

Reactive Power and Voltage Control in Deregulated Environment

Dapu Zhao, Yixin Ni, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Jin Zhong, Shousun Chen

Abstract—Reactive power support and Voltage control is a key type of ancillary services in the deregulated electrical power market. A review of some important issues of reactive power support, including cost analysis, reactive power pricing, valuation, is presented in this paper. An Optimal Power Flow (OPF) is used based on real time pricing theory. Two object functions are modeled respectively: minimization of network loss and minimization of total cost to supply reactive power. A real-valued Genetic Algorithm (GA) is also used to help searching the global optimum and verify the solutions. An IEEE 30-bus system is used for the studies.

Index Terms—reactive power and voltage control, ancillary services, optimal power flow, genetic algorithm, reactive power costs

I. INTRODUCTION

Electric power systems all over the world are moving toward deregulated electricity markets. In power system operation, ancillary services are needed to ensure the generation and transmission. Reactive power and voltage control is one of the ancillary services used to maintain the voltage profile through injecting or absorbing reactive power. Reactive power services play an important role as follows:

- Satisfy the requirement of reactive power load.
- Control bus voltage in a system wide.
- Decrease the network loss.
- Relieve the transmission block.
- Provide sufficient reserve to ensure the security of system in emergency.

In integrated power systems, reactive power is provided and consumed in a simple manner. Power factor penalties have been used to pricing reactive power for decades. Reactive power support is regarded as the obligation of generators or grid owners. The system operators use a reactive power optimization program in which real power loss is considered. In this situation, the reactive power suppliers and consumers cannot make their own decision on how to use reactive power efficiently.

Reactive power support may be provided by a variety of devices, including generators, synchronous condensers, shunt capacitors/reactors and static VAR compensators (SVCs). In the deregulated environment, these devices

belong to different entities that have their own costs and benefits. There should be means to evaluate the reactive power resources and pricing it appropriately. The costs of the suppliers should be considered. A framework needs to be established to procure the service and remunerate the suppliers. The following part of this paper will focus on economic analysis of reactive power service.

Because a large percent of the reactive power requirement of loads are satisfied by the capacitors in current world, the role of capacitors and the effect of capacitors' location are also discussed. At last, the possibility of establishing a reactive power market will be discussed.

II. REACTIVE POWER ISSUES

A. Cost of reactive power

Although reactive power costs constitute only about 1% of total power industry costs [1], it's still important to make it clearly analyzed when the reactive power market is concerned. In economics, the total cost of commodities consists of a fixed cost component and a variable cost component.

Fixed cost is mainly the capital investment of equipments. Generators, although their main purpose is producing real power, they play an important role in many other ancillary services. Some markets roughly think that the investment cost is all real power cost. Several research works propose to allocate this cost to different function [2]. One simple way is to divide it according to the power factor. Since the reactive power produced by generators is equivalent to that of synchronous condensers, the cost of condensers can be used as a proxy to estimate the cost of generators [3].

Variable costs in economics are those costs connected to the output quantity. Without any fuel cost to generate reactive power, the variable cost of generators include maintenance and operation cost and opportunity cost.

Opportunity cost is considered as the most important part of reactive power cost. The capacity of generators is limited by the synchronous generator armature current limit, the field current limit, and the under-excitation limits. Because of these limits, the production of reactive power may require a reduction of real power output. Opportunity cost is the lost benefit of this reduction of real power output of the generator. A method to calculate opportunity cost is provided in [2].

Other equipments like synchronous condensers, shunt capacitors, STATCOMs, and SVCs don't produce real power, so they don't have opportunity cost.

B. Value of reactive power

The value of reactive power support is related to their

Dapu Zhao is with the Department of Electrical engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China and the Graduate School at Shenzhen, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen 518055, China. (e-mail: zdp00@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn).

Yixin Ni and Jin Zhong are with the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. (e-mail: yxni@eee.hku.hk, jzhong@eee.hku.hk)

Shousun Chen is with the Department of Electrical engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China. (e-mail: luochen@163bj.com)

contributions to system security and stability. The value measure the effect to control system voltage profile, reduce network loss and the power block. A reasonable valuation method can encourage and conduct investigation of reactive power equipment.

The value of reactive power are not depend on the cost, but related to several factors. Reactive power is location dependent, and long distance transfer is not wanted. A reactive power source near the load center can satisfy the requirement more efficiently, so it has a higher value. The resources which can provide reactive power dynamically can respond a reactive power requirement immediately take more advantages to maintain the stability of the system. Thus the dynamic resources such as synchronous condensers are more valuable than shunt capacitors.

The concept of “value curves” is introduced to quantify the relative importance of dynamic reactive power sources in [4]. An equivalent reactive compensation (ERC) method was proposed to determine the value curves. Another method using a sensitivity analysis is also proposed.

C. Pricing of reactive power support

In vertically integrated power industries, the cost of reactive power support is normally recovered by including the cost in the real power price or using load power factor penalty. These methods ignore the value contribution of reactive power for the system control.

Pricing of reactive power support has been an important issue. An accurate pricing structure of reactive power is not only profitable to recover the costs of reactive power providers, but also provide useful economic information for real-time operations.

There are two kinds of pricing mechanisms in electricity markets: the spot price based on the marginal cost of system production, which realized a social welfare maximum, and the cost allocation method, which aim at cost recovery.

1) Real time pricing

The spot price theory was proposed by F. C. Schweppe [5]. The spot price for electric energy buying and selling is determined by the supply and demand conditions at that instant. The theory is extended to reactive power in [6] and a real-time pricing structure of reactive power is established. Capital costs are included in reactive power price in [3], and opportunity cost are considered as the reactive power production cost of generators in [2].

Real-time pricing approach can provide economic information for system operation. However, it has several disadvantages in practical applications. In some cases, the real-time price is quite sensitive to the system constraints and operation conditions, which could lead to considerable price fluctuations. The real-time price is usually obtained from OPF based algorithms, which have convergence problems because of numerous nonlinear constraints. The revenue gained by the real-time price may not be sufficient to recover the total cost. Furthermore, it doesn't consider the higher value of dynamic resources.

Several modified OPF approaches are proposed to solve above problems. A decoupled optimal power flow formulation is used to carry out active and reactive power pricing simultaneously and independently [7]. In their formulation, the problem is divided into two sub-problems. The real power sub-problem optimizes the cost of

generation, and the reactive sub-problem optimizes the network loss. Another decoupled method is proposed in [8]. The active power sub-problem minimizes total operating costs of providing active power, while the reactive power sub-problem minimizes the total operating costs of providing reactive power plus a specified amount of active power at the balance generator.

2) Cost allocation

The principle of cost allocation methods is allocating the total cost of reactive power supply to each load. Traditional allocation method can also used in a reactive power cost allocation, such as postage stamp, contract path, and MW mile method. However, these methods are too rough and are not suitable in electrical market.

Several new style methods are proposed. A power flow tracing based cost allocation method for reactive power service has been suggested in [9]. The method provides useful information for reactive power planning and recover the reactive power production and transmission cost properly.

D. Consideration of voltage control

Voltage control is an important aspect of reactive power service. However, it isn't considered in most pricing structures because of the difficulty to determine which part of reactive power is used for voltage control. In [10], reactive power support of generators is defined into two functions: reactive power delivery and voltage control. Reactive power delivery satisfies reactive demands of loads, and voltage control enforces the voltage profile of the system under normal and emergence conditions. Both parts are charge independently. In [11], the reactive power services an decomposed into two types: one is voltage profile management and reactive dispatch; the other is voltage control. Accordingly, the price is also decouple d into a part related to the system losses cost and apart related to the security margins enhancement.

E. Establishment of reactive power market

To establish a competitive reactive power market is the most effective way to promote the social benefits. By making costs and price more transparent, it may encourage greater efficiencies in the provision and consumption of reactive power. The question of unbundling reactive power service is about how reactive power supplies should be organized and priced, and how reactive power costs should be recovered from consumers.

A reactive power procurement structure is proposed in [12]. A social advantage function is maximized for the ISO to determine how to procure reactive power service form the suppliers. The design of a competitive market for reactive power services is presented in [13]. A compromise function is used to consider several contradictory objectives such as total payment, system loss and transaction curtailment. The same authors designed a localized competitive market based on localized voltage control areas [14].

III. MODEL AND ALGORITHMS

A. OPF model

In this paper we assume the active and reactive power demands are known and kept constant during OPF solution.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات