

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE DIRECT.

Human Resource Management Review 13 (2003) 605–629

Human Resource Management Review

www.socscinet.com/bam/humres

## The implications of performance feedback research for understanding antisocial work behavior

Anne M. O'Leary-Kelly\*, Joanna L. Newman

Department of Management, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

#### **Abstract**

This paper explores existing performance feedback models and their implications for understanding antisocial behavior at work. We begin with the presentation of a summary model that outlines constructs and relationships common in current feedback models. Then, we discuss what these constructs and relationships suggest about the occurrence of antisocial responses when negative performance feedback is provided. In addition, we use the performance feedback model to identify areas of research that have been ignored in current conceptualizations of antisocial work behavior. © 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Performance feedback; Antisocial work behavior; Feedback research

#### 1. Introduction

Within the past decade, organizational scholars and practitioners have displayed a growing interest in antisocial work behavior. The development of interest in this topic appeared to coincide with highly publicized incidents of violence in work settings. For example, the U.S. Post Office received much negative publicity in the early 1990s when employees (or former employees) shot supervisors and coworkers at their work sites (Report of the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, 1992; Swisher, 1994). Media reports of similar incidents in other types of work settings (e.g., Fox, 1995; Toufexis, 1994) indicate that violence is not isolated to the postal service, and recent school shootings in Colorado, Arkansas, and other states reaffirm that violence has spread to even our most protected institutions. Given the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-501-575-4566; fax: +1-501-575-3241. *E-mail address:* aokelly@comp.uark.edu (A.M. O'Leary-Kelly).

dizzying pace with which Americans are confronted by the issue of violence in organizational settings, additional discussion of this important issue is warranted.

Research on antisocial work behavior has benefited greatly from existing theoretical frameworks in both social psychology and the organizational sciences. For example, O'Leary-Kelly, Griffin, and Glew (1996) applied social learning theory (Bandura, 1973) to the issue of aggressive work behavior, suggesting factors in a work environment that might prompt aggression. Additionally, several authors (e.g., Bies & Tripp, 1998; Greenberg, 1998; Greenberg & Alge, 1998; Skarlicki & Folger, 1997; Skarlicki, Folger, & Tesluk, 1999) have adopted a justice-based framework, suggesting that behaviors such as retaliation, revenge, and theft are motivated by perceived unfair treatment.

Interestingly, the research on antisocial work behavior has not been well integrated with research on another relevant topic—the provision of performance feedback information. In fact, there is little research that explores aggressive behavior as a reaction to negative feedback. This is surprising because many of the publicized incidents of workplace violence that captured the attention of researchers, practitioners and the general public involved situations where employees reacted aggressively to negative feedback from work-related sources (e.g., Fox, 1995; Toufexis, 1994). The purpose of this paper is to examine how existing research on the provision of feedback information might inform us about antisocial work behavior. Specifically, we use feedback research to identify issues that are relevant to the prediction of antisocial work behavior, but which have not been addressed in research to date. We begin by defining our terms and setting boundaries around our discussion. We then describe a model that summarizes basic characteristics of existing research on work-related performance feedback. Finally, we use this model to frame our discussion of antisocial work behavior.

#### 2. Definitions and boundaries

Each of the constructs that are central to our discussion (i.e., feedback information, antisocial work behavior) may be defined in various ways. Therefore, it is important that we begin with a brief description of our definitions of these constructs and the resulting boundaries that these definitions place on our discussion.

Beginning with feedback information, previous research suggests that work-related feedback can be described with varied dimensions, including the sign of feedback and the source of feedback (Fedor, 1991; Ilgen, Fisher, & Taylor, 1979). In regard to sign, our discussion centers on feedback that is negative, because feedback that is perceived as positive by the recipient typically will not lead to antisocial responses. In regard to the source of work-related feedback, previous research suggests several relevant sources: other individuals (e.g., supervisors, coworkers), the organization, the job, or oneself (Fedor, 1991; Greller & Herold, 1975; Ilgen et al., 1979). Because these sources differ greatly on fundamental issues such as perceived credibility, the issues associated with each are varied (Fedor, 1991; Herold & Fedor, 1998). Our primary emphasis will be on information that is given to the recipient by other individuals in the workplace. Certainly, it is possible for other sources to prompt antisocial work behavior, but given the inherent legitimacy of feedback from the job and the

# دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

### ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
  - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
    - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
  - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
  - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
    - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات