Examining the impact of privacy, trust and risk perceptions beyond monetary transactions: An integrated model
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1. Introduction

Sixty-two percent of Internet users are concerned about their online privacy (Han and Maclaurin 2002). Studies (Gefen et al. 2003a, Hoffman et al. 1999, Pavlou and Gefen 2004) have shown that trust and privacy concerns continue to be two main components for the decision to disclose personal information on the Internet. Both trust (Chau et al. 2007, Corbitt et al. 2003, Eastlick et al. 2006, Slyke et al. 2006) and privacy concerns (Dyke et al. 2007, Eastlick et al. 2006, Kim 2008, Liu et al. 2005, Malhotra et al., 2004) are also frequently cited in the literature as the main reasons for an individual’s lack of interest to establish an online relationship with merchants.

Privacy and trust have received much attention in the literature for their relationships with online transactions, but today’s privacy and trust issues are not limited to only activities relating to online transactions. Many activities on the Internet (games, information sharing, insurance quotes, surfing, etc.) also have an effect on one’s privacy. In this study, we focus on the consumer’s intention to engage in two types of activities (online transactions and online privileged information searching) that require some form of personal identifiable information.

Intention to transact refers to the willingness to be engaged in monetary transactions, which many times involve the exchange of accurate personal or private information. Today, many online non-monetary activities also involve the exchange of personal or private information. For example, using web sites that provide personalized stock quotes, insurance rates, loan comparisons, availability of services and credit reports all require certain personal or private information, but such activities do not necessarily require a direct monetary exchange with the web site. Some may lead to future purchases, but some may just be for personal reasons. These activities provide privileged information to the recipients, that involves the kind of information or advantage available to certain groups of people who willfully opt for the services by entering into a membership, subscription, affiliation or any sort of non-obligated service engagement. These activities are frequently associated with comparison shopping, pre-purchase information gathering and post-purchase service quotes. Thus, we propose the intention to retrieve privileged information as a variable that refers to an individual’s willingness to provide personal or private information in exchange for certain privileged or customized information from the Internet. Similar to willingness to transact online, this variable involves the exchange of personal and private information, thus several constructs (e.g., privacy, trust, and perceived risk) relating to intention to transact may also
Privacy issues include unauthorized sharing of personal information, spam from the online retailer, and disclosure of the patterns of online customer shopping behavior (Miyazaki and Fernandez 2001). In online shopping, while sellers take advantage of personal information to gain an edge, buyers often view this as an invasion of privacy (Culnan and Armstrong 1999). Table 1 summarizes two popular types of measures for privacy concerns: general versus specific. General privacy concerns refer to the privacy concerns in general, while specific privacy concerns apply to specific situations or entities (Li et al. 2010).

Malhotra et al. (2004) found that the second-order Internet users’ information privacy concerns (IUIPC) factor, which consists of three first-order dimensions (collection, control, and awareness), exhibited desirable psychometric properties in the context of online privacy. In addition, Chen and Rea (2004) tested Internet users’ ability to control their private information and the relationship of privacy controls with two types of privacy concerns: unauthorized secondary use and concerns about giving out private information. Furthermore, Słyke et al. (2006), Dyke et al. (2007), Korzaan and Boswell (2008) and Xu and Gupta (2009) examined the role of consumers’ concerns for information privacy (CFIP) on their behavioral intention to engage in online transactions. In summary, privacy is a major concern when online customers make a transaction (Udo 2001).

2.2. Trust

Mayer et al. (1995) defined trust as, “the willingness of a party to be vulnerable to the actions of another party based on the expectation that the other will perform a particular action important to the truster, irrespective of the ability to monitor or control that other party.” Trust is crucial in many transactional relationships, especially those containing an element of risk, such as online transactions (Reichheld and Schefter 2000).

In the relationship marketing, trust is generally viewed as an essential ingredient for a successful relationship (Moorman et al. 1993, Morgan and Hunt 1994). Trust is seen as a form of confidence on a partner as a whole (Moorman et al. 1993) and the partner’s reliability and integrity (Morgan and Hunt 1994). Previous studies have also shown that trust is the most critical factor in explaining the success of e-commerce (Jarvenpaa et al. 2000, Kim et al. 2010, McKnight et al. 2002).

In the context of consumer trust in e-commerce, McKnight et al. (2002) defined trust as “one believes in, and is willing to depend on, another party.” Trust is driven by past experience, long-term orientation, positive trusting stance, and feeling of control (Jarvenpaa and Tractinsky 1999). Jarvenpaa et al. (1998) found that trust consists of three distinct factors: ability, integrity and benevolence. These three beliefs affect the members of virtual team’s trusting behavioral intentions, meaning their willingness to depend on other team members. Jarvenpaa et al. (2000) noted that trust is an important factor in any relationship in which the trustor does not have direct control over the actions of a trustee (e.g., e-vendor). In addition, Gefen (2002) defined trust as a single dimensional construct dealing with a consumer’s assessment that the e-vendor is trustworthy. Gefen et al. (2003a) pointed out that trust consists of four distinct dimensions: integrity, benevolence, ability, and predictability. In recent years, most of studies measured trust by all or parts of these four dimensions (Chow and Angie 2006, Kim and Ahn 2006, Słyke et al. 2006). A summary of findings is in Table 2.

2.3. Intention to retrieve privileged information

A popular focus of privacy and trust studies is how the two concepts relate to online transactions (Gefen et al. 2003a, Pavlou and
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