#### Accepted Manuscript

A comparison of land use change accounting methods: Seeking common grounds for key modeling choices in biofuel assessments

Koldo Saez de Bikuña, Lorie Hamelin, Michael Zwicky Hauschild, Kim Pilegaard, Andreas Ibrom

PII: S0959-6526(17)33164-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.180

Reference: JCLP 11575

To appear in: Journal of Cleaner Production

Received Date: 16 May 2017

Revised Date: 20 December 2017

Accepted Date: 20 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Saez de Bikuña K, Hamelin L, Hauschild MZ, Pilegaard K, Ibrom A, A comparison of land use change accounting methods: Seeking common grounds for key modeling choices in biofuel assessments, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.180.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## A comparison of land use change

### <sup>2</sup> accounting methods: seeking common

- <sup>3</sup> grounds for key modeling choices in
- 4 biofuel assessments

Koldo Saez de Bikuña<sup>1\*</sup>, Lorie Hamelin<sup>2</sup>, Michael Zwicky Hauschild<sup>3</sup>, Kim Pilegaard<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Ibrom<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> DTU Environment, Atmospheric Environment section. Bygningstorvet 115, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.
- 6 <sup>2</sup> Hamelinlab, 173 Chemin de la Salade Ponsan, 31400 Toulouse, France.
- 7 <sup>3</sup> DTU Management, Quantitative Sustainability Assessment division. Produktionstorvet 424, 2800 Kgs.
- 8 Lyngby, Denmark.
- 9 <sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: koldodobikuna@gmail.com

#### 10 Abstract

Five currently used methods to account for the global warming (GW) impact of the induced land-use change 11 12 (LUC) greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have been applied to four biofuel case studies. Two of the investigated methods attempt to avoid the need of considering a definite occupation -thus amortization-13 14 period by considering ongoing LUC trends as a dynamic baseline. This leads to the accounting of a small fraction (0.8%) of the related emissions from the assessed LUC, thus their validity is disputed. The 15 16 comparison of methods and contrasting case studies illustrated the need of clearly distinguishing between the 17 different time horizons involved in life cycle assessments (LCA) of land-demanding products like biofuels. 18 Absent in ISO standards, and giving rise to several confusions, definitions for the following time horizons 19 have been proposed: technological scope, inventory model, impact characterization, amortization/occupation, 20 plantation lifetime and harvesting frequency. It is suggested that the anticipated technical lifetime of 21 biorefineries using energy crops as feedstock stands as the best proxy for the cut-off criterion of land's

# دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
  امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
  پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
  امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
  امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
  امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
  دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
  پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران