

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Superseding Dublin: The European asylum system as a non-cooperative game

Author: Enzo Rossi

PII: S0144-8188(17)30019-4
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.irl.2017.06.003>
Reference: IRL 5768



To appear in: *International Review of Law and Economics*

Received date: 8-11-2016
Revised date: 20-6-2017
Accepted date: 27-6-2017

Please cite this article as: & Rossi, Enzo., Superseding Dublin: The European asylum system as a non-cooperative game. *International Review of Law and Economics* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.irl.2017.06.003>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Superseding Dublin: the European asylum system as a non-cooperative game

by Enzo Rossi

University of Rome 'Tor Vergata', Italy. Department of Economics and Finance and CREG (Economic and Legal Research Centre). Via Columbia 2, 00173-Rome, Italy. e-mail: enzo.rossi@uniroma2.it

Highlights

- We represent the European Common Asylum System as a non-cooperative game
- A trade-off between control and respect of rights determines the outcome of the game
- Increasing respect of rights can favour a quota system vs. the Dublin system
- This would represent a basic form of cooperation

Abstract

The European asylum system operates according to the 'Dublin system' rules, where the responsible Member State will be the state through which the asylum seeker first entered the EU. This has been immediately a source of controversy between states. A fairer system would be based on quotas, where host countries share the burden according to appropriate criteria, but member states never reached a common agreement. In this paper we offer a vision of the European asylum system as a non-cooperative game where states compete to reduce their asylum burden. As the two different systems (Dublin-like or quotas) lead to different equilibriums, according to the Pareto criterion the preferred system is the one where all states are better able to achieve their national objectives. We examine the conditions under which a relocation system based on quotas may be preferable to the Dublin rules, and why greater consideration of the humanitarian aspects of asylum can favour its adoption and reinforce cohesion of the system.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات