IDRAAC), Beirut, Lebanon

^fDepartment of Geriatrics, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon ^gGeriatric Department, Ain Wazein Hospital, Mount Lebanon, Lebanon

Abstract Introduction: In North Africa and the Middle East, studies about dementia prevalence are scarce. The Arabic-validated 10/66 Dementia Research Group diagnostic assessment was used to assess the prevalence of dementia in Lebanon in a population-based study. The study also examined care arrangement and access to care.
Methods: A random sample of 502 persons older than 65 years and their informant were recruited from Beirut and Mount Lebanon governorates through multistage cluster sampling.
Results: The crude dementia prevalence was 7.4%, and age-standardized dementia prevalence was 9.0%. People with dementia were mainly cared for by relatives at home. Access to formal care was very limited.
Discussion: Dementia prevalence in Lebanon ranks high within the global range of estimates. These first evidence-based data about disease burden and barriers to care serve to raise awareness and call for social and health care reform to tackle the dementia epidemic in Lebanon and in North Africa and the Middle East.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association.

Keywords: Dementia; Prevalence; Lebanon; Dementia care

1. Background

In North Africa and the Middle East (EMRO), due to very rapid demographic aging, the estimated number of people with dementia is expected to grow exponentially, two million people in 2015 rising to four million in 2030 and 10 million in 2050 [1], an increase of 329% from 2015 through 2050, the second fastest in the world. Currently, EMRO is estimated to have the highest age-standardized prevalence globally [1]. Due to lack of studies, these are the best estimates based on consensus judgment of an international panel of experts [2] and studies from Egypt and Turkey [1]. More prevalence studies in the region are needed to assess disease burden, raise awareness, and provide evidence-based data to develop health promotion and disease prevention strategies.

One great challenge has been the lack of well-validated education- and culture-fair screening and diagnostic instrument. Illiteracy among the older generations in EMRO is high. For example, it was estimated in 2009 that about

1552-5260/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +45 35 45 35 72; Fax: +45 35 35 26 26. E-mail address: thien.phung@mail.mcgill.ca

2

110 50% of people aged 65 years and older in Lebanon were illit-111 erate [3]. Therefore, before this study, we had validated the 112 Arabic versions of the one-stage 10/66 Dementia Research 113 Group (DRG) diagnostic assessment for dementia [4] and 114 two brief screening instruments, the Rowland Universal De-115 116 mentia Assessment Scale (RUDAS) [5] and the Informant 117 Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQ-118 CODE) [6]. All three instruments were designed to mini-119 mize the effect of education and culture on cognitive 120 assessment and extensively validated across languages and 121 122 cultures. In the Arabic language, educational, and cultural 123 context, we had demonstrated that the 10/66 DRG diagnostic 124 assessment has excellent psychometric properties to diag-125 nose dementia [7]. Still, it is time-consuming and the sub-126 type classification has not been validated. Alternatively, a 127 128 two-stage case ascertainment strategy can be used, first 129 with a brief screening instrument to be followed by a 130 comprehensive diagnostic evaluation to diagnose dementia 131 and determine subtypes for those who are screened positive. 132 For this purpose, we had also demonstrated that the Arabic 133 134 versions of the RUDAS and the IQCODE possess very 135 good discriminatory ability to screen for dementia, and the 136 DemeGraph harnessing the RUDAS and IQCODE has better 137 discriminatory ability than either alone [8–10]. 138

We aimed to conduct a pilot study in two governorates of 139 140 Lebanon, using the Arabic-validated 10/66 DRG diagnostic 141 assessment for case ascertainment, to field-test this one-142 stage diagnostic procedure, assess the feasibility of a subse-143 quent nationwide cohort study, generate preliminary data 144 about dementia prevalence, and gather data about care 145 146 need, care arrangement, and access to care. To have an alter-147 native strategy in case this one-stage diagnostic procedure 148 failed, we also applied the Arabic-validated RUDAS, IQ-149 CODE, and DemeGraph to the same study population and 150 validated these screening instruments against the 10/66 151 152 DRG dementia diagnosis. 153

2. Methods

154

155

156

157

158

2.1. Sample size and sampling frame

159 The long-term goal was to establish a nationwide 160 community-based cohort of individuals older than 65 years 161 randomly selected from all regions of Lebanon to provide 162 a precise estimate of dementia prevalence and incidence in 163 164 the country. Based on the estimated prevalence of 5% for 165 EMRO [11] available at the start of the study in 2011, the 166 estimated required sample size was 2500 persons to achieve 167 a maximal error of $\pm 1\%$ with 95% confidence interval and 168 account for 25% nonresponse rate. The targeted number of 169 170 study participants would be distributed across the 25 districts 171 within the six governorates of Lebanon according to the pro-172 portions of people older than 65 years in each district.

Given the aims of this pilot study, we collected data in two governorates: Beirut and Mount Lebanon (Chouf and Aley districts). We computed the sample size for the pilot study nested within the sample size of the national cohort described previously (2500 persons), with Beirut represented 13.4% of the total sample, Aley 3.2%, and Chouf 4.3%. The targeted sample size for the pilot study was 523 participants, 335 in Beirut, 108 in Chouf, and 80 in Aley (Fig. 1).

A multistage cluster sampling was employed. For Beirut, an existing sampling frame designed for another survey was used in which Beirut governorate was divided into 594 clusters each containing 50 residential buildings with complete detailed household listing of 60 randomly chosen clusters [12]. For this pilot study, seven clusters were randomly selected from the 60 clusters with complete household listing. Within the selected clusters, the trained research workers, who were university graduates, systematically knocked on every door to recruit participants. In Chouf and Aley districts, since there was no existing sampling frame, a number of villages and towns were randomly chosen and weighted according to their respective sizes. The research workers door-knocked the selected households and interviewed any person who was 65 years and older and one informant. The informant was defined as the person who knew best the selected older person. If the selected older person needed care and support, the main caregiver was chosen as the informant. If there was a paid caregiver, the informant was the person who organized and supervised the paid care.

2.2. Instruments

2.2.1. Diagnostic instrument for dementia

2.2.1.1. One-stage 10/66 DRG diagnostic assessment: Both the older person and the informant participated

The Arabic-validated 10/66 DRG diagnostic assessment 01 has demonstrated excellent discriminatory ability to diagnose dementia among older people with low education: 92.0% sensitivity, 95.1% specificity, and 92.9% positive predictive value (PPV) [4,7]. This diagnostic assessment consists of (1) cognitive test battery: the Community Screening Instrument of Dementia (CSI-D) [13] and the Consortium to Establish a Registry of Alzheimer's Disease's animal naming tests and modified 10-world list learning [14]; (2) the Geriatric Mental State, which applies a computerized algorithm to identify organic brain syndrome (dementia), schizophrenia, neurotic and psychotic depression, and anxiety neuroses [15]; (3) physical assessment and brief neurological examination (NEURONEX) [16]; and (4) 02 informant interview on cognitive and functional decline (CSI-D informant interview) [13]. 10/66 DRG dementia diagnosis is defined as scoring above a cutoff point of predicted probability of DSM-IV dementia syndrome from 03 the logistic regression equation using coefficients from the CSI-D, Geriatric Mental State, and modified 10-word list learning of the Consortium to Establish a Registry of Alzheimer's Disease [4]. It takes about one hour to administer.

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

177

178

179

180

دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
 امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
 امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
 پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران