1

NEUROSCIENCE RESEARCH ARTICLE



H. Kang et al./Neuroscience xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

² Memory for Random Time Patterns in Audition, Touch, and Vision

4 HiJee Kang, * Denis Lancelin and Daniel Pressnitzer

5 Laboratoire des Systèmes Perceptifs, Département d'études cognitives, École Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, CNRS, 29 rue

- 6 d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France
- Abstract—Perception deals with temporal sequences of events, like series of phonemes for audition, dynamic 8 changes in pressure for touch textures, or moving objects for vision. Memory processes are thus needed to make sense of the temporal patterning of sensory information. Recently, we have shown that auditory temporal patterns could be learned rapidly and incidentally with repeated exposure [Kang et al., 2017]. Here, we tested whether rapid incidental learning of temporal patterns was specific to audition, or if it was a more general property of sensory systems. We used a same behavioral task in three modalities: audition, touch, and vision, for stimuli having identical temporal statistics. Participants were presented with sequences of acoustic pulses for audition, motion pulses to the fingertips for touch, or light pulses for vision. Pulses were randomly and irregularly spaced, with all inter-pulse intervals in the sub-second range and all constrained to be longer than the temporal acuity in any modality. This led to pulse sequences with an average inter-pulse interval of 166 ms, a minimum interpulse interval of 60 ms, and a total duration of 1.2 s. Results showed that, if a random temporal pattern reoccurred at random times during an experimental block, it was rapidly learned, whatever the sensory modality. Moreover, patterns first learned in the auditory modality displayed transfer of learning to either touch or vision. This suggests that sensory systems may be exquisitely tuned to incidentally learn re-occurring temporal patterns, with possible cross-talk between the senses.

"This article is part of a Special Issue entitled: [SI: Sequence Processing]. IG005134 SI: Sequence Processing. © 2018 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: sequence perception, perceptual learning, temporal cues, repetition detection.

9

INTRODUCTION

Audition, touch, and vision sense different parts of the 10 physical world, such as acoustic waves, surface 11 textures, or light patterns. Peripheral sensory receptors 12 in each modality are adapted to best transduce their 13 respective physical input (e.g. Lewicki, 2002). From sub-14 sequent neural processes, qualitatively distinct perceptual 15 qualities emerge, such as auditory timbre, tactile texture, 16 17 or visual color. However, at a more general level of 18 description, all sensory modalities are embedded in time: they all must deal with sequences that contain possibly 19 crucial information in their temporal patterning 20 (O'Regan, 2011). Thus, memory processes applying to 21 temporal sequences would seem beneficial in any sen-22 sory modality, and may also have to address similar com-23 putational constraints across modalities (Hardy and 24 Buonomano, 2016). 25

*Corresponding author.

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; IPI, inter-pulse intervals; RefRP, reference repeated pulses; SNR, signal-to-noise ratio.

Recently, we documented a rapid form of incidental 26 learning for temporal sequences in the auditory modality 27 (Kang et al., 2017). Adapting a paradigm previously used 28 in audition (Agus et al., 2010) or vision (Gold et al., 2014), 29 we observed fast incidental learning of time patterns 30 made of irregularly spaced audio clicks, with inter-click 31 intervals in the range of tens to hundreds of milliseconds. 32 Learning occurred, in an unsupervised manner, as long 33 as these patterns re-occurred over the course of an 34 experiment. In this recent study, we ensured that the only 35 cues available to learn patterns were in the precise 36 sequence of time interval durations, and not in any other 37 auditory-specific cue. So, the same experimental para-38 diam may be transposed to other sensory modalities, sim-39 ply by conveying time intervals not through audio clicks, 40 but rather through modality-adapted events. This is what 41 was done in the present study. Our aim was to investigate 42 whether rapid incidental learning of random time patterns 43 was specific to audition, or if it could be a more general 44 feature of perceptual systems. 45

On a neural level, for stimuli consisting of time intervals 46 delimited by brief energy pulses, the input time patterns 47 will be reflected in neural spike time patterns in peripheral 48 receptors and also at higher stages of processing, at least 49

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.03.017

0306-4522/© 2018 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1

E-mail addresses: hijee.kang@ens.fr (H. Kang), daniel.pressnitzer@ens.fr (D. Pressnitzer).

2

H. Kang et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

for moderate rates of up to a few pulses per second. 50 Temporal patterning of spike trains has been observed in 51 sensory cortices for audition (Lu et al., 2001), touch (Saal 52 et al., 2016), and vision (Gur and Snodderly, 1997). Of 53 course, there are differences in temporal resolution between 54 these modalities. Also, it is a matter of controversy whether 55 spike time patterning is an epiphenomenon of peripheral 56 57 encoding (Gur and Snodderly, 1997; Salinas et al., 2000) or a true information-bearing code (Cariani, 2001; 58 VanRullen et al., 2005). But at a minimum, the possibility 59 exists to learn temporal patterns of spikes up to the cortical 60 level in all of the three modalities considered here, audition, 61 touch, and vision. Probing the behavioral learning of purely 62 63 temporal patterns in these modalities would be a first step in investigating the underlying neural mechanisms. 64

An obvious question then arises: if learning of time 65 patterns were to be observed in all modalities, would it 66 be the result of canonical computational principles, or 67 rather reflect mechanisms specific to each modality of 68 entry? The question overlaps with broader issues in 69 time research, such as whether the psychological and 70 neural representations of time are modal or amodal (lvry 71 and Schlerf, 2008; van Wassenhove, 2009; Grondin, 72 2014). Several studies have approached the issue by 73 74 inducing perceptual learning for a time interval in one 75 modality, and then test for transfer of learning in another 76 modality. A variety of results have been found, often with 77 asymmetric transfer characteristics across modalities (e.g. Nagarajan et al., 1998; Lapid et al., 2009; Bratzke 78 et al., 2012; Pasinski et al., 2015). As summarized by 79 Pasinski et al. (2015), these results may reflect differ-80 ences in task demands. Using a variant of the time-81 interval discrimination task, they found a behavioral 82 advantage of the auditory modality over the visual modal-83 ity, but similar expectancy-related and memory-related 84 EEG responses across the two modalities (Pasinski 85 86 et al., 2015), consistent with a combination of modality-87 specific mechanisms for the encoding of time intervals followed by modality-general memory processes (see also 88 Merchant et al., 2008). 89

90 It is yet unclear whether such a conclusion would hold beyond interval discrimination tasks, for instance for the 91 learning of more complex temporal patterns. There are 92 behavioral demonstrations of rapid learning for complex 93 sequences in audition, touch, and vision separately (Gold 94 et al., 2014; Bale et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2017), but not 95 all stimuli in these studies were purely temporal patterns. 96 Sequence learning has been compared across modalities 97 (Handel and Buffardi, 1968; Manning et al., 1975; 98 Conway and Christiansen, 2006), but again combining 99 100 timing-cues with a variety of modality-specific cues such as sound frequency or spatial location, which may impact 101 results in unsuspected ways (e.g. Grahn, 2012). Percep-102 103 tual learning of purely-temporal rhythmic sequences has been compared between audition and vision (Patel et al., 104 2005; Grahn, 2012; Barakat et al., 2015), but rhythmic 105 sequences may recruit additional, beat-based mechanism 106 for sequence learning (e.g. Pasinski et al., 2015). In one 107 example using comparable stimuli across modalities, 108 which were aperiodic and differing only by timing character-109 istic, Nazzarro and Nazzarro (1970) had participants learn 110

auditory or visual Morse code "words". They found faster 111 learning in the auditory modality. This finding echoed early 112 suggestions of an advantage of audition over vision for the 113 motor reproduction of temporal rhythms (Fraisse, 1948), 114 and also classic findings of a greater temporal acuity for 115 audition compared to touch or vision for single-interval dis-116 crimination (Goodfellow, 1934). Interestingly however, 117 when the discriminability between elements was equated 118 for auditory and visual Morse code, the auditory advantage 119 vanished (Hansen and Cottrell, 2013). Note also that the 120 Morse code task involved explicit learning, which could 121 recruit different mechanisms than incidental learning (e.g. 122 Chen and Zhou, 2014). In summary, while there is ample 123 evidence for behavioral sequence learning in audition. 124 vision, and touch, less is known about the learning of purely 125 temporal complex patterns. In particular, a detailed com-126 parison of the learning of aperiodic temporal patterns in 127 all three modalities, with the same participants and proce-128 dure, is lacking. 129

In the present set of experiments, we adapted the 130 auditory paradigm of Kang et al. (2017) to the tactile 131 and visual domain. A full description of the task will be 132 provided in the Methods, but, briefly, we used random 133 temporal patterns made of irregular time intervals delin-134 eated by brief energy bursts. The energy bursts were 135 audio clicks for audition, tangential motion pulses applied 136 to the fingertips for touch, and light flashes for vision. The 137 task as explained to participants was to discriminate two 138 types of stimuli: either a fully random pulse sequence 139 (pulses, P), or a pulse sequence of the total same dura-140 tion but made from the seamless repeat of two identical 141 half-duration sequences (repeated pulses, RP). The two 142 conditions are illustrated in Fig. 1. In addition, without 143 instructing participants, we also introduced a third type 144 of stimulus: reference repeated pulses (RefRP), which 145 were constructed exactly as RPs but re-occurred over 146 randomly selected trials interspersed throughout the 147 course of an experimental block. Thus, participants were 148 exposed to the exact same pattern of inter-pulse intervals 149 (IPI) for several trials for RefRP, whereas the IPI patterns 150 comprising P or RP stimuli were unique to one trial. An 151 advantage in performance for RefRP over RP, that is, 152 for re-occurring patterns over novel patterns, is the mea-153 sure used to estimate perceptual learning (Agus et al., 154 2010; Agus and Pressnitzer, 2013; Luo et al., 2013; 155 Gold et al., 2014; Andrillon et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2017). 156

Importantly, for the present study we ensured that the 157 encoding of temporal patterns was not limited by temporal 158 acuity in any of the three modalities tested (Goodfellow, 159 1934). A pre-test served to adjust the minimum IPI 160 required for all modalities. The IPI distribution statistics 161 was then fixed across modalities. A similar approach 162 had been taken by Marks (Marks, 1987), who collected 163 perceptual similarity judgments for temporal sequences 164 in audition, touch, and vision, with identical supra-165 thresholds IPIs. He concluded that, in such a setting, 166 the perceptual dimensions underling similarity judgments 167 were common to all three modalities (Marks, 1987). We 168 followed the same logic but aimed to probe perceptual 169 learning rather than perceptual similarity. Our stimuli 170 therefore had identical statistical properties in all modali-171

دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
 امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
 پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
 امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
 امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
 امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
 دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
 پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران