Underwriter relationships and shelf offerings

Mark Humphery-Jenner, Sigitas Karpavicius, Jo-Ann Suchard

PII: S0929-1199(17)30521-7
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.01.004
Reference: CORFIN 1342

To appear in: *Journal of Corporate Finance*

Received date: 31 August 2017
Revised date: 22 December 2017
Accepted date: 8 January 2018

Underwriter relationships and shelf offerings

Mark Humphery-Jenner*, Sigitas Karpavicius†, Jo-Ann Suchard‡

Abstract

We compare the motivations for switching underwriters between seasoned equity offerings (SEOs) for both shelf offerings and traditional offerings. Shelf offerings have risen in importance and accounted for more than 90% of SEOs in 2015. In traditional offerings, the underwriter is selected before the terms and pricing of the deal are set. In contrast, shelf issuers request proposals or bids from underwriters for the sale of securities and the underwriter is selected based on the pricing, terms and services offered in the bid. The competitive and transactional nature of the shelf registered market may reduce switching costs for the issuer and potentially increases the issuer's bargaining power. This suggests that underwriter switching in shelf offerings might have different, heretofore unexplored, drivers from traditional offerings. The results suggest that cost-considerations motivate switching in shelf offerings whereas underwriter reputation motivates switching in traditional offerings. However, changes in underwriter reputation can themselves be associated with changes in cost. Cost considerations also impact switching from traditional offerings to shelf offerings.

Key words: Underwriter switching; Reputation; Seasoned equity offerings; Shelf offerings.

JEL classifications: G24; L14.

The authors thank the seminar and brownbag participants at UNSW Business School, University of Technology, Sydney, Financial Management Association Asian Meeting (2014), University of South Australia Finance Colloquium (2014), and Australasian Finance and Banking Conference (2014). We would also like to thank Johan Maharjan, Ron Masulis, William Megginson, Katushi Suzuki, and Bill Wilhelm. Humphery-Jenner and Suchard received support from Australian Research Council Grant DP140103039.

* UNSW Business School and Columbia Law School. Email: mlhj@unsw.edu.au
† Adelaide Business School, The University of Adelaide. Email: sigitas.karpavicius@adelaide.edu.au
‡ UNSW Business School. Email: j.suchard@unsw.edu.au