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ABSTRACT

We revisit the stock market anomaly documented by Thomas and Zhang (2008) and show that the apparent mispricing of information transfers has decayed over time, as the US markets experienced rapid improvements in the efficiency of the underlying price formation processes. Utilizing recent advancements in market microstructure research to estimate firm-specific proxies for market efficiency, we demonstrate that the existence of the overreaction anomaly (where stock prices of late announcers in response to the earnings reported by early announcers in the same industry are negatively related to subsequent price responses of late announcers to their own earnings reports) is specific to an earlier sample period and results from the inefficient incorporation of information into prices, largely attributable to an environment with high barriers to arbitrage. Our results indicate that the pricing efficiency of intra-industry information transfers has increased in the recent years of increased liquidity and markedly higher trading activity.

1. Introduction

Earnings information transfers occur when one firm’s earnings announcement provides valuation-relevant information about another firm’s earnings. Prior empirical research documents that earnings announcements provide information not only about the announcing firm but also about other firms in the same industry (e.g., Foster, 1981; Han and Wild, 1990; Freeman and Tse, 1992; Ramnath, 2002).

In a more recent study, Thomas and Zhang (2008, hereafter TZ) investigate how prices of firms that have not yet announced earnings (late announcers) respond to earnings announcements of their early-announcing industry peers. TZ are the first to document an overreaction anomaly in which the stock market overestimates the intra-industry implications of early announcers’ earnings for late announcers’ earnings and the over-reaction is corrected when late announcers subsequently disclose their earnings. Specifically, TZ show that the price movements of late announcers in response to earnings reported by early announcers are significantly negatively related to the price responses to their own earnings reports. Surprisingly, TZ also find that the investor overreaction to intra-industry information transfers is surrounded by other positive own-firm and cross-firm return relations, which imply investor underreaction to all other earnings news. The apparent mispricing raises the question of why sophisticated investors do not take advantage of the mispricing opportunities and reinforce market efficiency through arbitrage activities.

The objective and contribution of our study is to re-examine the overreaction anomaly documented by TZ. We build on TZ and posit that the apparent mispricing of information transfers is related to the level of efficiency with which stock prices reflect the new information. Specifically, in the presence of inefficiencies, the documented overreaction may have been the consequence of the market’s inability to execute orders at the level of precision required to eliminate arbitrage. We expect the information transfers to be more complete for more-efficient firms that face lower arbitrage risk and to have strengthened over time as the US markets experienced rapid improvements in the efficiency of the underlying price formation processes.

Recent evidence by Chordia et al. (2014) suggests that many popular return anomalies (i.e., momentum, monthly reversals, analyst dispersion, post-earnings announcement drift, and accounting accruals) have materially diminished in both strength and significance, as the pricing efficiency of equities has increased in the

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 778 782 4355; fax: +1 778 782 4920.
E-mail addresses: dychung@sfu.ca (D.Y. Chung), karel_hrazdil@sfu.ca (K. Hrazdil), kim_trottier@sfu.ca (K. Trottier).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2015.08.013
0378-4266/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
recent regime of increased liquidity and markedly higher trading activity. Through their analysis of trends in cross-sectional predictability, Chordia et al. (2014) demonstrate that the Fama–MacBeth cross-sectional coefficient estimates and the decile-based hedge portfolio returns have attenuated from before to after decimation for the stocks traded on major US exchanges and that many portfolio strategies have experienced markedly diminished reward-risk ratios over time.

To test our market efficiency hypothesis, we use an innovative and more comprehensive measure of limits to arbitrage, than previously used, in the context of the overreaction anomaly. Recent developments in market microstructure give us a basis to explore the evolution of the price formation process and to study how efficiently information is incorporated into security prices. We rely on the market microstructure approach developed by Chordia et al. (2008, hereafter CRS), who conclude that short-horizon return predictability (SHRP) from historical order flows is an inverse indicator of market efficiency. The return predictability approach intends to capture the time over which market participants observe and extract information from order flows, ascertain whether there is new relevant information about firm values, take advantage of any predictable price movements, and eliminate any serial return dependence remaining after prices adjust to their new equilibrium levels. Because information is impounded in stock prices through trades, the CRS estimation of return predictability is a direct approach to assessing the efficiency of market makers, specialists, and arbitrageurs in processing current earnings information. This innovative approach based on market microstructure allows us to study the nature of the overreaction anomaly documented by TZ and to test whether this anomaly changed over time as the market became more efficient.

We first replicate TZ with the same period of 1973–2005 and confirm that price movements of late announcers in response to earnings reported by early announcers (RESP; the coefficient of interest) are significantly negatively related to the price responses to late announcers’ own earnings reports. We then extend the TZ analysis to a more recent sample period of 1993–2010, over which detailed trade and quote (TAQ) intraday market data are available. The use of this TAQ sample provides the data and the basis to derive SHRP as an empirical measure of market efficiency. We partition our sample into two groups based on median SHRP (an inverse indicator of market efficiency) and two equal time periods (before and after the move to decimal pricing, an exogenous event that significantly improved the efficiency of the price formation process). We document in the multivariate setting that the coefficient of interest, although negative over all time periods, is statistically significant only during the pre-decimalization period (1993–2001). Dissecting this result further, we also find that regressions based on subsamples of more-efficient firms (below median SHRP) and time periods during the post-decimalization era (2002–2010) all yield insignificant RESP coefficients. We further test the robustness of our results and estimate the standard errors of the regression coefficients in all of the regressions by clustering on both the firm and the time dimensions simultaneously, an approach developed by Petersen (2009) and Thompson (2011). The robustness tests confirm our results.

Overall, our findings portray an economically intuitive picture of a strong linkage between information transfers and market efficiency, and they challenge the existence of the overreaction anomaly during the post-decimalization period. Our results provide evidence that, in the recent years of markedly higher trading activity and market efficiency, intra-industry information transfers implied by the early announcer’s earnings reports are properly incorporated into the stock prices of late announcers when the late announcers subsequently report their own earnings. Our results are also consistent with the general conclusion of Chordia et al. (2014) that many popular return anomalies have diminished in strength and significance from before to after decimalization. Under the assumption that the SHRP is a valid proxy for inefficient environments with high barriers to arbitrage and the consequent extent to which information is impounded in prices, our results show that the SHRP measure captures the inefficient processing of information and can explain the predictable stock returns of industry peers before and after their earnings announcements.

The remainder of this study is organized as follows. We summarize the related research and propose our empirical predictions in Section 2. Section 3 develops measures for market efficiency, defines variables of interest, and describes the data. We discuss the main empirical results and cover robustness tests in Section 4. The final section concludes and offers opportunities for future research.

2. Background and hypothesis development

2.1. Research on intra-industry information transfers

Research on intra-industry information transfers examines the association between information released by a firm and the reaction of other (peer) firms in the same industry. The extant literature explores the peer firm reaction to a variety of announcements such as management forecasts (Han et al., 1989), dividend changes (Firth, 1996), dividend omissions (Caton et al., 2003), and unexpected earnings (Han and Wild, 1990). All studies provide evidence of a positive correlation between the announcing firm’s share price movement and the returns of peer firms in the same industry.

The magnitude of the peer share price reaction is explored and tested using various research approaches, although Frost (1995) warns that conclusions may be affected by the test method used. For instance, Chan et al. (2007) find that the information transfer is stronger for large companies than for small firms. Freeman and Tse (1992) find that the effect is stronger for good-
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