JID: CAG

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Computers & Graphics xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

[m5G;July 17, 2017;7:46]



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computers & Graphics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cag

Special Issue on CAD/Graphics 2017

Computing interior support-free structure via hollow-to-fill construction

Q1

Yang Yang, Shuangming Chai, Xiao-Ming Fu*

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 June 2017 Accepted 2 July 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Interior support-free structure Hollow-to-fill Shape optimization Structural stability Fabrication

ABSTRACT

Shape optimization often takes into account both inner and outer surfaces to achieve the design goals. The inner surface produced by existing methods is usually not support-free, which results in additional interior support structures during the printing process, thereby disrupting the design goals. In this paper, we present a simple and novel hollow-to-fill algorithm to guarantee the support-free property of inner surfaces for shape optimization. By analyzing the support-free conditions, three types of voxel-based support-free interior structures are proposed to compute inner surfaces. Given a voxelized model and the optimization goal, we first hollow out the model until it becomes a shell, whose thickness is determined by the physical material properties, and then add the support-free structures to optimize the inner surface from bottom to top to minimize the optimization objective while maintaining the support-free property. Furthermore, shape deformation and extra weights are also utilized to optimize the shape for design goals. We demonstrate the feasibility and practicability of our method in three modes of balanced object design, where the physical mass properties of rigid objects are satisfied for the purpose of 3D printing.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1 1. Introduction

Q2

Numerous geometric modeling softwares have been developed for interactive design or customization of 3D geometric shapes. Through a low-cost 3D printer, most of them can be fabricated easily. However, the physical rules are not strictly met in the interactive modeling process, which leads to imperfect fabrication of digital shapes through 3D printing. As a result, there is a great need for interactive modeling tools which can help ordinary users to design arbitrary objects and optimize their designs for practical printing.

10 A series of recent shape optimization methods have been pro-11 posed to meet design requirements, some of which optimize both inner and outer surfaces to achieve the goal. Voxel-based carving 12 13 is adopted in [1–4] to manipulate inner surfaces by adjusting the mass distribution of the object for their design goals. The meth-14 ods of [5,6] utilize manifold harmonics [7] to represent both inner 15 and outer surfaces and minimize a given objective functional while 16 satisfying a set of prescribed constraints. However, these meth-17 18 ods only consider the design objective and ignore the support-free 19 constraints of inner surfaces which require the overhang-angle of 20 each point on them to be less than the prescribed threshold. So their printed objects usually contain seams (see the comparison in 21

E-mail address: fuxm@ustc.edu.cn (X.-M. Fu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2017.07.005 0097-8493/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Fig. 1(a) and (b)) that allow users to remove the additional interior support structures generated during the printing process. Otherwise, the printed objects cannot satisfy the design goals.

If one surface is support-free, it can be fabricated without any additional support structures. The shape optimization method [8] utilizes the rhombic infill structure space, whose elements are support-free so that the resulting surfaces are support-free. However, their rhombic solution space is very limited because of the specific subdivision of rhombic cells, which may lead to more redundant material in balanced object design (see the comparison in Fig. 1(c) and (d)).

In this paper, we present a novel approach for generating interior support-free structures that contain less material and have no seams after printing. By analyzing the overhang-angle condi-35 tion of support-free property, three types of voxel-based support-36 free structures are presented to optimize design goals. This voxel 37 representation exhibits better flexibility than the rhombic solu-38 tion space [8]. Instead of the carving operation [1], which is hard 39 to guarantee the support-free properties of the inner surfaces 40 and may require more material, we propose a novel hollow-to-fill 41 scheme, which first hollows out the model into a shell, and then 42 fills the interior void with necessary voxels so as to satisfy the 43 overhang-angle condition of support-free structures. This hollow-44 to-fill strategy makes it much easier than the carving operation to 45 generate self-supporting structures. Moveover, it tends to generate 46

22

23

Please cite this article as: Y. Yang et al., Computing interior support-free structure via hollow-to-fill construction, Computers & Graphics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2017.07.005

^{*} Corresponding author.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Y. Yang et al./Computers & Graphics xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Balanced object design. The top figures are rendered models, where the deep yellow parts represent the inner surfaces and the light yellow transparent parts mean the outer surfaces. The bottom figures are printed objects of Armadillo (a) and (b) and Dilo (c) and (d) models. Note that without the seams (red rectangle of (a)) which are used to remove interior support structures (black rectangle of (a)) in [1] (a), our method can generate and fabricate interior support-free results (b). Comparing to the rhombic structures [8] (c) (1.011×10^5 mm³ used), ours are light-weight (0.335×10^5 mm³ used) results which also meet the balancing objective (d). The original Dilo models can achieve balance, but the cut ones cannot. Thus, in the bottom of models (c) and (d), we leave the raft to take a better view. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

47 support-free structure with less material compared to the rhombic48 structure. (see the comparisons in Section 5.3).

49 Three modes of balancing are considered as the applications of 50 our support-free structure design method (Fig. 7): (i) standing on 51 a pivot, (ii) standing on a flat surface, and (iii) orientation of sus-52 pended objects. The input of our applications is a triangular mesh 53 with a desired orientation (i.e. the gravity direction) and a number of target contact points. We combine the support-free inner 54 surface construction with mesh deformation and extra weights to 55 achieve the final balanced objects. 56

We demonstrate our approach on a variety of examples which are printed as physical objects without any seams. As can be seen throughout the paper and the accompanying video, our balanced objects can stably stand on their own or maintain balance on pivot points.

62 2. Related work

Numerous techniques of geometric and physical modeling for
3D printing have been developed (e.g. the surveys in [9,10]). Here
we only discuss the approaches most closely related to ours.

Interior shape optimization. The interior shape is optimized to 66 satisfy some physical constraints so as to improve models for 3D 67 68 printing, e.g. cost-effective material usage [11], optimization of both the strength and weight of printed objects [12], or an analysis of 69 the optimal topology regarding static and rotational stability [13]. 70 Moreover, there are various methods to design balanced objects [1-71 72 6,14]. These algorithms optimize the mass distribution of an ob-73 ject to achieve the goal by updating both inner and outer surfaces, but the representations of inner surfaces are different, such as 74 75 voxel-based surfaces in [1–4], tetrahedral meshes in [14] and mani-76 fold harmonics [7] in [5,6]. During the interactive modeling, none of 77 them consider the support-free property of inner surfaces, which 78 may cause a tedious post-processing to take out the additional interior support structures generated during the printing process. 79 80 This post-processing makes the final printed objects contain seams as shown in the top left of Fig. 1(a). Without doing this post-81 process, the printed objects cannot satisfy the design goals. Wu 82 et al. [8] first consider support-free inner surfaces during the mod-83 eling and use adaptive rhombic structures to ensure the support-84 free and a minimum thickness constraints. However, this method is 85 limited in the rhombic structure space which may introduce some 86

unnecessary filling using a lot of redundant material (Fig. 1(c)). In contrast to the rhombic structure, we present a simple supportfree surface design method based on voxel representation, which is more flexible and cost-effective.

Support structures. Additional supports aim to ensure the suc-91 cess of object printing, but can cause many issues such as material 92 waste, longer fabrication time and lower surface quality. To over-93 come these weaknesses, some approaches have been proposed to 94 reduce the usage of support structures, such as computation of an 95 optimal printing direction [15], generation of best printing direc-96 tions through a training-and-learning strategy [16], reeducation of 97 the area of facing down regions [17,18], or optimization of bridge 98 structures [19–21]. Unlike these technologies designed to reduce 99 the usage of exterior supports, we compute inner support-free sur-100 faces to avoid the additional interior supports during the 3D print-101 ing while maintaining the design goal. 102

Topology optimization. There has been much research on topol-103 ogy optimization which is widely used for product and structure 104 design. A high-throughput system to improve the efficiency of 105 topology optimization on 3D solids is proposed in [22]. The shapes 106 in the design space are represented implicitly as level sets of a 107 higher-dimensional function [23,24]. Furthermore, there are some 108 other representations to restrict the computational domain, such 109 as the B-spline space [25], the medial zone [26], the dynamically 110 changed simplicial complex [27], or the adaptive rhombic grid [8]. 111 In this paper, our domain is restricted by the voxel-based represen-112 tation which is used to change the interior structure and represent 113 the support-free inner surfaces. 114

3. Interior support-free structures

In this paper, we use a voxel-based representation to construct 116 interior support-free structures. Given a voxelized model, we first 117 hollow it out until it becomes a shell, whose thickness is greater 118 than a printable threshold t_{\min} . The inner surface can be regarded 119 as the interior boundary of the shell (Fig. 2) and should be opti-120 mized by filling some additional voxels such that the model can be 121 printed without any additional support structure. Here we first in-122 troduce the overhang-angle condition (Section 3.1) and three types 123 of voxel-based support-free structures (Section 3.2) in 2D, and then 124 explain how to fill the interior via additional voxels to make the 125

Q3

115

دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
 امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
 امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
 پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران