Respiratory Responses during Exercise in Self-contained Breathing Apparatus among Firefighters and Nonfirefighters
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BACKGROUND: Firefighters are required to use self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA), which impairs ventilatory mechanics. We hypothesized that firefighters have elevated arterial CO2 when using SCBA.

METHODS: Firefighters and controls performed a maximal exercise test on a cycle ergometer and two graded exercise tests (GXTs) at 25%, 50%, and 70% of their maximal aerobic power, once with a SCBA facemask and once with protective clothing and full SCBA.

RESULTS: Respiratory rate increased more in controls than firefighters. End-tidal CO2 (ETCO2) during the GXTs was not affected by work rate in either group for either condition but was higher in firefighters at all work rates in both GXTs. SCBA increased ETCO2 in controls but not firefighters.

CONCLUSIONS: The present study showed that when compared to controls, firefighters’ hypoventilation during a maximal test and GXT. The hypoventilation resulted in increased ETCO2, and presumably increased arterial CO2, during exertion. It is proposed that firefighters have altered CO2 sensitivity due to voluntary hypoventilation during training and work. Confirmation of low CO2 sensitivity and the consequence of this on performance and long-term health remain to be determined.

© 2018 Occupational Safety and Health Research Institute, Published by Elsevier Korea LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Firefighters are required to use self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) when working in immediately dangerous to life and health environments. Although essential for safe operations, breathing apparatus limits performance and work time [1,2]. SCBA impairs ventilatory mechanics and reduces the firefighters’ maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max) [1,3]. The finite air supply contained in the breathing cylinder limits the work interval by forcing the firefighter to disengage when the low air alarm sounds. Previous studies have reported that firefighters have different respiratory patterns when using SCBA to conserve breathing air [1,4], and another report concluded that “aggressive air management strategies are required” to operate in certain environments such as high-rise buildings [5]. Intentional hypoventilation while using respiratory protection also has physiologic consequences.

Previous studies have shown that scuba divers underventilate and thus have elevated arterial carbon dioxide [partial pressure carbon dioxide in arterial blood (PaCO2)] as sensed by end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO2) [6]. Additional studies have shown that some divers using scuba at depth have elevated PaCO2 while the remaining maintain a normal PaCO2 but develop dyspnea [7]. It has been suggested that the underventilation and subsequent elevation of PaCO2 is due to the scuba diver’s attempt to breathe slowly to conserve air and prolong dive time (skip breathing) [6]. It has further been shown that respiratory muscle fatigue occurs in divers during sustained exertion that leads to inadequate ventilation and increased PaCO2 [7–9].

These studies among divers raise questions such as if similar skip breathing patterns among firefighters when breathing from SCBA during exertion lead to retention of carbon dioxide. The work of breathing using scuba and SCBA may be similar and thus have a negative impact on ventilation [3,8–11]. Firefighters are commonly
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taught to underventilate to conserve air and prolong work time due to the increased work of breathing and to extend the duration of the cylinder air supply. The elevation in PaCO₂ is likely increased further during exercise [12].

There are many short- and long-term negative effects of elevated PaCO₂. One of these is the inability to regulate acid–base status, particularly during exercise requiring anaerobic glycolysis that leads to lactic acid production and depressed pH (metabolic acidosis), which is common in firefighters. Metabolic acidosis typically results in respiratory compensation. If firefighters are voluntarily underventilating, however, PaCO₂ would be elevated and pH would be depressed. We hypothesized that (1) firefighters would have elevated PaCO₂ during exercise compared to non-firefighters and (2) use of SCBA would result in underventilation and increased PaCO₂ as estimated from ETCO₂ [13] in both firefighters and nonfirefighters due to the increased work of breathing, with a greater affect in firefighters due to their voluntary underventilation during cycle exercise. We performed this pilot study to determine if there is a robust difference in respiratory responses during exercise between firefighters and nonfirefighters and the two conditions (SCBA vs. facemask alone) between firefighters and nonfirefighters.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The University at Buffalo Institutional Review Board approved this study. We recruited nonfirefighters control participants from the community and firefighters from the local fire service. Flyers were posted at the University and mailed to the fire departments in the immediate region around the university. Participants were recruited in the order in which they replied to the flyers and were not matched. Both men and women aged 18–49 years participated. To be eligible to participate, participants had to be free of diagnosed heart disease, stroke, hypertension, and not take medications that would be expected to blunt the physiologic response to exercise/stress, specifically, diuretics and/or antiarrhythmic drugs. Female participants were screened with a urine pregnancy test. Participants could not use tobacco.

2.2. Procedures

After providing informed consent, participants had their height and mass assessed. Participants then performed a maximal exercise test on an electrically braked cycle ergometer while breathing into an open-circuit metabolic cart (TrueOne 2400, Parvomedics, Sandy UT). Participants pedaled at 60 rpm against a resistance of 50 W. The load was increased by 25 W every 2 minutes until the participant could no longer maintain the required rpm. During the test, heart rate and respiratory rate were recorded every minute and blood pressure every 2 minutes.

Nonfirefighter participants were provided instruction on how to don and use the SCBA. The participants wore the SCBA and breathed the compressed air for a minimum of 5 minutes. No participant displayed signs of hyperventilation, anxiety, or claustrophobia while wearing the SCBA. No breathing techniques were provided to any participant. Firefighter participants were aware of the purpose of the study but were not coached toward a particular breathing pattern.

After completion of the maximal test, participants returned to the lab on separate occasions to perform a submaximal graded exercise test (GXT) at 25%, 50%, and 70% VO₂max on the cycle ergometer. Based on random assignment, participants completed the control condition (shorts, t-shirt, and athletic shoes) or the experimental condition where they wore a portion of a firefighters protective ensemble (heavy coat, fire resistant hood, and helmet) and SCBA. Participants were instructed to drink water equivalent to 1% of their mass in the 12 hours leading up to the study and to refrain from caffeine and exercise for 12 hours before the protocol. Upon arrival, the participant was weighed nude in a private room and was fitted with a Polar heart rate monitor.

During both protocols, the participant wore the SCBA facemask to ensure ETCO₂ was captured the same way in both conditions. A small sampling probe was introduced through the pliable nosecone of the facepiece approximately 2 cm in front of the participant’s mouth and connected to a mass spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Wal-tham, MA) to determine ETCO₂ which was used as an estimate of PaCO₂. The participant warmed up by pedaling for 2 minutes at 25 W. Participants were asked to pedal at 60 rpm for 15 minutes and consisted of three, consecutive five-minute, stages. Ergometer resistance for the three stages was set at 25%, 50%, and 70% of maximal effort based on the results of the maximal exercise test. ETCO₂ was measured by the mass spectrometer continuously using data acquisition software (AcqKnowledge 4.0, Biopac Systems Inc, Goleta CA). Heart rate was obtained by telemetry (Polar Ectro, New Hyde Park, NY), and respiratory rate was calculated from the ETCO₂ tracing and recorded every minute during exercise. Perceived exertion was collected at the end of each 5-minute stage using the OMNI-cycle scale [14].

2.3. Statistical analyses

Data for men and women were combined due to the small n and to reflect firefighting scenarios. Participant demographics and morphometrics were compared by t test and represented by mean and standard deviation. The differences among groups and equipment (firefighter/nonfirefighter and control/SCBA, respectively) were examined during the maximal aerobic test for VO₂, heart rate, ventilation (VE), tidal volume, respiratory rate. Data at the three work rates (25, 50, 75% of VO₂max) during the GXT were checked for normality with a D’Agostino and Pearson Normality test and compared among groups and gear for heart rate, respiratory rate, and ETCO₂ with an analysis of variance conditioned by time and group combinations. A linear regression was performed for each group/variable collected during the maximal and GXTs. The line was extrapolated to the y-intercept to estimate resting heart rate, respiratory rate, and ETCO₂. Analyses were performed using SigmaStat 11.0 and graphed with SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, San Jose CA) and Prism 5.0f for Mac OS X (GraphPad Software, La Jolla CA).

3. Results

Ten firefighters and ten nonfirefighters completed all phases of the study. There were eight male and two female participants in each group. An eleventh firefighter completed one protocol visit and withdrew. There was no difference between control and firefighter groups in height, but the firefighters were heavier (p = 0.003) which resulted in a higher body mass index (p = 0.002) (Table 1). Firefighters were older than nonfirefighters (p < 0.001), but the relative VO₂max did not differ between groups (Table 1).

All firefighter participants and nine control participants completed the entire 15-minutes graded exercise for both the facemask and SCBA conditions. One control participant only completed 14 minutes of the facemask condition and 12 minutes of the SCBA condition. The final recorded measurements for that participant were used in the analyses.
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