TracED: A tool for capturing and tracing engineering design processes

María Luciana Roldán *, Silvio Gonnet, Horacio Leone

CIDISI – INGAR/UTN – CONICET, Avellaneda 3657, 3000 Santa Fe, Argentina

ABSTRACT

The design of products or production processes in many engineering disciplines such as chemical, or software engineering, involves many creative and sometimes unstructured activities, with an opportunistic control flow. During these design processes, several models are generated, which have different levels of abstraction of the object being designed. Given the difficulties in dealing with this complexity using an improvised way, there is an urgent need for tools that support the capture and tracing of this process. In this proposal, TracED, a computational environment to support the capture and tracing of engineering design process is presented. It allows defining a particular engineering design domain and supporting the capture of how products under development are transformed along an engineering design process. Particularly, in this work, we consider software architectures design domain. As in any complex process, the support of computational tools is required for enabling its capture.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Product and production process design in many engineering disciplines such as chemical, or software engineering is a challenging task. Over the last 30 years, the engineering design process has been transformed by the introduction of massive computing power, where problem-solving environments (PSEs) play a main role. PSEs aim to assist engineers in solving several complex tasks. A design PSE must be able to handle all requirements of a design team and to integrate with the stages of the design process. Moreover, it must be configurable by the design team itself to suit each new problem as it is tackled [1]. The design PSE should be flexible and should not constrain the task of the designer in an unnatural manner [2]. In order to assist engineers during the design process, it is necessary to understand such a process and to have a computer representation of it. However, design problems are ill-structured, because the designer does never have enough information in the initial state and the properties of the goal state are never fully specified in advance. Therefore, many different goal states are conceivable and acceptable [3,4]. All this become designing in a nondeterministic process, which is difficult to model and even more difficult to prescribe [5]. As a consequence of the previously pointed out features, there is a real need of supporting tools that could capture how an engineering design process was carried out. By having such tools, the tracking and tracing of the design process would be possible, as well as the analysis of its rationale.

In this way, the design experts' knowledge could be captured, thus providing the foundations for learning and training activities and future reuse.

Several attempts to provide support to the design process in different engineering domains have been reported [6–8]. Some tools are based on design reasoning capture by means of the concepts proposed by the IBIS model [9]. Another line of research related to design is the management of development processes products (where products are models, data, diagrams, etc.). For some time now, there have been widely used systems for managing products and their versions [10]. This practice responds to the basic need of storing and organizing the products of a development process [11]. These management systems, like software configurations-managing systems, are focused on products, and they do not consider the design process tracing. Consequently, these tools do not satisfy the need of capturing the design process together with its reasoning.

In this paper, *TracED*, a computational environment to support the capture and tracing of engineering design process is proposed. The environment is based on a generic model for capturing the design process in terms of the operations applied to the design objects [12]. Its goal is the capture of the developed model versions during a design process; in other words, it represents the design states and how they were obtained. The environment was designed with the requirement of supporting various design domains, therefore, *TracED* could be adapted to each new design problem, according to the particular concepts of a given design domain and the possible operations that can be applied over the instances of those concepts. For example, regarding software engineering, one of the most important stages is the software architecture design process

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +54 342 4534451; fax: +54 342 4553439.
E-mail addresses: lroldan@santafe-conicet.gov.ar (M.L. Roldán), sgonnet@santafe-conicet.gov.ar (S. Gonnet), hleone@santafe-conicet.gov.ar (H. Leone).

(SADP), to which research and industry communities have paid special attention in the last decade [13]. The software architecture of a computing system is the structure of the system, which comprise software elements, the externally visible properties of those elements, and the relationships among them [13]. It is the first artefact that may be analyzed to determine how well software quality attributes (performance, modifiability, availability and so on) are being achieved. In addition, the software architecture serves as mean of communication, is the manifestation of earliest design decisions, and is a re-usable abstraction that can be transferred to new systems. It gives a high return on investment because decisions made for the architecture have substantial downstream consequences and because checking and fixing a software architecture is relatively inexpensive. As other complex engineering design processes, SADP involves several activities such as exploration, evaluation, and composition of design alternatives [14,15]. In order to address these activities, the research community has been working intensively in the achievement of modelling languages [16,17], design methods [13] and computer environments for architect assistance [18–20]. Those tools are focused on assisting designers in generating a software architecture design to satisfy a set of requirements. However, regular design tools often left out documentation of associated rationale, design decisions and applied knowledge. These omissions stem from the fact that such information may be intuitive or obvious to the architects involved in the design process, or from the lack of adequate computer-aided environments that allow them to support the design process and the software maintenance (to fix bugs, to implement new functionalities, etc.). Thus, most architectural design knowledge and architectural design decisions made through SADP remain in the minds of experienced designers, and are lost over time. Consequently, capturing design decisions is highly important to capitalize previous designs. To this aim, this contribution assumes an operational perspective where design decisions are modelled by means of design operations.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2, a conceptual and generic model for capturing and tracing a design process is presented. The proposed model employs a versioning administration approach based on an operational perspective. It is not intended for a specific domain; on the contrary, it can be applied to different domains such as software [21] and chemical engineering [12]. Then, this section introduces suitable extensions for making it applicable to the software architecture design process. Therefore, the model is outlined in an object-oriented approach to provide the foundations for developing a computational tool that enables capturing and tracing a design process; particularly the definition of the necessary concepts and operations for SADP domain are included. Afterwards, the core tools of TracED are presented in Section 3. This section describes how a particular engineering design domain can be defined on TracED, and then, by using it, the several products of a design process can be captured and traced. As a conducting example, we present a case study on the software architectures domain, in order to illustrate the approach. In Section 4, related research is analyzed. Finally, conclusions and an outline for further work round out this article in Section 5.

2. A model to capture and trace the engineering design process

As it was introduced in the previous section, it is necessary to have a computational environment to support the capture and tracing of engineering design processes. As a result, it would be possible to think about the reuse of parts of previous projects to modify, extend, and integrate it according to new needs, as stated by Concheri and Milanese [22]. They also denote that in order to

provide such features, all the information involved and used in the design process should be formalized and modelled in a suitable format for automatic data processing. Therefore, the scheme to capture and trace an engineering design process proposed in this paper is a mixed approach that combines object-oriented technology and situational calculus [23,24]. This choice is justified by the following reasons: (i) object-oriented approaches make the knowledge representation task much simpler because they reflect a more natural view of the domain to be modelled, and the model extension requires no strategic changes in the structure of the knowledge base itself; (ii) by means of the situation calculus, the evolution of the products of a design project is represented. The situation calculus is a first-order language for representing changes, sometimes enriched with some second-order features [24]. The basic concepts are *situations*, *actions*, and *fluents*. Briefly, actions are what make the dynamic world change from one situation to another. Fluents are situation-dependent functions used to describe the effects of actions. Possible world histories, which are sequences of actions, are represented by first order terms called situations. Situation calculus is perfectly suitable to model dynamic worlds, which, in this case, is the evolution of a model in an engineering design process. This evolution is specified by using successor state axioms, first order formulas that encode the axioms about how the products of a design project evolve. Therefore, situation calculus is used to formalize the evolution of a design process and object-oriented technology to represent the main concepts to enable the construction of computational tools that implement the formulated specification.

The proposed scheme considers the design process as a sequence of activities that operate on the products of the design process, named *design objects*. Typical *design objects* are models of the artefact being designed (i.e. an information system, an industrial piece of equipment, or a chemical process plant), specifications to be met (i.e. stream purity specs, products' throughput for process system engineering, quality attributes such as modifiability or performance for software engineering), variable values (i.e. reflux ratios, number of stages of a separation unit, operating temperatures and pressures, etc.). Naturally, these objects evolve as the design process takes place, giving rise to several versions that must be kept. These versions may be considered as snapshots taken to design objects at a given point of time; and the set of those versions conform a *model version*. A *model version* describes the state of the design process in that time, including the artefact being designed. For example, Fig. 1 partially shows two model versions, m_k and m_q. Both model versions are the result of a fragment of a SADP and include the structure of the artefact being designed. The example corresponds to the case study described in Section 3.

In this scheme, each *model version* is generated by applying a *sequence of operations* on a predecessor model version. The sequence of operations may include the elimination, creation, and modification of *versions* that constitute the *predecessor model version*. As it is exemplified in Fig. 1, the model version m_q is obtained from the model version m_k by deleting the *WebApplication* component and adding the components *View*, *Model*, and *Controller*, with their ports and connectors. Therefore, all *model versions* may have zero or more *successor model versions* and must have only one *predecessor model version* (except for the *initial model version*, which does not have a *predecessor model version*). Consequently, the representation scheme of versions is a tree structure, where each *model version* is a node and the root is the *initial model version*. This tree structure is illustrated in Fig. 1. There, it is possible to see the several *successor model versions* that have been proposed (*Model Version* m_i, *Model Version* m_k) from the *initial model version* (*Model Version* m_0), by applying the sequence of operations ϕ_i and ϕ_k, respectively. This model evolution is posed as a history made up of discrete situations. The situation calculus [23,24] is adopted