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**Abstract**

This study focuses on the relationship between the concepts of cyberbullying/cybervictimization and loneliness. The subjects of the study were 389 secondary school students, of whom 159 were boys and 230 were girls, from various schools in the city of Trabzon. The study was carried out in the fall semester of the 2009–2010 academic year. The Loneliness Scale (UCLA) and the Cyberbullying Scale were used in the study. Correlation technique, multiple regression analysis and independent t test, were employed for statistical analysis. The study concluded that there was a significant correlation between becoming a cybervictim and loneliness among adolescents. Loneliness predicted by cybervictimization. These results were discussed and some conclusions were made.
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1. Introduction

There have been rapid developments in the field of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) for the last ten years. As a result of this, people have begun to widely use means of modern technologies such as cell phones and internet in order to communicate (Privitera, Campbell, and Campbell, 2009). This is very important not only for the grown up but also the young people. Related research reports that 97% of the young in the USA have access to internet in some way or another (Lathouwers, Moor, and Diden, 2009). At first glance, this might seem to be an advantage since people meet their needs for communication effectively, but it may affect their social lives in a negative way as well. It can easily be stated that young people and children may be subjected to some unfavorable circumstances on the net. One of these anti-social and aggressive circumstances, among many caused by the virtual media, is cyberbullying.

1.1. Cyberbullying and cybervictimization

One of the danger children and the young face nowadays is cyberbullying, which derives more and more attention from the scientific circles recently. There have been various classifications and definitions of this phenomenon in the international literature. Ybarra and Mitchell (2004) define cyberbullying as a behavior indirectly the same as traditional bullying behavior but one which is a little different in terms of its repetitive nature and psychological violent content. According to Patchin and Hinduja (2008) cyberbullying is a kind of behavior in the virtual environment which contains deliberate and repetitive violence and insult. Li (2007) defines the term cyberbullying as repetitive and destructive sense or attitude of damaging others through the use of cell phones, internet, e-mail etc. Willard (2004) proposes seven categories of cyberbullying: flaming, online harassment, cyber talking, denigration, masquerade, outing and exclusion. In a study conducted on 813 adolescents, Dehue, Bolman and Vollink (2008) concluded that 16% of the participants were involved in cyberbullying behavior. They also concluded that more boys showed such a behavior than girls. Some studies focused on the electronic means used in cyberbullying. Patchin and Hinduja (2008) found that the most widely used ones were PCs (by sending e-mails, hacking the personal web sites, by sending obscene and dishonorable messages) and cell phones (by sending messages containing menace and humiliation). These findings lead to a question whether there is a relationship between cyberbullying and the use of PCs and the internet. The recent number of internet users across the world is significant to answer this question. An Independent survey company estimated this number as 1.733.993.741 in a study conducted in September 2009 (Internet World Statistics, 2009). In Turkey, this number is reported to be about 26.500.009. According to a 2009 report revealed by Turkish Statistical Institution (TSI) 10, 39% the residences in Turkey have internet access. Another eye-catching issue is the age groups of internet users. TSI (2009) also reports that mostly people in 16–26 age group use internet in Turkey. Given these issues, the problem of cyberbullying among the young is one that needs some urgent and utmost attention.

1.2. Relations with variables

Perhaps its reason rather than the definition and prevalence of cyberbullying get attention. To know about what variables affect
cyberbullying is of great importance in conducting studies to suggest some measures and solutions. Some studies have been done on cyberbullying according to some variables such as intelligence, self-confidence and depressive feelings (Diden et al., 2009) and traditional bullying, empathy (Topçu, 2008), psychological symptoms which are hostile feelings and psychotic symptoms (Aricak, 2009; Tynes and Giang, 2009). It has been documented by related research that cyberbulliers are people who need social support, have unhappy feelings and eager to use drugs (Srabstein and Piazza, 2008). In connection to this it has been revealed that cybervictims experience unhappiness, depression, disappointment (Ybarra, 2004) and have problems in adaptation to the environment Patchin and Hinduja (2008). In this sense it has been determined that feeling of loneliness is related to alcoholism, unhappiness and social shyness (Åkerlind and Hörnqust, 1992; Oullet and Joshi, 1986). So that reason loneliness is an important issue in cyberbullies and cybervictims. It is also crucial to reveal relationship between cyberbullying and cybervictimization behaviors of individuals and perception of loneliness in terms of providing more assistance to those ones. Recent studies show that, in spite of the existence of many variables affecting cyberbullying, investigations on the relationship between cyberbullying and loneliness has remained a little neglected or ignored. This study is important in terms of filling the gap in the related literature.

1.3. Purpose of the study

There seems to be a close relationship between loneliness and the concepts of cyberbully/cybervictim, for technological developments can directly affect our psycho-social development and mutual relationships. To this end, this study dwells on the relationship between loneliness and the phenomenon of cyberbully/cybervictim.

2. Method

2.1. The study group

The study was conducted with 389 secondary school children, 159 of whom were boys (40.9%) and 230 girls (59.1%), in the fall semester of 2009–2010 academic year in Trabzon.

2.2. Data collection tools

2.2.1. Cyberbullying scale (CBS)
The scale, developed by Topçu (2008), uses two forms containing 26 items of Likert scale to uncover cyberbullies and cybervictims. The Cronbach reliability factor of the scale has been calculated as .86. Assessment was based upon the total points collected through the scale.

2.2.2. Loneliness scale (UCLA)
The UCLA Loneliness Scale was developed by (Russell, Peplau, and Ferguson 1978) to assess an individual’s subjective feelings of loneliness or social isolation. The Cronbach internal reliability factor of the scale, which was translated into Turkish by Demir (1989), has been calculated as .96. The five week test-after-test reliability factor of the scale is .94. This scale has widely been used in studies focusing on loneliness.

2.3. Data analysis

Measurement was performed during students’ class time and completed in around 20 min. The data obtained from the collection procedure was then prepared for the appropriate statistical procedures on computer, using SPSS 15.0. Correlation technique, multiple regression analysis and Independent t test, were employed for statistical analysis. The results are presented in tables.

3. Results

3.1. Explaining the relationship between cyberbullying, cybervictimization and loneliness

Table 1 contains the results of the correlations. In the analysis, no relationship was found between points of cyberbullying and those of loneliness. However, there appeared a low but positive correlation between the points of cybervictimization and those of loneliness (r = 0.104, p < 0.01). Additionally, it can be said that there is a positive relation between the points of being a cybervictim and cyberbullying (r = 0.738, p < 0.05).

Tables (2) and (3) contain the results of the multiple regression analyses to predict cyberbullying and cybervictimization. It has been found out that loneliness is not a meaningful predictor of cyberbullying. On the other hand loneliness (r = 2.062, p < 0.05) predicted cybervictimization.

Data analysis demonstrated that there was no significant statistical correlation between the points of loneliness of the cyberbullies. However, there was a significant relationship between the high points of loneliness of the cybervictims and the lower ones (r = −2.199, p < 0.05) (Table 4).

4. Discussions and suggestions

It would be possible to claim that the findings of the study shed light on the 21st century profile of the concepts of cyberbullying and cybervictimization. While technology plays a crucial role in terms of making our lives easier, it creates many problems which, according to some scientific circles, can wipe out its positive effects (Christofides, Muse and Desmarais, 2009; Fogel and Nehmad, 2009). Especially developments in information technologies have recently created some rattling side effects especially in the lives of the young. This is why cyberbullying and cybervictimization have been of utmost importance in recent years.

The contribution of this study to the literature is that it determines and evaluates the variables of cyberbullying and cybervictimization.

Table 1
Correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Being a cyberbully</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cybervictim</td>
<td>.738**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Loneliness</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.104*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>1.506</td>
<td>2.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Table 2
Predicting cyberbullying.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loneliness</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R = .03, R² = .01, and corrected Adjusted R² = −.01.

Table 3
Predicting cybervictimization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.929</td>
<td>.115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loneliness</td>
<td>.091</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R = .10, R² = .04, and Adjusted R² = −.01.

* t value is significant at the 0.05 level.
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