



# U.S. consumer bankruptcy choice: The importance of general equilibrium effects<sup>☆</sup>

Wenli Li<sup>a</sup>, Pierre-Daniel Sarte<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, PA 19106, USA*

<sup>b</sup>*Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, VA 23219, USA*

Received 2 November 2004; received in revised form 6 December 2004; accepted 11 January 2005  
Available online 3 April 2006

---

## Abstract

We study the implications of U.S. personal bankruptcy rules for resource allocation and welfare. Our analysis shows that general equilibrium considerations along with bankruptcy chapter choice and production matter crucially for the effects of policy reform. Contrary to previous work, we find that completely eliminating bankruptcy provisions causes significant declines in output and welfare by reducing capital formation and labor input. Furthermore, subjecting Chapter 7 filers to means testing, as suggested by recent legislative proposals, would not improve upon current bankruptcy provisions and, at best, leave aggregate filings, output, and welfare unchanged. However, we do find that an alternative tightening of Chapter 7, in the form of lower asset exemptions, can increase economic efficiency.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*JEL classification:* D52; G18

*Keywords:* Consumer bankruptcy; Chapter 7; Chapter 13

---

---

<sup>☆</sup>The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, or the Federal Reserve System. We are grateful to Ronel Elul, Andreas Hornstein, Bob Hunt, Melissa Jacoby, Robert King, Jeff Lacker, Loretta Mester, John Walter, and John Weinberg for discussions and comments. We further thank an anonymous referee, as well as seminar participants at the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the 2002 Midwest Macroeconomics Conference, and the 2002 North American Summer Meetings of the Econometric Society for helpful suggestions. Finally, we are indebted to Kartik Athreya for many conversations throughout the course of this project. Any errors are our own.

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 804 697 8210; fax: +1 804 697 8219.

*E-mail address:* [pierre.sarte@rich.frb.org](mailto:pierre.sarte@rich.frb.org) (P.-D. Sarte).

## 1. Introduction

The economics of personal bankruptcy is a subject of increasing interest for economists in general and macroeconomists in particular. By allowing households to stop or delay the repayment of debts, the option to file for bankruptcy helps complete markets and promotes risk sharing. Thus, recent research has focused on the implications of bankruptcy rules for resource allocation and welfare. This literature, however, has confined itself to both partial equilibrium settings and only one of the options available to debtors under current U.S. bankruptcy law.<sup>1</sup>

In contrast, we show that the analysis of consumer bankruptcy requires (i) a general equilibrium framework with endogenous factor supply, risk premia, and wages, and (ii) both bankruptcy chapters currently available to debtors, Chapters 7 and 13, each of which has its own incentive effects on labor supply and capital formation. Any study of U.S. consumer bankruptcy that ignores these features is potentially misleading for two reasons. First, defaulting under Chapter 13, while letting debtors keep their assets, requires them to enter a partial repayment plan that acts as a powerful wage tax (Posner, 1999; Wang and White, 2000). Hence, by discouraging labor effort, Chapter 13 directly affects production and welfare. Second, by allowing for the discharge of all unsecured debt net of exemptions, Chapter 7 defaults affect risk premia which then induce further changes in the overall volume of debt and, ultimately, capital accumulation.

Contrary to previous work, our analysis indicates that eliminating bankruptcy options entirely carries significant social costs. It is true that getting rid of bankruptcy provisions remove important ex post bankruptcy costs such as exclusion from credit markets. Absent production, and without any feedback effects from prices, this elimination of bankruptcy costs is a driving force that leads to the significant welfare gains found in the existing literature. However, with production explicitly considered, changes in risk premia lead to declines in output. Specifically, because the risk premium falls sharply as consumers can no longer default, households find it cheaper to borrow. While this effect is immaterial for efficiency concerns in exchange economies, it directly reduces the stock of capital available for production in our framework. In addition, the fall in capital reduces labor demand. With both lower capital formation and labor input, our model yields a decline in output that more than offsets the welfare gains associated with the elimination of bankruptcy costs.

Our analysis also indicates that tightening Chapter 7 provisions through “means testing”, as suggested by recent congressional reform proposals aimed at restricting Chapter 7 to only the neediest households, leads not to just greater debt repayment but also more Chapter 13 bankruptcies. Contrary to the stated objectives of the proposals, these effects can induce a *fall* rather than an increase in output and welfare. Because Chapter 13 repayment plans reduce expected earnings, a higher rate of Chapter 13 bankruptcies discourages labor effort. Furthermore, the fact that creditors collect more effectively on their loans under tighter Chapter 7 provisions lowers the lending rate which

---

<sup>1</sup>See Adler et al. (2000), Zha (2001), Chatterjee et al. (2002), Lehnert and Maki (2002), as well as Livshits et al. (2003). Athreya (2002) does allow for an endogenous interest rate, but the environment is that of an exchange economy with no production and a single bankruptcy chapter. Wang and White (2000) explore both bankruptcy chapters allowed under U.S. law but do so in a partial equilibrium framework.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات