Accepted Manuscript

Are biased beliefs fit to survive? An experimental test of the market selection hypothesis

Chad Kendall, Ryan Oprea

PII: S0022-0531(18)30101-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.04.005

Reference: YJETH 4771

To appear in: Journal of Economic Theory

Received date: 16 February 2017 Revised date: 24 March 2018 Accepted date: 6 April 2018



Please cite this article in press as: Kendall, C., Oprea, R. Are biased beliefs fit to survive? An experimental test of the market selection hypothesis. *J. Econ. Theory* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.04.005

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Are Biased Beliefs Fit to Survive?

An Experimental Test of the Market Selection Hypothesis *

Chad Kendall

Ryan Oprea[†]

April 12, 2018

Abstract

We experimentally study the "market selection hypothesis," the classical claim that competitive markets bankrupt traders with biased beliefs, allowing unbiased competitors to survive. Prior theoretical work suggests the hypothesis can fail if biased traders over-invest in the market relative to their less biased competitors. Subjects in our experiment divide wealth between consumption and a pair of securities whose values are linked to a difficult reasoning problem. While most subjects in our main treatment form severely biased beliefs and systematically overconsume, the minority who form unbiased beliefs consume at near-optimal levels – an association that strongly supports the market selection hypothesis.

Keywords: market selection hypothesis, survival of the fittest, efficient markets, Bayesian errors, Monty Hall problem, experimental economics

JEL codes: C9, D03 G1

[†]Kendall: Marshall School of Business, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 90089, chadk-end@marshall.usc.edu; Oprea: Economics Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, 95064, roprea@gmail.com.

^{*}We would like to thank Marina Agranov, Elena Asparouhova, Pablo Beker, Peter Bossaerts, Cary Frydman, PJ Healy, John Matsusaka and Emanuel Vespa for valuable comments and discussions. We are also grateful to participants at the 2016 Bay Area Experimental Economics Workshop, the 2016 Economic Science Association North American Meetings, the Economic Science Institute Theory/Experiments Workshop at Chapman University, the Econometric Society Meetings in Montreal, the Society for the Advancement of Economic Theory, the Society for Experimental Finance 2016 Meetings and seminar audiences at the Claremont Graduate University, Georgia State University, ITAM, New York University, the University of Arizona, UC San Diego, University College London, the University of Pittsburgh, the University of Southern California and the University of Utah. We are, finally, grateful to the National Science Foundation under Grant SES-1357867 for supporting this research.

دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
 - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات