Symptom severity and disgust-related traits in borderline personality disorder: The role of amygdala subdivisions
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A B S T R A C T

The majority of morphometric studies on borderline personality disorder (BPD) found that diagnosed patients have a reduced amygdala volume. We sought to extend this finding by focusing on amygdala subregions (centromedial, laterobasal, superficial) and their association with symptom severity and disgust-related traits. Additional disorder-/disgust-relevant regions (insula, somatosensory cortex) were also investigated. We compared structural imaging data from 25 female BPD patients and 25 healthy women via voxel-based morphometry. Scores on self-report measures for symptom severity, disgust proneness, and self-disgust were correlated with gray matter volume (GMV) of regions of interest. Relative to controls, BPD patients had more GMV in the laterobasal amygdala. The volume of this region was positively correlated with symptom severity. In contrast, GMV of the centromedial amygdala showed a negative correlation with symptom severity. The degree of reported self-injury and self-disgust correlated negatively with the volume of the secondary somatosensory cortex (SII). Our data point to a differential contribution of amygdala subregions to symptom severity in BPD. Future longitudinal studies should focus on these subregions and possible volume changes during the course of the disorder. The meaning of altered SII volume for dysfunctional auto-aggressive behavior needs further investigation.

1. Introduction

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is characterized by deficits in emotion regulation. BPD patients show a high sensitivity to affective cues, experience intense negative feelings, and have difficulties in down-regulating aversive states (Crowell et al., 2009; Schmahl et al., 2014).

It has recently been suggested that the emotion disgust is of particular importance for this disorder. There is some evidence to suggest that BPD patients have a tendency to experience disgust more frequently and intensely in everyday life, for example, when confronted with poor hygiene or with certain food products. That is, they report high disgust proneness (Rüsch et al., 2011; Schienle et al., 2013). In addition to these self-reported data, a meta-analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies by Ruocco et al. (2013) showed that BPD patients, compared with healthy controls, responded with greater activation within the insula and the posterior cingulate cortex when processing negative emotions. The insula is considered a critical structure involved in the cortical representation of experienced feelings of disgust and somatic arousal (Wicker et al., 2003). A meta-analysis from Daros et al. (2013) suggested that this heightened arousal of BPD patients might interfere with their capacity to detect disgust cues from others. Their study revealed a marked deficit by these patients in the identification of facial expressions of disgust. Thus, BPD patients show both an increased experience of disgust and reduced recognition of disgust.

Individuals diagnosed with BPD have been further characterized by pronounced self-disgust (Ille et al. 2014; Schienle et al., 2014). Self-disgust is defined as the tendency to experience disgust when judging one’s own personal features and actions (Schienle et al., 2014). Ille et al. (2014) proposed that repeated experiences by BPD patients of other individuals expressing their disgust towards them could possibly lead to self-loathing.

Another line of research indicated that BPD patients have often experienced long-term severe rejection in their social environment, especially by significant others (Schmahl et al., 2014). This experience might contribute to their sensitivity towards rejection, and their expectation and fear of being abandoned. In accordance to this, facial expressions of disgust by others are salient rejection cues (Daros et al., 2013). Although it has to be considered speculative that feelings of revulsion and rejection by others are internalized and adopt the form of self-disgust in patients with BPD (Ille et al., 2014), self-loathing is a particular feature of BPD. Klonsky and Muehlenkamp (2007) proposed that self-injury (e.g., cutting or burning oneself), a common phenomenon patients with BPD display in order to alleviate intense negative emotions, may serve to express self-loathing.
The amygdala is – besides the insula – a key structure for the perception of disgust-relevant information and affective signals in general (e.g., Schienle et al., 2002a, 2002b). The human amygdala is, however, not a uniform structure, but one that can be divided into three major subdivisions: the laterobasal group, the superficial group, and the centromedial group (Ball et al., 2007). The laterobasal region, the largest substructure (Roy et al., 2009), is thought to play a central role in the decoding of affective value and social meaning, as is displayed in facial expressions (Adolphs, 2010). In addition, this subregion facilitates associative learning processes such as fear conditioning (Phelps and LeDoux, 2005). The laterobasal nuclei receive information from tempo-parietal areas as well as from the prefrontal cortex and project back to all association areas. The centromedial region, which is the smallest of the three subregions, appears to be involved in emotional arousal and affective reactions; it plays a significant role in generating behavioral responses through projections to the brainstem, as well as to cortical and striatal regions (e.g., Roy et al., 2009).

In the past years, morphometric investigations have revealed contradictory findings for this disorder. For example, Schmahal et al. (2009) compared BPD patients, with and without comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and healthy controls. They observed no differences in bilateral amygdala volume among the three groups. A previous study of this research group (Schmahal et al., 2003) had detected reduced left and right amygdala gray matter in patients with BPD, whereas Zetsche et al. (2006) found increased amygdala volume in both hemispheres in those BPD patients with an additional major depression diagnosis. These studies have raised the possibility that altered amygdala volume might relate to comorbidity in BPD. A recent meta-analysis of data from a large sample of 205 individuals with BPD and 222 healthy controls (94% women, mean age = 30.4 years) provided a more coherent picture and revealed an average amygdala volume reduction of 13% for the patient group. A diminished volume of 14.2% and 11.6% could be found for the left and right amygdala, respectively (Ruocco et al., 2012). Clinical variables such as current medication and history of abuse were not correlated with amygdala volume.

The partially conflicting volumetric findings may result from the fact that specific amygdala subregions are affected in different ways in BPD. This was investigated in the present voxel-based morphometry (VBM) study. Moreover, we analyzed whether the volume of these subdivisions and other BPD/disgust-relevant regions of interest (e.g., insula, secondary somatosensory cortex) would be correlated with disgust-related personality traits (disgust proneness, self-disgust) and syndrome severity. We expected reduced volume in at least one amygdala subdivision as well as negative correlations between GMV of amygdala subregions and BPD symptoms. Positive correlations were predicted for measures of trait disgust and insula volume.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

We analyzed data from 25 women with a BPD diagnosis (according to DSM-5 criteria) and 25 healthy women of comparable age (BPD: M = 26.9 years, S.D. = 7.8; controls: M = 27.2 years, S.D. = 7.6; t(48) = -0.1, p = 0.912). The patients had been diagnosed by a board-certified psychologist who had conducted a structured clinical interview (Margraf, 1994) and had checked the presence of BPD symptoms. The patients and controls had been recruited through an article in a local newspaper, where information about BPD was provided and the research project was introduced. If interested, the women could call the experimenter to receive further information. Then, a diagnostic interview was scheduled. All participants of the clinical group had at least once been an inpatient of a psychiatric clinic, where they had been diagnosed with BPD.

The participants of the two groups did not differ in their educational level (years of school education: M = 11 years; S.D. = 3.1) and handedness (40 right-handed; 10 left-handed).

More than half of the patients (64%) were medicated. Of those, 60% took antidepressants, 40% neuroleptics, 16% a tranquilizer, and 32% a combination of antidepressants and neuroleptics. All patients showed non-suicidal self-injury behavior (especially cutting, burning). Current symptoms of depression, bipolar disorder, and psychosis led to exclusion from the sample. Comorbid diagnoses that did not lead to exclusion from the sample consisted of eating disorders (bulimia nervosa), social phobia, generalized anxiety disorder, dysthymia, histronic personality disorder, and obsessive-compulsive disorder (one patient per diagnosis). Lifetime diagnoses of the patients included major depression (28%), eating disorders (20%), posttraumatic stress disorder (8%), and substance abuse, especially alcohol abuse (40%).

Control group participants who suffered from any mental disorder were excluded. All participants provided written informed consent after receiving a full explanation of the test procedure. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Graz.

2.2. Self-report measures

All participants completed the following self-report measures:

(a) The short version of the Borderline Symptom List (BSL-23; Bohus et al., 2009) is a self-rating instrument for the intensity BPD symptoms. An additional scale ‘dysfunctional behavior’ assesses the presence of impulsive-aggressive symptoms (e.g., self-injury, drug abuse and vomiting). Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the total scale is 0.95.

(b) The Questionnaire for the Assessment of Disgust Proneness (QADP; Schienle et al., 2002a, 2002b) measures the tendency to experience disgust across 37 situations, such as “You are just about to drink a glass of milk as you notice that it is spoiled”. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the total scale is 0.90.

(c) The Questionnaire for the Assessment of Self-Disgust (QSD; Schienle et al., 2014) contains two subscales. ‘Personal disgust’ (9 items) assesses the devaluation of one’s own physical appearance and personality (e.g., ‘I find myself repulsive’), and ‘behavioral disgust’ (5 items) assesses the devaluation of one’s own behavior (e.g., ‘I regret my behavior’). Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the total scale is 0.85.

2.3. Image acquisition and VBM analysis

T1-weighted scans were acquired using a 3T Siemens Skyra with a 32-channel head coil (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The scanning parameters were as follows: voxel size: 1 x 1 x 1 mm2; 176 transverse slices, tilted = 25° from the OFC, slice thickness: 1 mm, echo time = 2.07 ms, repetition time = 1560 ms; inversion time = 900, flip-angle = 9°. Brain-imaging data were analyzed using SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimagining including the VBM8 toolbox (revision 435, http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm) for voxel-based morphometry in order to gain voxel-wise comparisons of GMV. Anatomical scans were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid partitions. An optimized blockwise non-local means denoising filter, a Hidden Markov Random Field approach, partial volume estimates, and normalization to Montreal Neurological Institute space by high-dimensional warping (DARTEL) with a standard template included in the VBM8-toolbox were used for pre-processing (final resolution: 1.5 mm x 1.5 mm x 1.5 mm). A Jacobian modulation for non-linear normalization was applied to correct for differences in head sizes and to obtain brain volume. Due the small size of the amygdalar subregions, smoothing was executed with a Gaussian kernel with a full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 4 mm.

Statistical analyses were carried out using random effects models. To test for differences in GMV between patients and controls, two sample t-tests (voxel intensity tests) were conducted (comparisons: Patients > Controls, Controls > Patients). Questionnaire scores were correlated via a simple regression with GMV. To restrict analysis to gray matter, the images were thresholded for all analyses with an absolute threshold of 0.2.

Based on previous VBM studies of patients with BPD, the following regions of interest (ROIs) were selected: superficial, centromedial, laterobasal amygdala, insula, and somatosensory cortex (SI, SII). For the present study we used ROI masks taken from the Harvard–Oxford Cortical and Subcortical Structural Atlas.
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