Factors influencing self-assessment of cognition and functioning in schizophrenia: Implications for treatment studies
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Abstract

Awareness of illness is a major factor in schizophrenia and extends into unawareness of cognitive and functional deficits. This unawareness of functional limitations has been shown to be influenced by several different predictive factors, including greater impairment and less severe depression. As treatment efforts are aimed at reducing cognitive deficits, discovery of the most efficient assessment strategies for detection of cognitive and functional changes is critical. In this study, we collected systematic assessments from high contact clinicians focusing on their impressions of the cognitive deficits and everyday functioning in a sample of 169 community dwelling patients with schizophrenia. The patients provided self-report on those same rating scales, as well as self-reporting their depression and performing an assessment of cognitive performance and functional skills. There was essentially no correlation between patients’ self-reports of their cognitive performance and functional skills and either clinician ratings of these skills or the results of the performance-based assessments. In contrast, clinician reports of cognitive impairments and everyday functioning were correlated with objective performance data. Depression on the part of patients was associated with ratings of functioning that were both more impaired and more congruent with clinician impressions, while overall...
1. Introduction

Cognitive impairment in schizophrenia has become an area of interest in research due to its impact on patients’ everyday functioning and subsequent perpetuation of disability. Deficits in social (Wiersma et al., 2000), residential (Auslander et al., 2001) and occupational (Ho et al., 1997) domains are observed in patients with schizophrenia despite successful treatment of the active phase of the illness. Cognitive impairments are one of several factors known to predict impaired everyday outcomes. Current pharmacologic treatments have a positive effect on psychotic symptoms but a limited effect on cognition. Lack of insight is a core feature of schizophrenia and studies have also shown that patients are unaware of their cognitive deficits (Medalia and Thysen, 2010). Previous studies demonstrated that self-reports of cognition are not well correlated with performance-based assessments (Keefe et al., 2006; Green et al., 2008, 2011). Similar problems may exist when patients are asked to report their own levels of everyday functioning (Bowie et al., 2007; Patterson et al, 1997; McKibbin et al, 2004). On the other hand, a recent study (Sabbag et al, 2011) has indicated that clinician ratings of the severity of real-world impairment were more strongly correlated with the results of performance-based assessments than impairment ratings generated by friends, relatives, other caregivers or patients themselves. Further, interview based assessments considering all possible informants, with a final judgment rendered by the interviewer, have been shown to be suitably correlated with the results of performance-based assessments (Keefe et al., 2006; Ventura et al., 2013) and to be sensitive to the effects of potentially cognitively enhancing agents (Harvey et al., 2011).

It is still tempting to consider the use of self-reports in clinical trials, as there is some evidence that many people with schizophrenia may have trouble identifying potential informants (Patterson et al., 1996). In addition, it may be logistically challenging for the same interviewer to collect data from patients and informants. Since clinician informants seem to generate valid reports (Bowie et al., 2007; Sabbag et al., 2011), even without training, it is possible that collection of questionnaire data from these clinician informants would be suitable to use as outcome measures. As a result, it would be important to understand the convergence between clinician informant ratings of cognitive performance and performance-based assessments of patients, as well as understanding the relationship between real-world outcomes and these informant reports of cognitive functioning.

We present a systematic study of the validity of self-reported vs clinician reported cognitive performance. All clinicians provided mailed in questionnaire responses, with no in-person assessments performed. These clinicians received no training in completion of the questionnaires. Clinicians were asked to rate patient’s cognitive performance and everyday functioning using the Cognitive Assessment Interview (CAI, Ventura et al., 2013) and the Specific...
دریافت فوری
متن کامل مقاله

امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات