

Accepted Manuscript

Waiting for guidance: Disclosure noise, verification delay, and the value-relevance of good-news versus bad-news management earnings forecasts

Lee Jeremy Cohen, Alan J. Marcus, Zabihollah Rezaee, Hassan Tehranian



PII: S1044-0283(18)30071-1
DOI: doi:[10.1016/j.gfj.2018.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2018.03.001)
Reference: GLOFIN 421

To appear in:

Received date: 19 March 2018
Accepted date: 21 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Lee Jeremy Cohen, Alan J. Marcus, Zabihollah Rezaee, Hassan Tehranian , Waiting for guidance: Disclosure noise, verification delay, and the value-relevance of good-news versus bad-news management earnings forecasts. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Glofin(2017), doi:[10.1016/j.gfj.2018.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2018.03.001)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Waiting for Guidance: Disclosure noise, verification delay, and the value-relevance of good-news versus bad-news management earnings forecasts

Lee Jeremy Cohen*
Alan J. Marcus**
Zabihollah Rezaee***
Hassan Tehranian**

March 2018

Abstract

The market views bad-news management earnings forecasts as more credible than good-news forecasts not because good-news forecasts are biased, but rather because they are noisier than bad-news forecasts. After controlling for noise, the difference in market response disappears. Bad-news forecasts have unconditionally lower dispersion around final earnings and, unlike good-news forecasts, bad-news forecasts become more accurate and contain higher magnitude updates as earnings announcement dates approach. The results provide new direct evidence that management differentially seeks to verify bad news, and withholds greater amounts of bad news while it seeks verification. Consistent with rational markets, this mitigation of noise provides a novel explanation for the asymmetric market response to management earnings forecasts.

Key words: Management earnings forecasts, value-relevance, news, noise, bias

JEL classification: M41, G14, G30

* Terry College of Business, University of Georgia

** Carroll School of Management, Boston College

*** Fogelman College of Business and Economics, University of Memphis

We thank Steve Baginski, Mark Bradshaw, Mary Ellen Carter, Marcia Cornett, Amy Hutton, Nalin Kulatilaka, Jeff Pontiff, Sugata Roychowdhury, Gil Sadka, Ronnie Sadka, Susan Shu, Phil Strahan, Jerome Taillard, Ross Watts, Pete Wilson, and seminar participants at Boston College, University College, Dublin, University of Memphis, the University of Georgia, the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta's All-Georgia Conference, and the Financial Mathematics and Computation Cluster Conference for helpful comments and suggestions.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات