Avoidance coping strategies, alexithymia and alcohol abuse: A mediation analysis
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1. Introduction

Alexithymia refers to difficulties identifying and describing subjective emotions, distinguishing between feelings and the bodily sensations of emotional arousal, fantasizing and using an internal oriented cognitive style, and a tendency to focus on real events and somatic manifestations of emotional arousal, fantasizing and using an internal oriented cognitive processing and regulation of emotions (Lindsay & Ciarrochi, 2009). Alexithymic symptoms are often described in the context of psychosomatic illnesses, alexithymic characteristics may be observed in patients with a wide range of both medical conditions (Lauriola, Panno, Tomai, Ricciardi, & Potenza, 2011; Lumley, Neely, & Burger, 2007) and psychiatric disorders (Salminen, Saarijärvi, Åarelä, Toikka, & Kauhanen, 1999; Wise, Mann, & Hill, 1990). In the last three decades, the alexithymic concept has gained much popularity in cognitive psychology, where it has been redefined as a deficit in cognitive processing and regulation of emotions (De Rick & Vanheule, 2007; Taylor, Bagby, & Parker, 1997). Alexithymics appear to be less able to recognize their emotional signals and regulate emotions effectively (Lindsay & Ciarrochi, 2009; Taylor et al., 1997). They are more likely to misinterpret their emotions as being symptoms of illness (Taylor & Bagby, 2000) and can undertake a wide range of maladaptive regulation strategies such as eating and substance-related disorders (Taylor, 2000; Taylor et al., 1997). Several studies have found a relationship between alexithymia and substance abuse (e.g., Cleland, Magura, Foote, Rosenblum, & Kosanke, 2005; De Rick & Vanheule, 2006; Lindsay & Carrochi, 2009). Studies have reported the prevalence rates for alexithymia among recently abstinent drug- and alcohol-dependent patients to be 41.7 to 50.4% (Haviland, Hendryx, Shaw, & Henry, 1994; Haviland, Shaw, Cummings, & MacMurray, 1988; Taylor, Bagby, & Parker, 1990). These rates are higher than the levels of alexithymia found in non-clinical samples (4 to 18%) and psychiatric comparison group samples (12.5 to 33%; Taylor, 2000).

When studies on alcohol-dependent inpatients are specifically considered, the range of alcohol-dependent individuals identified as alexithymic goes from 48 to 78% (Evren et al., 2008; Loas, Fremaux, Otmani, Lecerrel, & Delahousse, 1997; Rybakowski, Ziolkowski, Zasadzka, & Brzezinski, 1988; Sauvage & Loas, 2006; Taylor et al., 1990; Uzun, Ates, Cansever, & Ozsahin, 2003). In general, the literature suggests an association between alcohol-related disorders and alexithymia (De Rick & Vanheule, 2006; Kauhanen, Julkunen, & Salonen, 1992; Stasiewicz et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 1997), together with an adverse impact of alexithymia on the treatment of alcohol abuse (Loas et al., 1997; Ziolkowski, Gruss, & Rybakowski, 1995). On the one hand, some authors suggest that alexithymia is a personality trait that places individuals at a greater risk of alcohol-related disorders (Uzun et al., 2003; Ziolkowski et al., 1995); on the other hand, others consider it a consequence of alcohol
use (De Rick & Vanheule, 2006; Haviland et al., 1994; Taylor et al., 1997). Although empirical support can be found for both views, the trait paradigm seems to describe more persuasively the state of affairs in the alcoholic population (De Rick & Vanheule, 2006; Taylor et al., 1997; Uzun et al., 2003).

The reasons explaining the prevalence of alexithymics in the alcoholic population are not clear yet. Some researchers have argued that alexithymic individuals use alcohol as a coping mechanism for stress or to improve interpersonal functioning (e.g., verbal and emotional functions; Kauhanen et al., 1992; Rybakowski et al., 1988), since alexithymic people often feel uncomfortable in social situations (Uzun et al., 2003; Wise, Mann, & Shay, 1992). It has also been suggested that alexithymics consume alcohol in an effort to cope with negative emotional states (Stasiwicz et al., 2012; Thorberg et al., 2011). The rewarding experience with alcohol may eventually lead to the development of dependence (Rybakowski et al., 1988; Uzun et al., 2003).

Coping skills have found to be significant predictors of alcohol consumption (Bussey Rask et al., 2006). In particular, avoidance coping strategies are more closely linked to drinking behavior than more active strategies both among social drinkers (McKee, Hinson, Wall, & Spriel, 1998) and alcoholics (Hasking & Oei, 2007). Coping skills may also predict the outcome of the treatment of alcoholics (Bussey Rask et al., 2006). A study in a sample of treated alcoholics found that use of active coping strategies increased the odds of remaining abstinent compared to use of avoidance coping strategies (Moser & Annis, 1996). Another study found that increasing coping skills during treatment significantly predicted abstinence among alcohol abusers (Litt, Kadden, Cooney, & Kabela, 2003).

The association of alexithymia and alcohol consumption and abuse has been reasonably established in non-clinical samples (Bruce, Curren, & Williams, 2012; Kauhanen et al., 1992; Rybakowski et al., 1988). Among alcoholics, however, only a handful of studies have investigated how much alexithymia is connected to alcohol consumption in terms of actual alcohol units consumed (Stasiwicz et al., 2012; Thorberg et al., 2011). The rewarding experience with alcohol may eventually lead to the development of dependence (Rybakowski et al., 1988; Uzun et al., 2003).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

One-hundred and ten participants (76.4% men and 23.6% women) affected by current alcoholism were enrolled into the study (mean age 44.3; s.d. 9.7). Participants were recruited from an inpatient alcohol program at the Center for Alcohol Abuse (Centro Riferimento Alcologico Regione Lazio—CRARL), Department of Clinical Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy. Participants were eligible for the study if they met DSM-IV criteria (APA, 2000) for current alcohol dependence. The diagnoses were performed by the Center’s psychiatrist. Individuals were excluded if they met criteria for a current drug use disorder other than nicotine. At the onset of withdrawal, patients systematically received a withdrawal substitution treatment to minimize withdrawal symptoms (Lejoeux, Solomon, & Ades, 1998). This medication was progressively decreased during detox.

2.2. Measures

Alexithymia was measured using the Toronto Alexithymia Scale in its validated Italian version (TAS-20; Bressi et al., 1996). The TAS-20 consists of 20 items rated on a five-point Likert scale (range from 1 “strongly disagree” to 5 “strongly agree”). The total scores of the scale range from 20 to 100, with a score ≥ 51 being considered a cut-off point for classifying individuals as non-alexithymic and ≥ 61 for classifying individuals as alexithymic (Bagby, Parker, & Taylor, 1994; Taylor et al., 1997). The range between 52 and 60 classifies individuals as borderline. The TAS-20 is composed of three factors. The first two factors (Difficulties Identifying Feelings—DIF and Difficulties Describing Feelings—DDF) refer to emotional awareness and expression and might be considered “afford-related” factors. The third factor (Externally-Oriented Thinking—EOT) refers to a specific tendency to deal with superficial themes and to avoid emotional thinking, and can be considered a more “cognitive” factor (Franz et al., 2008). We used here the total scale score (α = .79).

Coping strategies were assessed using the Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced in its validated Italian version (COPE-NVI; Sica et al., 2008; α = .86). The COPE-NVI scale consists of 60 items indicating how often people undertake a specific coping process when facing difficult or stressful situations (range from 1 “I usually don’t do this at all” to 4 “I usually do this a lot”). The items refer to five large independent dimensions, composed of fifteen subscales assessing a broad range of coping strategies: social support (composed of comprehension and information seeking, and emotional expression); avoidance strategies (including denial, behavioral and mental disengagement, drug and alcohol abuse); positive attitude (composed of acceptance, positive reinterpretation and restraint); problem solving (such as suppression of competing activities, planning and activities); turning to religion (composed of religion and absence of humour; Sica et al., 2008).

2.3. Procedure

From January to September 2010, the alcoholic patients underwent two semi-structured interviews to assess lifetime alcohol consumption. The Lifetime Drinking History (LDH; Skinner & Sheu, 1982) and Time Line Follow Back (TLFB; Sobell & Sobell, 1992) were used to assess alcohol consumption from the first year of regular drinking to the present and specific amounts of alcohol use in the last month before enrolling.
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