An ergonomic approach to reducing back/shoulder stress in hospital nursing personnel: a five year follow up
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Abstract

The purpose of the study was to determine the impact of an ergonomic program on perceived stress ratings, injury rates and patient care. After implementation of the ergonomic program, the perceived stress ratings by nursing staff were lower than those ratings at the control hospital and the patients felt more comfortable and secure during patient handling tasks than the patients at the control hospital. Eighteen months after ergonomic interventions, the back and shoulder injuries were reduced, and the lost workdays and restricted/transitional days were decreased. Five years after the implementation, the back and shoulder injuries continued to decrease as well as the lost workdays and restricted days. At the control hospital, the back and shoulder injury rates, the lost workdays, and the restricted days remained stable throughout the study period. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

The problem of back and shoulder injuries among health care workers is not new. Studies from the United States (US) and other countries dating back to the 1960s and 1970s have documented this problem. Jensen et al. (1989) located ninety studies up to 1988 that described the problem. Many of these studies identified patient lifting and transferring tasks as major factors associated with these injuries; these tasks involved risk factors including heavy weights, forceful exertions, and awkward and twisting postures. In 1984, Klein et al. (1984) found, through analysis of workers’ compensation claims, that nursing personnel ranked among the top five occupations for work-related back injuries. These health care workers were surpassed only by occupations involving heavy physical labor which were miscellaneous laborers, sanitation workers, warehouse workers and mechanics.

The back injury rate among health care workers remains high in recent times. In 1993, for example, nursing assistants led all occupations in overexertion injuries in the US according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS, 1995). The overexertion injury rate for nursing home workers was four times higher than the average rate for all private industry. In 1995, the overexertion rate for nursing home workers was still four times higher than for all of private industry (BLS, 1997a). That same year, the overexertion injury rate for home health care workers was more than double that of private industry while the rates for hospital health care workers was almost double that of private industry (BLS, 1997b).

The Healthy People 2000 goal for the US was to reduce injuries in nursing personnel from 12.7 per 100 full time (FT) workers to 9 per 100 FT workers (USDHHS, 1990); however, the rate had actually increased to 17.8 in 1995 (USDHHS, 1997). (These...
non-fatall injuries include more than just the over exertion injuries, but these latter injuries make up the greatest numbers of injuries.) The Healthy People 2010 goals do not specifically single out nursing personnel for over exertion injuries; however, the publication does cite that low-back disorders remains a high priority for research (USDHHS, 2000).

In 2000, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS, 2000), reported on the incidence rates of non-fatall occupational injuries for private sector industries with 100,000 or more cases; the incidence rate for nursing and personal care facilities was second highest in the nation. Only scheduled air transportation surpassed the nursing rate.

These statistics represent work-related injuries that have been reported. In the preamble to the proposed ergonomics rule, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration presented numerous studies showing that work-related musculoskeletal disorders are underreported (USDHHS, 1999). Data suggest the problem is even greater than what study data have indicated. Owen (1989) found that 38% of 503 nurses surveyed stated they had episodes of occupationally related back pain lasting more than three days, but only 34% of those with back pain actually filed an incident report.

Marras et al. (1999) conducted an analysis of low-back injury risk and spinal loading during transferring and repositioning patients to investigate why health care workers are at such high risk of low-back injury. These researchers found that performing common patient handling tasks (e.g., transferring, repositioning) places excessive physical compressive force on the spine. The researchers used both a comprehensive evaluation system (low-back disorder risk model) and a theoretical model (biomechanical spinal loading model) to evaluate the risk of low-back disorder of 17 participants performing these patient handling tasks. They found many of the tasks approached and even surpassed the 6400N maximum compression tolerance limits of force to L5S1. Even when the subjects used a draw sheet the 6400N maximum compression tolerance limits of many of the tasks approached and even surpassed performing these patient handling tasks. They found the risk of low-back disorder of 17 participants model (biomechanical spinal loading model) to evaluate system (low-back disorder risk model) and a theoretical

The researchers used both a comprehensive evaluation approach to decrease back/shoulder problems have been tried in general industry as well as in health care settings. Emphasis has been primarily on education and training with a definite focus on body mechanics; however, these approaches have had little impact on the problem (Daltroy, 1997; Lagerstrom and Hagberg, 1997; Personick, 1990). With these approaches, the aim has been to change the worker instead of changing the job or the task. Studies have indicated that an ergonomic approach has been successful in the laboratory setting and the long term care and hospital settings (Charney, 1998; Owen and Garg, 1993; Owen et al., 1995; Owen and Fragala, 1999; Owen and Hasler-Hansen, 1999). In this approach, the physical demands of the job are changed; it involves the assessment of stressful tasks, the development of alternative methods to decrease physical stress to the body, and application of these methods to the job.

The purpose of this paper is to describe an ergonomic intervention study and the effectiveness of this program over a five year period. Preliminary data from the intervention study were published in Owen et al. (1995).

2. Methodology

Ergonomic intervention study (Study I). A quasi experimental study design was used to compare the perceived exertion felt by the nursing staff in two hospitals when carrying out selected patient handling tasks. In the experimental hospital, an ergonomic program was instituted and the subjects used various assistive devices in moving the patients. In the control hospital, the usual methods of lifting and transferring the patients were used.

Follow up study (Study II). Injury data, including number of back and shoulder injuries, lost workdays, and restricted (also called light duty or transitional) workdays were collected on an annual basis. A structured interview was conducted with the staff development nurse who was head of the ergonomic program at the experimental hospital.

2.1. Setting

This study took place on the medical-surgical units of two rural hospitals located in the mid-western part of the US. Both hospitals had a 40 bed capacity. Although both of these hospitals are small, they are quite representative of rural America. Approximately 70% of the bed capacity were for medical-surgical patients in both hospitals. The experimental site had a large
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