Tillage and tractor traffic effects on soil compaction in horticultural fields used for peri-urban agriculture in a semi-arid environment of the North West Province, South Africa
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1. Introduction

Urban agriculture is farming that is practiced in urban or peri-urban centers. Urban agriculture is a dynamic concept that comprises a variety of livelihood systems ranging from subsistence production and processing at household level to fully commercialized agriculture (Van den Berg and Van Veenhuizen, 2005). In addressing the complexities of land reform in the post-apartheid South Africa, the Government has made provision for the allocation of commonage land, largely peri-urban land, to poor residents in order to help with local economic development (South African Government, 2001). Mafikeng is the capital city of the North West Province with a population growing at a rate of 9.3%. According to the City Council of Mafikeng (1999), some farmers have been irrigating about 560 ha at 23 sites in the peri-urban areas of the city of Mafikeng since 1994. The agricultural activities of these farmers...
principally involve vegetable and fruit cultivation, although the sight of roaming cattle, sheep and goats is also familiar to the residents of the city. The fields vary in size from 0.15 to 1.5 hectares. Urban agriculture can have both negative and positive effects on the health and environmental conditions of the urban population (Lock and Van Veenhuizen, 2001).

Although the major concern among urban agriculture practitioners has been the public health risks and safety of food produced linked to the use of wastewater and pesticide soil contamination, city authorities in Mafikeng have also raised concerns about the possible contribution of urban and peri-urban agriculture to increased sedimentation in the natural water courses whose catchments lie within the limits of the city. Soil compaction is defined as a process of rearranging soil particles to decrease pore space and increase bulk density (Raghavan et al., 1992). The compaction of a soil is also associated with reduction in hydraulic conductivity, permeability and diffusivity of water and air through the soil pore system (Soane et al., 1981). Compaction usually reduces the volume of large pores in the soil and may restrict root growth because of the increased mechanical resistance or poor aeration (Barnes et al., 1971; Taylor and Brar, 1991; Chan et al., 2006).

Soil compaction by machinery traffic in agriculture is a well-recognized problem in many parts of the world including South Africa (Bennie and Krynauw, 1985; Soane and Van Ouwenerk, 1994; Smith et al., 1997). Poor structure resulting from soil compaction by tractor traffic in vineyards has been shown to reduce root development and yields of grapes (Louw and Benni, 1991; Van Huyssteen, 1988). Soil compaction has been described as the most serious environmental problem caused by conventional agriculture because, it not only affect crop productivity, but also the workability and sustainability of the soil (McGarry, 2001). The susceptibility of soil to compaction has been shown to be a function of many factors including soil texture, soil water content, vehicle weight and its speed, ground contact pressure and number of passes, and the interaction of these parameters with cropping frequency and farming practices (Smith et al., 1997; Hamza and Anderson, 2005).

Concern has recently been raised by city authorities of the possibility that urban agriculture could be contributing to pollution of water resources due to erosion from the fields. Furthermore, the practitioners of urban agriculture have voiced their concern over poor performance and declining yields of all fruits and vegetables despite the irrigation and fertilizer applied. Although there could be other possible reasons (old age of fruit trees, disease, poor pruning, fertilization and irrigation) for the poor performance of the horticultural crops in urban agriculture, soil compaction was suspected to be the major contributor to the problem. The tractor operations in both vegetable fields and orchards often occur when the soils are moist and prone to compaction (Hamza and Anderson, 2005). The problem of soil compaction could further be aggravated by the hard-setting nature of Hutton soils which are dominant in the area. However, the extent of soil compaction in any of the fields used for peri-urban agriculture has not been quantified. Four fields located within close proximity of each other and lying within the fringes of Mafikeng city were selected to assess the influence of the different tillage and tractor traffic on soil compaction. The objective of this study was to quantify the degree and depth of soil compaction in fields used for urban and peri-urban agriculture in Mafikeng.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Location of study site

The fields used for the study were located within 5 km of the city of Mafikeng (25°48’S and 25°38’E) in the North West Province, South Africa. The Municipal area slopes from 1410 m a.s.l. in the east to 1210 m a.s.l. in the west (City Council of Mafikeng, 1999). Mafikeng has a typical semi-arid tropical savanna climate with a mean annual summer rainfall of 571 mm. The rainfall is unreliable and is highly variable (CV = 31%) in both temporal and spatial distribution. About 68% of the annual precipitation falls between November and January in relatively few heavy downpours, and there is a pronounced dry season from April to September. The annual average evaporation of the area is 2201 mm (City Council of Mafikeng, 1999). The mean monthly minimum and maximum temperatures vary from 4.0 °C in July to 17.1 °C in January and 20.4 °C in July to 29.7 °C in February respectively. The surface (0–20 cm) soil is a red sandy loam with 56% sand, 33% silt, 11% clay, and is classified as a Hutton form (Soil Classification Working Group, 1991). The equivalent classification according to the FAO/UNESCO system is a Luvisol.

2.2. Field management practices

All the fields were established in 1994 from virgin lands and have been used for producing vegetables, vines and an orchard. The orchard contained lines of seven different fruit trees (Apple, Apricot, Plum, Fig, Peach, Prim and Pecan), all irrigated using a basin system while the vineyard and vegetable fields were irrigated with drip and sprinkler systems respectively. A major difference in the management of the fields lied in the intensity of tillage and traffic. While no traffic occurred in the control and vineyard, both the orchard and vegetable fields were exposed to conventional tillage systems involving random uncontrolled tractor traffic. The control field was fenced and left fallow but was occasionally burnt and grazed lightly to cattle. The vegetable field was ploughed twice a year to about 20 cm, harrowed and leveled during seedbed preparation. The orchard on the other hand was weeded twice or thrice annually by using a disc harrow pulled by a 56 kW tractor over the surface. The tractor weight was 2536 kg. Rear tyres were 0.44 m wide, pressure 220 kPa and front tyres were 0.23 m wide, pressure 150 kPa. The fields have been in continuous cropping for the past 12 years.

2.3. Measurements

For statistical analysis, the four fields (orchard, vineyard, vegetable and unploughed control) were considered as main-plots while sampling depth in each main-plot, served as sub-plot treatments. Soil strength and bulk density were used to characterize the state of compaction in the fields (Håkansson and Lipiec, 2000). In March 2005, soil penetrometer resistance was measured in each field using a stainless steel hand operated soil cone penetrometer (model ASAE S313.2) with a dial gauge conical probe of 30° and base diameter of 12 mm (Geotron Systems Pty. Ltd.). Fifty random penetrations were made in each field and cone resistance was recorded at 1.0 cm increments to a depth of 50 cm from the surface. At the same time when penetrations were made, soil samples were collected with an auger at depths of 0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40 and 40–50 cm from 20 randomly selected positions within the field. The samples were dried in the oven at 105 °C to determine gravimetric water content. Soil bulk density was measured in the 0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40 and 40–50 cm layers in each field using the clod method (Blake and Hartge, 1986).

2.4. Analysis of data

All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the PROC GLM command of the SAS statistical package (SAS Institute Inc., 1991). The LSD t-test was used to compare means at
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