A fuzzy ontology for semantic modelling and recognition of human behaviour
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ABSTRACT

We propose a fuzzy ontology for human activity representation, which allows us to model and reason about vague, incomplete, and uncertain knowledge. Some relevant subdomains found to be missing in previous proposed ontologies for this domain were modelled as well. The resulting fuzzy OWL 2 ontology is able to model uncertain knowledge and represent temporal relationships between activities using an underlying fuzzy state machine representation. We provide a proof of concept of the approach in work scenarios such as the office domain, and also make experiments to emphasize the benefits of our approach with respect to crisp ontologies. As a result, we demonstrate that the inclusion of fuzzy concepts and relations in the ontology provide benefits during the recognition process with respect to crisp approaches.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Human activity study is a complex but key aspect in the development of Ambient Intelligence (AmI) systems. Different techniques have been developed for activity and user modelling, and they may be classified as data-driven [1] and knowledge-based [2] approaches. The methods in the first category are aimed at providing robust models for handling human behaviour specific features using statistical and machine learning techniques. The best strengths of these models are their ability to handle noise, uncertainty, or incomplete sensor data [3], and they have proven to be accurate in different domains where semantics are not key. However, the need for training data and the time and performance required for these models are limitations in dynamic environments and situations where context-aware data prevail. Furthermore, data-driven algorithms do not offer abstract reasoning mechanisms that allow inferring the meaning of the actions according to their semantics [4].

On the other hand, knowledge-based techniques have been applied in pervasive computing environments to improve interoperability and adaptation to different context situations. Usually, context data sources are dynamic, continuously changing depending on the environment, not always mobile, known, nor taken into account in advance. For this reason, these methods show advantages with respect to data-driven models due to the inclusion of context management tools. Further features of knowledge-based techniques that are interesting for human activity representation are the possibility of providing both the environment and the user with semantics to aid in the context definition process, facilitate the definition and comprehension of human behaviours (e.g. machine readable and easier to interpret), and consequently, ease the development of new learning and recognition models able to better understand the meaning of human actions and execute logic reasoning about future needs, situations, or actions. In addition, all this can occur considering the context information where the activity is performed. Examples of knowledge-based techniques contain logic-based approaches [5,6], rule-based systems [7], and ontological models [8].

Despite the fact that most of the approaches about human activity recognition are focused in Ambient Assisted Living and Smart Home assistance [2,9,10], another emerging scenario is the office/work domain and public buildings environments. In this case, the goals are aimed at improving energy efficiency and work assistance [11–14]. For instance, MOSES [15] localizes work staff and identifies the tasks they are doing at any moment, being able in this way to give advice on the remaining tasks to be carried
out and warn about potential oversights or forgotten actions. Another example is ShopFloor [16], a multi-agent architecture to plan and control industry processes. However, semantic technologies have not been generally included into these models, although there are exceptions such as in intelligent meeting rooms [17] or maintenance of large buildings [16,18]. AmI scenarios in offices or work environments focus on easing the work in groups and optimizing the office space. For example, EasyMeeting [19] is an intelligent meeting room system that builds on the design of CoBrA [20]. RFID sensors embedded in the walls and furniture detect the presence of the users’ devices and clothing. On receiving information about the user’s context and intention, the broker sharing platform allows the activation of the projector, slide downloading, and lighting control. In [18], ontology-based interoperability is applied to Smart Spaces for a context-aware maintenance of large buildings, monitoring environmental variables, automatically detecting building-related faults, and executing multi-modal interventions. An architecture for an ubiquitous group decision support system, WebMeeting [21], is able to consider the emotional factors of participants and their associated argumentation processes. The system shows available information to the participants, analyses the meeting trends, and suggests arguments to be exchanged with others. Further interesting projects regarding activity recognition in the office domain are the AIRE project [12], the SmartOffice or Monica project [14], the Interactive Room (iRoom) [22], or the NIST Smart Space and Meeting Recognition projects, which develop tools for assistance in meeting rooms [13].

The most widely used tool to integrate semantics into activity recognition systems are ontologies [4]. However, there are current limitations of ontology-based activity recognition techniques that must be tackled: they require good knowledge engineering skills to model the domain, OWL DL does not allow interval (i.e. overlapping) temporal reasoning, ontological reasoning can be computationally expensive [23], and they cannot deal with uncertainty [4]. In this work, we provide advances to solve this last limitation and propose a fuzzy ontology to give support for imprecision and uncertainty, typical of everyday life situations. For instance, a sensor can give readings with a certain degree of reliability, or work only at specific times or in certain conditions; users may perform subtle changes in the way they perform their activities, the execution of an activity may be detected with a certainty or satisfiability degree, and all this information should be taken into account into the reasoning process. Unfortunately, classic crisp ontology proposals cannot handle this type of information. In our approach, different levels of granularity are designed so that incremental context acquisition allows behaviour abstraction and a more accurate, i.e., low-level recognition. By setting a behaviour specification structure, a set of rules can define how to recognize a human behaviour out of a sequence of observations. And, since fuzzy ontologies can handle uncertainty, our approach is able to solve this limitation with respect to crisp approaches. Fuzzy logic was already proposed as an argument to “reject the maximality rule, according to which only altogether true sentences are true, and embracing instead the rule of endorsement, which means that whatever is more or less true is true” [24]. As argued in [24], posting fuzzy predicates usually simplifies theories in most scientific fields; fuzzy predicates are much more plausible and give a more cohesive world view than their crisp counterpart. In this way, classical ontologies are not suitable to deal with imprecise and vague knowledge, which is inherent to real world domains [25]. On the other hand, fuzzy ontologies have the advantage of extending information queries, allowing the search to also cover related results. This makes the decisions about relatedness based on modelled domain knowledge, i.e., instead of just offering exact matches, the search can be extended to cover also related concepts, so that precise wording is not needed to get a useful hit (as the context of a document does not have to be exactly the same one for the user to benefit from it) [26]. This results on more effective retrieval. Likewise, another advantage of fuzzy ontologies is the fuzzy semantics, as they are more flexible towards mapping between different ontologies [26].

Let us put an example to show the benefits of fuzzy ontologies versus crisp ones. Because in a fuzzy ontology we can define that the CoffeeBreak activity is recognized accounting for different weights on the actions that compose it (e.g., 0.3 TakeMug, 0.3 TakeCoffeePan, 0.4 TakeMilk), thus, when one action has been skipped due to an exception (e.g. milk run out) or a missing sensor reading, the activity can still be recognized to a lower degree. In contrast, the same activity formalized in a crisp ontology could not be recognized if any of the exclusive elements that compose it is missing.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: the following section describes related work on ontologies for human activity recognition and introduces fuzzy ontologies as the main tool for the rest of the manuscript. After that, in Section 3, we present a novel ontology for human activity modelling and its extension to Fuzzy OWL 2 with support for the fuzzyDL reasoner. We detail concepts and relationships in the fuzzy ontology as well as Section 4.1 presents the use case on domain specific entities for the office environment. Section 4.2 describes an evaluation of the approach with respect to the crisp case, and finally, conclusions and future work are shown in Section 5.

2. Related work

2.1. Ontologies for human behaviour recognition

The literature offers a wide range of ontology definitions [27], although the most extended one is that a ontology is a “formal specification of a shared conceptualization” [28]. It offers a formalism to represent classes or concepts, individuals, relations, functions, and attributes. As providers of a format for exchanging knowledge, they promote interoperability, knowledge reuse, and information integration with automatic validation. Ontologies separate declarative and procedural knowledge, making the modularity of the knowledge base (KB) easier. They also allow information to become not only human but also machine-readable by agents. Ontologies have been used in heterogeneous problems such as intelligent m-Government emergency response services (e.g., disasters and attacks) through case-based reasoning [30] or detecting information system conflicts in requirement analysis phase [31], just to name a few. Using ontologies in human activity recognition provides a number of advantages [32]: it supports incremental progressive activity recognition, state based modeling, and a robust reasoning mechanism. Other benefits are the ability to discriminate the significance and urgency of activities through semantic descriptions, and the support for course-grained and fine-grained activity assistance and the possibility for data fusion and semantic reasoning, including activity recognition, activity learning, and activity assistance.

In order to model human activity and behaviour in AmI, the context needs to be modelled. With respect to other context models such as key-value models, object oriented or logic based models [33], ontology-based context modelling excels as regards simplicity, flexibility, extensibility, generality, expressiveness, and automatic code generation [34]. Approaches based on ontology reasoning [2,3] represent activities and each data that can be used to recognize them, from sensors to actors. There are also hybrid approaches combining data-driven and knowledge-based approaches for activity recognition, e.g., evidential network-based activity inference [10] or COSAR [35]. The COSAR system retrieves information about simple human activities using hybrid ontological/statistical reasoners.
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