

Somatoform disorders as disorders of affect regulation A study comparing the TAS-20 with non-self-report measures of alexithymia

Elisabeth Waller*, Carl Eduard Scheidt

Department of Psychosomatic and Psychotherapeutic Medicine, University Hospital of Freiburg, Hauptstr. 8, D-79104, Freiburg, Germany

Received 3 February 2003; accepted 21 October 2003

Abstract

Objective: To determine the role of undifferentiated and dysregulated affects in somatoform disorders by using a multi-method assessment approach of alexithymia. **Methods:** Forty patients with ICD-10 somatoform disorders (SoD) and 20 healthy controls, matched for age, education and sex, were included in the study. Alexithymia was assessed using the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20), the Affect Consciousness Interview (ACI), and the Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale (LEAS). All classifications were made blinded with regard to clinical status. **Results:** Scores of the ACI and the TAS-20 showed that alexithymia is higher in SoD than in healthy controls. No differences were found on the LEAS. In terms of the multidimensionality of the alexithymia

construct, our results indicate a specific positive association between SoD and a proneness to experience undifferentiated affects. The three subfactors of the TAS-20 were differentially related to non-self-report measures of alexithymia and to negative affectivity (NA). Only the cognitive facet of the TAS-20 (externally oriented thinking [EOT]) was related to the LEAS and the ACI. In contrast, the affective facets of the TAS-20—difficulties identifying feelings (DIF) and difficulties describing feelings (DDF)—were substantially related to NA. **Conclusion:** The findings highlight the important role of impaired affect regulation and NA in the process of somatization.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Affect regulation; Alexithymia; Negative affectivity; Somatoform disorders

Introduction

Somatizing patients are often characterized by a tendency to experience and communicate psychological distress in form of somatic symptoms and to seek medical help for them [1]. The idea that a diminished capacity to consciously experience and differentiate affects and express them in an adequate or healthy way is an underlying factor of SoD is discussed here.

One of the most elaborated and well-researched constructs for describing personality-related difficulties in the processing and regulation of emotion is alexithymia [2]. Several empirical studies have explored a possible relationship between alexithymia and somatoform disorders (SoD).

In two earlier studies using alexithymia only as a dichotomous construct, a high prevalence of alexithymia was found in patients with chronic pain [3,4]. Other studies reported increased levels of alexithymia in SoD as compared to healthy controls [5–7]. Patients with SoD were also found to show elevated alexithymia scores, when compared with medically ill patients [8–10]. Two further studies found no differences in alexithymia between somatizing patients and other clinical control groups [11,12].

Despite the evidence by the abovementioned studies of a link between alexithymia and somatization, the empirical findings remain controversial [13]. Primarily methodological limitations accounted for the difficulties in the interpretation of data. The first concerns the measurement of alexithymia. In the past decade, findings on alexithymia in patients with SoD were mostly based on self-report measures. Although in current research the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) [14–16] is the best validated instrument to measure alexithymia, the exclusive use of self-report measures for assessing alexithymia remains subject to criticism.

* Corresponding author. Abteilung für Psychosomatik und Psychotherapeutische Medizin, Universitätsklinik Freiburg, Hauptstr. 8, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany. Tel.: +49-761-270-6547; fax: +49-761-270-6885.

E-mail address: ew@psysom.ukl.uni-freiburg.de (E. Waller).

It has been argued that it is to some extent paradoxical to ask alexithymic persons who are characterized by a diminished affective insight to give an accurate estimation of their affective disturbances. Yet, as Lumely [17] commented, although plausible, this must be tested against data. The author as well as the creators of the TAS therefore recommended that studies be conducted using multiple alexithymia measures. To date, studies comparing the TAS-20 with non-self-report measures of alexithymia are few in number.

The interpretation of existing studies concerning the link between alexithymia and somatization is further complicated by the insufficient attention that has been given to the overlap of alexithymia with negative emotional distress. Several studies have found that alexithymia correlated with depression and anxiety [18–20]. Even though alexithymia is separate from the construct of depression [21], it must be tested whether the association between alexithymia and somatization is mediated by depression or anxiety.

In the present study, we assessed alexithymia using the TAS in combination with non-self-report instruments of alexithymia. We also included a measure of negative affectivity (NA) to control for the effect of NA. In addition to these established instruments, new and promising measures of alexithymia-related constructs that avoid self-ratings have recently been introduced. Two of them, an interview-based measure (Affect Consciousness Interview [ACI]) [22] and a performance-based measure of alexithymia (Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale [LEAS]) [23], were used in this study. The ACI is theoretically grounded in Tomkin's affect and script theory [24,25] and in contemporary self-psychology [26,27]. Affect consciousness is considered to reflect a stable pattern of affect (schema) organization. It is operationalized in degrees of awareness, tolerance, emotional and conceptual expression across nine basic affect categories. The LEAS assesses the structural level of affect representation according to a cognitive–developmental model of emotional awareness. The hierarchical model of affect development is based on Piaget's theory of cognitive development [28] and Werner and Kaplan's theories of symbolization and language development [29]. The LEA model postulates five levels of emotional organization ranging from globally organized somatic and action dominated levels to increasingly differentiated organized symbolic levels.

The primary purpose of the present study was to further clarify the associations between alexithymia and somatization. Based on the abovementioned research strategy, we therefore sought to determine whether patients with SoD are more alexithymic than healthy controls. The second aim of the study was to further investigate the convergent and discriminant validity of the TAS-20. We therefore addressed the question of how the TAS-20 and non-self-report measures of alexithymia (ACI, LEAS) and a measure of NA were related to each other. The sample used in this study was already described in an article on attachment representation in SoD, which has been submitted for publication [30].

Participants and methods

Participants

Sixty subjects participated in the study: 40 patients with an ICD-10 diagnosis of SoD and 20 healthy controls matched for age, sex and education. Thirty-five of the SoD patients were recruited from a special outpatient clinic for SoD at the Department of Psychotherapy and Psychosomatic Medicine at the University Hospital, Freiburg. Five patients were recruited from the psychotherapy ward of an affiliated psychosomatic hospital, the Werner-Schwidder-Klinik, Bad Krozingen.

Patients had to fulfill the following criteria: (1) ICD-10 criteria for somatization disorder, undifferentiated somatoform disorder, somatoform autonomic dysfunction, somatoform pain disorder or dissociative disorder; (2) a symptom duration of at least 6 months; (3) exclusion of severe physical or mental disorder which accounts for symptom of somatoform disorder (e.g. psychosis); (4) age between 18 and 65 years; (5) sufficient fluency of language for psychological testing.

The diagnosis was established by a clinical interview checking for the diagnostic criteria according to ICD-10 and additional psychological testing [31,32]. Patients selected for the study usually had an extensive medical assessment including a physical examination, electrophysiological, radiological or neuroradiological procedures before the diagnosis of an SoD was established.

A substantial proportion of the patients treated in the outpatient clinic (amounting to 70%) were not suitable for participation because either the patients did not fulfill the diagnostic criteria for a main diagnosis of SoD or because of somatic comorbidity, a lack of language ability, or a lack of motivation to cooperate in a study, which required extensive psychological testing.

Control subjects were recruited through newspaper advertisement. They were screened for eligibility by a telephone interview. Subjects passing the screening interview were administered the SOMS [32]. Those who were included were matched pairwise with the somatoform patients for age, sex and education. All participants gave informed consent before entering into the study. The study was approved by the local research ethics committee.

Instruments

All measures were administered to somatoform patients and nonclinical comparisons. Only the Mini-DIPS [33] was applied exclusively to the patients.

Alexithymia

Alexithymia was measured using three instruments:

(a) *The 20-item version of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20)* [15,16]. The TAS-20 is a self-report measure,

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات