A continuous improvement approach to social and emotional competency measurement
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A four-year research project by a researcher-practitioner partnership to develop a self-report measure of student social and emotional competency to identify at-risk students and guide practice is described. A continuous improvement approach facilitated work towards this goal. Items developed collaboratively by the partnership were administered annually to all 5th, 6th, 8th, and 11th graders in WCSD in the context of their online school climate survey. Despite strong construct validity, initial Rasch analysis revealed a substantial ceiling effect inhibiting assessment of students at the mid-to-high range of social and emotional ability. Student focus groups informed by a latent class analysis were conducted and expert practitioners and scholars refined the items. The process resulted in an improved measure as well as more consistent district-wide survey administration. Strengths and challenges of the scale development process and data use strategies are discussed along with recommendations for future assessment development efforts.
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In recent years, increased attention has been paid to the importance of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competent. The enhanced focus is the result of research documenting that the social and emotional competency of students’ social and emotional competencies (SECs), or the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to be personally and socially competence for ensuring that measures intended to assess SECs in educational contexts are high quality and useful. These include, among others, the need for SEC assessments to be: 1) conducted on a large scale without the need for trained clinicians or researchers, 2) based on strong theoretical models, 3) informed by educators so that they are practical and solve “real world” problems that teachers care about, and 4) able to assess a range of dimensions that can develop a comprehensive picture of a student’s social and emotional needs and strengths.

In line with these recommendations, current Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing call for an approach to measure development that views reliability and validity as fluid properties that vary across populations, locations, and time, rather than fixed traits of instruments (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education, 2014). The Standards also encourage test adopters to weight reliability and validity evidence with the intended use of the measure in mind, and emphasize the importance of considering the applicability of existing evidence for local contexts, the accumulation of new evidence, where needed, and the periodic revision of tests. This kind of iterative test development and refinement process has been common for standardized tests that assess academic constructs, which are often developed by testing companies who regularly re-examine and refresh item pools and accumulate evidence through multiple
strategies including large norming samples as well as cognitive interviews with test takers and content reviews by experts. Although testing companies do not always implement this continuous improvement approach completely and successfully, scrutiny from stakeholders and competition for large contracts has encouraged its intentional adoption throughout the test development, delivery, and revision process (Wild & Ramaswamy, 2008).

In the area of SECs, greater attention is spawning new assessments, and existing measures are sometimes developed with, or disseminated by, testing and publishing companies. Yet, historically, SEC measures have also frequently been developed by independent scholars or research teams, sometimes reflecting substantial content knowledge, but not the continuous measure improvement approach discussed above. Many developers of these measures have aimed for a fixed and relatively small list of items, with scoring based on simple item sums or averages, and revisions avoided to maintain cross-time comparability (see Denham, 2016 for a description of several established SEC measures). As a result, assessments are rarely adapted to meet the needs of local contexts. Past reliance on classical test theory approaches has also focused on test-level information for reliability and validity evidence (e.g., Cronbach’s alpha). In contrast, the IRT approaches more common in testing firms offer detailed item-level statistics, support large item banks, and readily link old and new item sets through common items (Gordon, 2014, 2015). Leveraging these strengths of IRT can facilitate continuous improvement, including adjusting to school contexts where districts regularly re-administer surveys to students and may want to tailor items to local needs (including evolving student demographics, applicability across grade levels and to state-, district- or school-standards and pedagogy, and desire to present students with fresh items rather than the same small set year after year).

The project described in this paper applied the continuous measure improvement approach to the development of a student self-report rating of social and emotional competence. Implementation of our approach relied on a researcher-practitioner partnership, which bridged the psychometric expertise of academic scholars with the on-the-ground research and practice expertise in the school district. This project used a four-year, mixed-method, iterative approach to identify and address the key measurement challenges of the instrument, focusing on the intended goal of the partnership: to assess a large population of students with a range of SEC ability so that early intervention with students who need instructional support can occur. Rasch-based analyses of student survey items provided item-level statistics, latent class analyses identified groups of students that contributed to an identified ceiling effect, and focus groups explored reasons for the ceiling effect and helped refine items and the survey environment. This approach also offered local validity evidence by developing and refining items in relation to school district standards for SEC instructional practice and with input from teachers and students. The project also emphasized a continuous improvement approach, using item-level statistics based on analyses of each year’s survey to iteratively improve the item bank, ensure that items covered the full range of each construct, and allow selection of item subsets to be used at particular grade levels and in repeated administrations.

The project resulted in the development of a bank of 138 student self-report items that assess eight subdimensions of SECs. From this larger bank of items, two subsets (which we refer to as instruments in the EWRI’s effectiveness in predicting graduation rates for a sample of students who were 9th graders in the district in 2009. Results showed that only one-third of all “High Risk” 9th grade students beat the odds and graduated four years later, compared with 79% of students identified as “No Risk” in the 9th grade. Although impressed at its predictive utility, WCSD developed an interest in the 34% of high risk students who had some resilient characteristics unidentified by the model that allowed them to overcome substantial academic obstacles in the 9th grade and graduate four years later.

As a result of participating in the CDI, WCSD had collected the self-report SEC ratings mentioned above and wanted to explore whether SEC served as a protective factor that contributed to student resilience. Ultimately, WCSD intended to include the measure as part of its interactive online data reports which include the EWRI scores alongside academic and behavioral data to allow for improved educational decision-making. CASEL intended to use the measure to disseminate nationally with guidance to districts interested in using the assessment as part of their SEL measurement systems. Given these intended uses, both organizations wanted to deepen and broaden the evidence base about the measure.

The district data on student SEC, collected through its participation in the CDI evaluation, showed the subdimensions of the measure had moderate reliabilities (α = 0.68 to 0.74), but also substantial ceiling effects. For example, 18% of WCSD students rated themselves at the highest level on all four items (Very True for Me) in the Social Awareness subdimension, and 14% rated themselves at the highest level on all seven items of the Self-Management subdimension. High self-ratings...
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