More than words? Hypomanic personality traits, visual imagery and verbal thought in young adults
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\textbf{Abstract}

The use of visual mental imagery has been proposed to be a risk factor for the development of bipolar disorder, due to its potential to amplify affective states. This study examined the relation between visual imagery (both trait usage and intrusive experiences of such imagery), intrusive verbal thought, and hypomania, as assessed by self-report questionnaires, in a sample of young adults (N = 219). Regression analyses found (after controlling for anxiety, depression, and positive and negative affect) that levels of intrusive visual imagery predicted levels of hypomania, but that neither trait use of visual imagery nor intrusive verbal thought did. These results were consistent with the proposal that being a 'visualiser', as opposed to a 'verbaliser', is a risk factor for bipolar disorder, with the caveat that it is specifically intrusive experiences of imagery, rather than the tendency to utilize imagery per se, that acts as a risk factor.

\(\copyright\) 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A fisher of mental contents, casting a net into the stream of consciousness of someone attending to the events of the inner world, as opposed to the encroachments of the external, will likely catch a variety of forms of visual, auditory, verbal and non-verbal experiences. One common form of experience is mental imagery, the experience of “seeing with the mind’s eye” and “hearing with the mind’s ear” which is argued to depend on perceptual information accessed from memory (Kosslyn, Ganis, & Thompson, 2001, p. 635). The “sensory qualities” (Hackmann, 1998, p. 301) of mental imagery are a key property used to differentiate it from a second common type of experience said to be “purely verbal or abstract” (Hackmann, 1998, p. 301), often referred to simply as “verbal thought” (Holmes, Geddes, Colm, & Goodwin, 2008, p. 1251). Whilst in dialogic models of verbal thought the simple dichotomy between mental imagery as a perceptual experience and verbal thought as non-perceptual breaks down, with inner speech being literally shot through with other people’s voices (Fernyhough, 2004; McCarthy-Jones & Fernyhough, 2011), the validity of a distinction between mental imagery and verbal thought is supported by studies showing that intrusive visual images and intrusive verbal thoughts are produced by two separate and distinct memory systems (Hagenaars, Brewin, van Minnen, Holmes, & Hoogduin, 2010).

In contemporary studies, the distinction between mental imagery and verbal thought is typically operationalized by comparisons of mental imagery conditions, in which participants are given a series of vignettes and told to imagine each event as if it was happening to themselves, and verbal thought conditions, where participants are told to concentrate on the words and meaning as the vignette description unfolds (e.g., Holmes, Lang, & Shah, 2009). Operationalized in this manner, mental
imagery and verbal thought have been found to have different emotional sequelae. This is thought to be due to visual imagery’s greater potential to amplify both mood and anxiety than verbal thought (Holmes & Mathews, 2010). For example, a number of studies have found greater levels of anxiety when an event is visually imagined, compared to when it is thought about verbally, such as when visualizing an image of one’s self collapsing from a heart attack as opposed to verbally thinking about such a situation (Holmes & Mathews, 2005). Similarly, compared to verbal thought about events, creating visual imagery about the same events has been found to lead to greater affective responses. For example, Holmes et al. (2009) found that participants reported greater increases in positive affect when they imagined a series of events with positive emotional outcomes as happening to themselves and described their mental image out loud (mental imagery condition), as compared to when they simply focused on the meaning of each description as they heard it (verbal thought condition).

Such findings have lent support to Holmes et al.’s (2008) argument that as emotional processing in the brain is thought to be more responsive to mental imagery than verbal thought, visual imagery susceptibility (in their terminology, the tendency to be a “visualizer” rather than a “verbalizer”) is likely to be a neglected risk factor for a range of psychiatric disorders. Given the amplificatory effect of visual imagery on both affect and anxiety, it is unsurprising that the psychiatric disorder that has been the primary focus of initial visual imagery research has been bipolar disorder (e.g., Holmes et al., 2008). Although research in this area is still in its infancy, greater levels of intrusive visual imagery have been found in individuals with bipolar disorder compared to non-clinical controls (Tzemou & Birchwood, 2007), patients with bipolar disorder have been found, compared to healthy controls, to have significantly greater general mental imagery usage (Holmes et al., 2011), and hypomanic states in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder have been found to be associated with the experience of intrusive visual images (Gregory, Brewin, Mansell, & Donaldson, 2010).

People experiencing hypomania, a term first used by the German neuropsychiatrist Emanuel E. Mendel in 1881 to describe a state in which the typical features of mania are present but less pronounced (Malhi, Chengappa, Gershon, & Goldberg, 2010), are characterized by optimism, happiness, extraversion, sociability, and increased energy but can also be irritable, impulsive, flight of ideas or the subjective experience that thoughts are racing, distractibility, increases in goal-directed activity, and an excessive involvement in pleasurable activities that have a high potential for painful consequences (e.g., engaging in unrestrained buying sprees, sexual indiscretions, or foolish business investments). Going beyond diagnosed hypomanic episodes, hypomania has been found to be a dimensional personality trait and part of normal experience (Meads & Bentall, 2008), but it can also be associated with an increased risk of later developing bipolar disorders (Kwapil et al., 2000).

Building on the previous work described above linking visual imagery to hypomania, and establishing hypomania as a personality trait, we were concerned in this study to examine whether sub-clinical hypomanic personality traits, characterized by people who appear to be “in a mild manic state most of the time, are energetic, upbeat, gregarious people who are often able to work long hours with little sleep and who juggle numerous projects and social commitments” (Eckblad & Chapman, 1986, p. 216) were also specifically associated with the experience of intrusive visual imagery. First, we hypothesized that intrusive visual imagery would be more strongly associated with hypomania than would the general trait tendency to utilize visual imagery. This prediction was based on studies of other experiences commonly associated with psychopathology, such as hallucinations, showing that intrusive cognitions are related to such experiences both in psychiatric (Morrison & Baker, 2000) and non-psychiatric populations (Jones & Fernyhough, 2006). Second, following Holmes et al. (2008), we hypothesized a stronger relation of hypomania with intrusive visual imagery, than with intrusive verbal thought. Given that hypomanic personality traits in young adults have been found to correlate with levels of anxiety, depression, positive and negative affect (Lovejoy & Steuerwald, 1992) we assessed these variables to control for their potentially confounding effects.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and procedure

The target population was young adults aged between 18 and 30 years who were students at a British university, recruited through e-mail invitation. The final sample consisted of 220 participants (173 women) with a mean age of 22.95 years (SD = 3.53, range = 18–30). Participants completed a series of on-line questionnaires. On-line questionnaires have previously been shown to be a reliable method of data collection (e.g., Jones, Fernyhough, de-Wit, & Meins, 2008). Answers were given anonymously if participants chose to do so, with only age and gender being requested as key demographic variables. However, if participants wished to participate in a follow-up study, they were invited to enter their email addresses. There was no financial incentive to participate.

2.2. Measures

The measures employed are described below in the order in which they were administered.

Hypomania was assessed using the 20-item short-form version of the Hypomorphic Personality Scale, developed using Rasch analysis (HPS-20: Meads & Bentall, 2008). This is a trait measure of hypomorphic personality and includes items such as...
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