

# Unit versus ad valorem taxes: Monopoly in general equilibrium <sup>☆</sup>

Charles Blackorby <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Sushama Murty <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Economics, University of Warwick, United Kingdom*

<sup>b</sup> *GREQAM, Marseille, France*

Received 4 March 2006; received in revised form 22 October 2006; accepted 24 October 2006

Available online 6 December 2006

---

## Abstract

We show that if a monopoly sector is imbedded in a general equilibrium framework and profits are taxed at one hundred percent, then unit (specific) taxation and ad valorem taxation are equivalent on the set of Pareto optima. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*JEL classification:* H21

*Keywords:* Ad valorem taxes; Unit taxes; Monopoly

---

## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that, in a competitive environment, unit (or specific) taxation and ad valorem taxation are equivalent. Cournot (1838, 1960) realized that the two tax systems needed different treatment in the case of monopoly. Wicksell (1896, 1959) argued that ad valorem taxes dominate unit taxation in a monopoly; a complete demonstration of this dominance was given by Suits and Musgrave (1953). More specifically they demonstrated, if the consumer price and quantity of the monopoly good remained unchanged, that the government tax yield is higher with ad valorem taxes than under a regime of unit taxes. This is possible because typically the profit-maximizing price of the monopolist is lower under ad valorem taxation than under unit taxation. Recently Skeath and Trandel (1994; p. 55) state, more explicitly, that “in the monopoly case, given any unit excise tax, it is possible to find an ad valorem tax that Pareto dominates it.” It is this issue of the

---

<sup>☆</sup> We thank Ben Lockwood and Alain Trannoy for discussions and comments as well as two referees and an editor of this journal.

\* Corresponding author. Department of Economics, University of Warwick, United Kingdom.

*E-mail addresses:* c.blackorby@warwick.ac.uk (C. Blackorby), s.murty@warwick.ac.uk (S. Murty).

dominance that we investigate in this paper. We pursue this question in the context of a general equilibrium model and ask if ad valorem taxes Pareto dominate units taxes (or conversely).

More specifically, we take a standard general equilibrium model in which a single monopoly sector has been imbedded. In particular we adapt the model of Guesnerie and Laffont (1978) (hereafter GL) to pose this question assuming as in GL that the government taxes profits at 100%. We first characterize the set of Pareto optima in the economy with unit taxation. We then convert the associated equilibria with unit taxes to an equivalent set of equilibria with ad valorem taxes and ask if there exist any feasible ad valorem tax Pareto improvements from this equilibrium. We show that there are none. We then reverse this procedure and characterize the set of Pareto optima with ad valorem taxes, convert these equilibria to unit tax equilibria, and then show that there are no possible Pareto improvements using unit taxes.

**2. Notation**

The preferences of each of the  $H$  consumers are represented by an indirect utility function,  $u_h = V^h(q_0, q, m)$  for  $h=1, \dots, H$  where  $q_0 \in \mathbf{R}_{++}$  is the consumer price of the monopoly good,  $q \in \mathbf{R}_{++}^N$  is the vector of consumer prices of the competitively supplied goods. The demogrant – a transfer that is common to all consumers – is given by  $m$ .<sup>1</sup> The demands are given by Roy’s Theorem and the aggregate demand for the monopoly good is  $x_0 = \sum_h x_0^h = x_0^*(q_0, q, m)$  and the aggregate demand vector for the competitively supplied commodities is given by  $x = \sum_h x^h(q_0, q, m)$ . The consumer prices and producer prices are related by unit taxes, that is,  $q_0 = p_0 + t_0$  and  $q = p + t$ , where  $p_0$  and  $p$  are the producer prices of the monopoly good and competitive goods respectively. Following GL we assume that the solution to monopolist’s profit maximization problem is locally unique and smooth. For the monopolist let

$$P_0^u(p, t_0, t, m) = \operatorname{argmax}_{p_0^u} \{ p_0 y_0^u - C(y_0^u, p) \mid y_0^u \geq x_0^*(q_0, q, m) \} \tag{2.1}$$

We assume that  $P_0^u$  is single-valued and smooth and that  $\nabla_{t_0} P_0^u \neq -1$ ; that is, the monopolist cannot undo all changes by the tax authority of  $t_0$ . The input demands by the monopolist from the competitive sector is given by  $y^m = \nabla_p C(y_0^u, p)$ . The profit function of the competitive sector is  $\Pi^c = p^T y^c(p)$ . Assume also that  $\operatorname{rank} [\nabla_p y^c(p) - \nabla_p y^m(p)] = N - 1$ . In addition the government produces a public good  $g$  from inputs  $y^g$  purchased from the competitive sector by  $g \leq F(y^g)$ . Equilibrium in this unit-tax economy is given by

$$-x + y^c - y^m - y^g \geq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$-x_0^*(q_0, q, m) + y_0^u \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$p_0^u - P_0^u(p, t_0, t, m) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(y^g) - g \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

**3. Unit-tax Pareto optima**

In order to characterize the set of Pareto optima with unit taxation, we assume first that the equilibrium conditions, (2.2)–(2.4) hold with equality. From this equilibrium we calculate the directions of change in prices, taxes, and demogrant that could generate strict Pareto

<sup>1</sup> There is also a public good  $g$  but, as it remains constant throughout the analysis, it is suppressed in the utility function.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات