

# Accepted Manuscript

## Competition in the Credit Rating Industry: Benefits for Investors and Issuers

Stefan Morkoetter , Roman Stebler , Simone Westerfeld

PII: S0378-4266(16)30150-9  
DOI: [10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.09.001)  
Reference: JBF 5008



To appear in: *Journal of Banking and Finance*

Received date: 4 February 2015  
Revised date: 16 July 2016  
Accepted date: 15 September 2016

Please cite this article as: Stefan Morkoetter , Roman Stebler , Simone Westerfeld , Competition in the Credit Rating Industry: Benefits for Investors and Issuers, *Journal of Banking and Finance* (2016), doi: [10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.09.001)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# COMPETITION IN THE CREDIT RATING INDUSTRY: BENEFITS FOR INVESTORS AND ISSUERS

STEFAN MORKOETTER<sup>\*1</sup> ROMAN STEBLER<sup>\*\*</sup> SIMONE WESTERFELD<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

*October 2016*

## Abstract

We empirically investigate the benefits of multiple ratings not only at issuance of debt instruments but also during the subsequent monitoring phase. Using a record of monthly credit rating migration data on all U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities rated by Standard & Poor's, Moody's, and Fitch between 1985 and 2012 (154,600 tranches), our results provide empirical evidence that rating agencies put more effort in rating and outlook revisions when tranches have assigned multiple ratings. Furthermore, we see that in the case of multiple ratings, agencies do a better job in discriminating tranches with respect to default risk. On the downside, we observe a shift in collateral towards senior tranches and incentives for issuers to engage in rating shopping activities, but find no evidence that rating agencies exploit such behavior to attract more rating business. Our results contribute to the literature on information production of credit ratings and extend the perspective to the monitoring period after issuance.

**Keywords:** Multiple Ratings, Information Production, Structured Finance, Rating Agencies, Residential Mortgage-backed Securities, Rating Shopping

**JEL Classification:** G14, G21, G24

---

\*Corresponding Author: University of St. Gallen, 111 Amoy Street, 069931 Singapore, Singapore; phone: +6568507338; mail: stefan.morkoetter@unisg.ch, \*\*University of St. Gallen (mail: roman.stebler@student.unisg.ch), \*\*\*University of St. Gallen (mail: simone.westerfeld@unisg.ch). We are grateful to Thomas Nette from Moody's Analytics as well as to Markus Luehe and Mikhail Putkaradze from Fitch Solutions for supporting our research. We thank Martin Brown, Emilia Garcia-Appendini, Zeno Adams, Matthias Fengler, two anonymous referees, and the participants of the CREDIT 2015 Conference, the 2015 EFMA Annual Meetings, the 2014 Paris Financial Management Conference and the University of St.Gallen Research Seminar for useful comments and suggestions. We further thank Tanja Artiga Gonzalez for helping prepare the data.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات