



## Efficient prediction of stock market indices using adaptive bacterial foraging optimization (ABFO) and BFO based techniques

Ritanjali Majhi<sup>a</sup>, G. Panda<sup>b,\*</sup>, Babita Majhi<sup>b</sup>, G. Sahoo<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Management, National Institute of Technology, Warangal, India

<sup>b</sup>Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, Orissa 769008, India

<sup>c</sup>Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, BIT, Mesra, India

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Stock market forecasting  
Bacterial foraging optimization  
Adaptive bacterial foraging optimization  
Genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization

### ABSTRACT

The present paper introduces the use of BFO and ABFO techniques to develop an efficient forecasting model for prediction of various stock indices. The structure used in these forecasting models is a simple linear combiner. The connecting weights of the adaptive linear combiner based models are optimized using ABFO and BFO by minimizing its mean square error (MSE). The short and long term prediction performance of these models are evaluated with test data and the results obtained are compared with those obtained from the genetic algorithm (GA) and particle swarm optimization (PSO) based models. It is in general observed that the new models are computationally more efficient, prediction wise more accurate and show faster convergence compared to other evolutionary computing models such as GA and PSO based models.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Financial forecasting or specifically Stock Market prediction is one of the hottest fields of research due to its commercial applications and the attractive benefits it offers. As more and more money is being invested in the stock market, investors get nervous and anxious of the future trends of the stock prices in the markets. The primary area of concern is to determine the appropriate time to buy, hold or sell. Unfortunately, stock market prediction is not an easy task, because of the fact that stock market indices are essentially dynamic, nonlinear, complicated, nonparametric, and chaotic in nature (Tan, Quek, and Ng, 2005). The time series of these processes are multi-stationary, noisy, random, and has frequent structural breaks (Oh and Kim, 2002; Wang, 2003). In addition, stock market's movements are affected by many macro-economical factors (Wang, 2002) such as political events, firms' policies, general economic conditions, investors' expectations, institutional investors' choices, movement of other stock market and psychology of investors.

Many research works have been reported in the field of stock market prediction across the globe. Generally there are three schools of thoughts regarding such prediction. The first school believes that no investor can achieve above average trading advantages based on historical and present information. The major

theories include the random walk hypothesis and the efficient market hypothesis (Peters, 1996). Taylor (1986) in his paper has provided compelling evidence to reject the random walk hypothesis and therefore researchers have been encouraged to suggest better models for market price prediction. The second view is that of fundamental analysis. Analysts have undertaken in-depth studies into the various macro-economic factors and have looked into the financial conditions and results of the industry concerned to discover the extent of correlation that might exist with the changes in the stock prices. Technical analysts have presented the third view on market price prediction. They believe that there are recurring patterns in the market behavior, which can be identified and predicted. In the process they have used number of statistical parameters called technical indicators and charting patterns from historical data. However, these techniques have often yielded contradictory results due to heavy dependence on human expertise and justification.

The recent trend is to develop adaptive models for forecasting financial data. These models can be broadly divided into statistical models and soft-computing models. One of the well known statistical methods is the one based on autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) (Ayeni Babatunde and Pilat, 1992). The recent advancement in the field of soft-computing has given new dimension to the field of financial forecasting. Most artificial neural network (ANN) based models use historical stock index data such as technical indicators (Kim, 2006) to predict future prices. Tools based on ANN have increasingly gained popularity due to their inherent capabilities to approximate any nonlinear function to a high degree of accuracy. Neural networks are less sensitive to error

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 661 2462455; mobile: +91 9437048906; fax: +91 661 2462963.

E-mail address: [ganapati.panda@gmail.com](mailto:ganapati.panda@gmail.com) (G. Panda).

term assumptions and can tolerate noise, chaotic components, and heavy tails better than most other methods (Masters, 1993). The three most popular ANN tools for the task are radial basis function (RBF) (Hann and Kamber, 2001), recurrent neural network (RNN) (Saad, Prokhorov, and Wunsch, 1998) and multilayer perceptron (MLP). More recently, new models based on multi-branch neural networks (MBNN) (Yamashita, Hirasawa, and Hu, 2005), local linear wavelet neural networks (LLWNN) (Chen, Dong, and Zhao, 2005) among others have been reported. The genetic algorithm (GA) has recently been applied (Tan et al., 2005; Kim, 2006) for prediction. Existing literature reveals that very little work has been reported on the use of evolutionary computing tools in training the weights of forecasting of models. Recently a new evolutionary computing technique known as bacterial foraging optimization (BFO) has been reported (Passino, 2002) and successfully applied to many real world problems like harmonic estimation (Mishra, 2005), transmission loss reduction (Tripathy, Mishra, Lai, and Zhang, 2006), active power filter for load compensation (Mishra and Bhende, 2007), power network (Tripathy and Mishra, 2007), load forecasting (Ulagammai, Venkatesh, Kannan, and Padhy, 2007) and independent component analysis (Acharya, Panda, Mishra, and Lakhshmi, 2007). The conventional BFO employs constant run length unit (the step by which the bacteria run or tumble in one go) in updating the location of the bacteria. To improve the optimization performance Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy scheme has been used to adapt the run length unit (Mishra, 2005). However, in Fuzzy-BFO the performance is linked with choice of the membership function and the fuzzy rule parameters and no systematic approach exists to determine these parameters for a given problem. Hence, the Fuzzy-BFO presented in Mishra (2005) is not suitable for optimizing various complex problems. In Mishra and Bhende (2007), a modified BFO proposed in has been used to optimize the coefficients of PI controller for active power filters. This algorithm has been shown to outperform a conventional GA with respect to convergence speed. Tripathy and Mishra (2007) have recently proposed an improved BFO algorithm for simultaneous optimization of the real power losses and voltage stability limit of a mesh power network. Simulation results of their approach shows superior performance compared to the conventional BFO based method. In a recent communication (Ulagammai et al., 2007) the BFO has been applied to train a wavelet neural network (WNN) meant for identifying nonlinear characteristics of power system loads. Acharya et al. (2007) have used the BFO in independent component analysis and have reported that the proposed method yields better separation performance compared to the constrained genetic algorithm based ICA.

To the best of our knowledge none of the existing work has applied the BFO and adaptive BFO algorithms in designing forecasting models for short and long term prediction of stock indices. The present work is a humble contribution in this direction.

The present paper has two main objectives. Firstly it aims to develop a new forecasting model for prediction of stock indices using an adaptive linear combiner as the basic structure of the model and the BFO, a promising evolutionary computing tool, for training the parameters of the model. The second objective is to introduce a newly developed simple adaptive BFO (ABFO) technique and apply the same to develop more efficient prediction model for the same purpose. The prediction performance of the new models have been evaluated for short and long term prediction of stock indices and have been compared with those obtained from models based on other evolutionary computing tools such as GA and PSO. The proposed ABFO learning rule provides adaptive runlength in the chemotaxis step which leads to faster convergence during training compared to its BFO counterpart.

The organization of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 deals with the basic principle of the BFO and ABFO tools employed

for training the linear combiner of the models. The BFO and ABFO based model developments for stock market prediction are outlined in Section 3. To demonstrate the prediction performance of the proposed models the simulation study is carried out in Section 4. This section also provides the formulae of computing the technical indicators. The results of simulation are discussed in Section 5. Finally the conclusion of the investigation is provided in Section 6.

## 2. Basics of BFO and adaptive BFO

Bacterial foraging optimization (BFO) is a new evolutionary computation technique which has been proposed by Passino (Tan et al., 2005). It is inspired by the pattern exhibited by bacterial foraging behaviour. Bacteria have the tendency to gather to the nutrient-rich areas by an activity called chemotaxis. It is known that bacteria swim by rotating whip like flagella driven by a reversible motor embedded in the cell wall. *E. coli* has 8–10 flagella placed randomly on a cell body. When all flagella rotate counterclockwise, they form a compact, helically propelling the cell along a trajectory, which is called run. When the flagella rotate clockwise, they pull on the bacterium in different directions and causes the bacteria to tumble. The bacterial foraging system primarily consists of four sequential mechanisms namely chemotaxis, swarming, reproduction and elimination-dispersal. A brief outline of each of these processes is given in this section.

(1) *Chemotaxis*: An *E. coli* bacterium can move in two different ways: it can run (swim for a period of time) or it can tumble, and alternate between these two modes of operation in the entire lifetime. In the BFO, a unit walk with random direction represents a tumble and a unit walk in the same direction indicates a run. In computational chemotaxis, the movement of the  $i$ th bacterium after one step is represented as

$$\theta^i(j+1, k, l) = \theta^i(j, k, l) + C(i)\phi(j) \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta^i(j, k, l)$  denotes the location of  $i$ th bacterium at  $j$ th chemotactic,  $k$ th reproductive and  $l$ th elimination and dispersal step.  $C(i)$  is the length of unit walk, which is a constant in basic BFO and  $\phi(j)$  is the direction angle of the  $j$ th step. When its activity is run,  $\phi(j)$  is same as  $\phi(j-1)$ , otherwise,  $\phi(j)$  is a random angle directed within a range of  $[0, 2\pi]$ . If the cost at  $\theta^i(j+1, k, l)$  is better than the cost at  $\theta^i(j, k, l)$  then the bacterium takes another step of size  $C(i)$  in that direction otherwise it is allowed to tumble. This process is continued until the number of steps taken is greater than the number of chemotactic loop,  $N_c$ .

(2) *Swarming*: The bacteria in times of stresses release attractants to signal bacteria to swarm together. Each bacterium also releases a repellent to signal others to be at a minimum distance from it. Thus all of them will have a cell to cell attraction via attractant and cell to cell repulsion via repellent. The cell to cell signaling in *E. coli* swarm may be mathematically represented as

$$\begin{aligned} J_{cc}(\theta, P(j, k, l)) &= \sum_{i=1}^S J_{cc}(\theta, \theta^i(j, k, l)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^S \left[ -d_a \exp \left( -w_a \sum_{m=1}^p (\theta_m - \theta_m^i)^2 \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^S \left[ h_r \exp \left( -w_r \sum_{m=1}^p (\theta_m - \theta_m^i)^2 \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $J_{cc}(\theta, P(j, k, l))$  represents the objective function value to be added to the actual objective function,  $S$  is the total number of bacteria,  $p$  is the number of variables to be optimized and  $\theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p]^T$  is a point in the  $p$ -dimensional search domain.  $d_a$ ,  $w_a$ ,  $h_r$  and  $w_r$  are coefficients to be chosen properly.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات